

**AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT**

1. Contract ID Code  
Firm Fixed Price

Page 1 Of 5

2. Amendment/Modification No. 0003	3. Effective Date 2013MAR21	4. Requisition/Purchase Req No. SEE SCHEDULE	5. Project No. (If applicable)
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

6. Issued By ARMY CONTRACTING COMMAND-REDSTONE ELBERT E CLARKE REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5280  EMAIL: ELBERT.CLARKE@US.ARMY.MIL	Code W31P4Q	7. Administered By (If other than Item 6)	Code
--	----------------	---	------

8. Name And Address Of Contractor (No., Street, City, County, State and Zip Code)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9A. Amendment Of Solicitation No. W31P4Q-13-T-0049
		9B. Dated (See Item 11) 2013JAN31
	<input type="checkbox"/>	10A. Modification Of Contract/Order No.
		10B. Dated (See Item 13)
Code	Facility Code	

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS**

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers

is extended,  is not extended. 2013APR02 02:00pm

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 2 signed copies of the amendments; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. **FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.** If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

**12. Accounting And Appropriation Data (If required)**

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS  
It Modifies The Contract/Order No. As Described In Item 14.**

<input type="checkbox"/>	A. This Change Order is Issued Pursuant To: The Contract/Order No. In Item 10A.	The Changes Set Forth In Item 14 Are Made In
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. The Above Numbered Contract/Order Is Modified To Reflect The Administrative Changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) Set Forth In Item 14, Pursuant To The Authority of FAR 43.103(b).	
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. This Supplemental Agreement Is Entered Into Pursuant To Authority Of:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)	

**E. IMPORTANT:** Contractor  is not,  is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the Issuing Office.

**14. Description Of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)**

SEE SECOND PAGE FOR DESCRIPTION

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. Name And Title Of Signer (Type or print)		16A. Name And Title Of Contracting Officer (Type or print)	
15B. Contractor/Offeror	15C. Date Signed	16B. United States Of America By _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. Date Signed
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b> <b>PIIN/SIIN</b> W31P4Q-13-T-0049 <b>MOD/AMD</b> 0003	<b>Page</b> 2 <b>of</b> 5
---------------------------	---	---------------------------

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Buyer Name: ELBERT E CLARKE  
Buyer Office Symbol/Telephone Number: CCAM-EX-P/(256)876-2649  
Type of Contract: Firm Fixed Price  
Kind of Contract: Service Contracts

\*\*\* End of Narrative A0000 \*\*\*

A-1 The purpose of Amendment 0003 to RFQ W31PQ-13-T-0049 is to:

1. Revise the due date for the RFQ from 25 March 2013 to 2 April 2013.
2. Attachment 09 is revised to address typographical errors in regard to the referenced RFQ number as well as to make a correction in regard to the labor category defined as Subject Matter Expert (SME).
3. Section M, paragraph C entitled "Relative Importance of Evaluation Criteria:", The sentence number (3) is revised to strike the word "approximately".
4. Offerors shall submit revised slip sheets to make any necessary revisions in reaction to this amendment in support of hard copy material. Offerors shall also submit a replacement CDROM with the electronic versions of the revisions. The replacement CDROM(s) must contain the entire quotation inclusive of any revisions. Both hard copy material and CDROM material will be clearly labeled with the RFQ number and the words "Replacement Slip Sheet".
5. The contracts POC for this action is Elbert Clarke, 256-876-2649, elbert.clarke@us.army.mil. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE A0004 \*\*\*

**CONTINUATION SHEET**

**Reference No. of Document Being Continued**

**Page 3 of 5**

**PIIN/SIIN** W31P4Q-13-T-0049

**MOD/AMD** 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

<u>List of</u> <u>Addenda</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u> <u>of Pages</u>	<u>Transmitted By</u>
Attachment 0009	LABOR CATEGORY ALIGNMENT - REVISION 1	21-MAR-2013	002	DATA

**CONTINUATION SHEET****Reference No. of Document Being Continued****Page 4 of 5**

PIIN/SIIN W31P4Q-13-T-0049

MOD/AMD 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD  
M-1 RFQ EVALUATION CRITERIA

## A. Basis for BPA Awards

BPA Awards will be made to those BPA Teams demonstrating the best overall capabilities and value to the government based upon the evaluation criteria. BPA awards may be made to other than the lowest priced BPA Teams.

## B. Areas of Evaluation

Quotations will be evaluated in the following areas:

- (1) Performance Capability
- (2) Teaming and Business Arrangements
- (3) Socio-Economic Benefits
- (4) Pricing Structure
- (5) Past Performance

## C. Relative Importance of Evaluation Criteria:

(1) Performance Capability is the most important evaluation criteria and is slightly more important than (2) Teaming and Business Arrangements and (3) Socio-Economic Benefits. (2) Teaming and Business Arrangements and (3) Socio-Economic Benefits are equal in importance and each is slightly more important than (4) Pricing Structure. (4) Pricing Structure is more important than (5) Past Performance which is the least important criteria. (1) Performance Capability, (2) Teaming and Business Arrangements, (3) Socio-Economic Benefits, and (5) Past Performance combined are significantly more important than (4) Pricing Structure.

## D. Evaluation Approach:

(i) The Government intends to award three BPAs to responsible BPA teams whose quotations are considered most advantageous to the government, within the Logistics Domain. The number of additional BPAs awarded, if any, will be based upon the number and quality of quotations received as well as consideration of the overall combination of capabilities and socio-economic benefits that will be available to the Government.

(ii) Two of the three anticipated awards are for Small Business.

(iii) An inherent consideration during the evaluation of each area shall be the risk associated with the quotation for satisfying the Government's requirement. Quotation risk is integral to each of the evaluation areas.

(iv) The Government intends to award BPAs without discussions. The Government may choose not to afford BPA Teams an opportunity to revise or modify their quotations before award. Each BPA Team should submit its best quotation in the initial response to this RFQ.

## E. EVALUATION AREA 1: Performance Capability

The Government will evaluate the BPA Team's performance approach and capabilities as evidenced by the depth and breadth of the BPA Team's experience in the individual requirements of the SOW (Attachment 1, Paragraphs 3.1-3.16) and in the categories of supported systems and equipment listed in Attachment 2. The government will evaluate the BPA Team's understanding of the breadth and quality of EXPRESS personnel requirements as reflected by (1) identified key personnel and by (2) the labor categories available on the proposed GSA schedule labor categories and the associated minimum personnel qualifications.

## F. EVALUATION AREA 2: Teaming and Business Arrangements

The Government will evaluate the BPA team's overall ability to successfully perform the entire range of management and administrative activities in a manner that assures high quality and cost effective performance. Such activities include task order competition, team processes, communication (within the team and with government points of contact), management controls, security compliance, and reporting. The evaluation will focus on the completeness, thoroughness and viability of the proposed teaming and business arrangements, including the delineation of the roles and responsibilities of the prime contractor/team leader, team members, and subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the BPA team's approach to managing and addressing potential organizational conflicts of interest.

## G. EVALUATION AREA 3: Socio-Economic Benefits

The Government will evaluate the extent of the BPA Team's commitment to support various socio-economic programs including participation by small businesses (including Veteran-Owned Small Businesses), small disadvantaged businesses [including 8(a)], woman-owned small businesses, service disabled veteran owned small businesses and HubZone small businesses. The evaluation will consider the small business status of the prime/team leader, proposed percentage goals for direct awards to small business team members and proposed percentage goals for small business subcontracts.

<b>CONTINUATION SHEET</b>	<b>Reference No. of Document Being Continued</b>	<b>Page 5 of 5</b>
	PIIN/SIIN W31P4Q-13-T-0049	MOD/AMD 0003

**Name of Offeror or Contractor:**

---

H. EVALUATION AREA 4: Pricing Structure

The government will evaluate the BPA Team's overall ability to support task order requirements with highly qualified personnel as evidenced by the BPA Team's GSA schedule pricing structure. The evaluation will consist of a risk analysis including a comparison to historical averages adjusted for anticipated future changes in market conditions. The risk analysis will consider price realism and reasonableness as an indicator of the risk associated with the BPA team's understanding of the requirements of the Statement of Work. Pricing structures considered too low to support anticipated task order requirements with highly qualified personnel may receive a higher risk rating due to increased risk.

I. EVALUATION AREA 5: Past Performance

A performance risk assessment will be conducted by a performance risk assessment group (PRAG). The quality of relevant past performance, type of contractual arrangement and dollar value will be considered in assessing performance risk. Particular emphasis will be placed on past performance demonstrating: past management of large, diverse, task-oriented contracts or agreements; past management of contracts involving a significant amount of teaming and subcontracting; past management of contracts where flexibility was demonstrated to meet requirements in a dynamic environment; and past performance in meeting small and small disadvantaged business subcontracting goals. Absent any past or current performance history within the past five years on the same or similar efforts, the proposal rating will be considered neutral and the proposal will neither be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in the area of past performance. In conducting the performance risk assessment, the government may use data provided in the proposal and data obtained from other sources, including data in government files or data obtained through interviews with, or written questionnaires from, government personnel familiar with the contractor and its past and current performance under government contracts for similar services. Data used in conducting performance risk assessments shall not extend past five years from the issue date of the RFQ, but may include data on efforts performed during the past five years without regard to the contract award date.

\*\*\* END OF NARRATIVE M0001 \*\*\*