

| # | Question Reference<br>(RFP #, PWS #, etc.)             | Question   | Government Response   |
|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | DRAFT RFP - HMSO -<br>W52P1J15R0167,<br>Section A, A.2 | <p>Para A.2 of the DRAFT RFP has conflicting guidance with regard to the subject line for submission of questions. It first states, "All questions on the DRAFT RFP, PWS, attachments and exhibits for the EAGLE Fort Bliss, TX, Fort Jackson, SC, Fort Rucker, AL, White Sands Missile Range, NM, Fort Wainwright, AK and Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson requirement should be submitted using the Microsoft Excel template provided as Attachment 0011 through the EAGLE mailbox usarmy.RIA.acc.mbx.eagle@mail.mil SUBJECT: Hazardous Material Supply Operations (HMSO) RFP Questions," and then also states, "The e-mail subject line shall include the task order solicitation number and the respective Offerors name i.e. W52P1J-15-R-00167, Offerors Name," which also notes the wrong solicitation number.</p> <p>Would the Government please clarify the subject line for submission of questions?</p> | <p>The Government will not be soliciting questions after the Final RFP is issued. The intent of the Draft RFP is to allow the USG to capture all concerns and questions in the Final RFP.</p> <p>The Final RFP will contain the correct RFP number throughout the document.</p> |
| 2 | DRAFT RFP - HMSO -<br>W52P1J15R0167, L.2.2             | <p>Section L.2.2 notes "All information pertaining to a particular volume shall be confined to that volume. For example, no Cost/Price information shall be included in any volume other than the Cost/Price Proposal Volume."</p> <p>Would the Government please confirm that Att0010 does indeed belong to Volume 1, General Documents?</p>  | <p>Yes, Attachment 0010 shall be included in Volume 1 - General Documents.</p>  |
| 3 | DRAFT RFP - HMSO -<br>W52P1J15R0167, L.2.8             | <p>Section L.2.8 notes, "For the purposes of proposal preparation and evaluation only, the Offeror shall use 01 February 2016 as the Notice to Proceed (NTP) date."</p> <p>Shall Offerors assume NTP date is the start date for Transition-in?</p>   | <p>NTP is the start of the Transition-In period. The NTP date is provided for purposes of proposal preparation and evaluation. The actual NTP date is subject to change.</p>  |
| 4 | DRAFT RFP - HMSO -<br>W52P1J15R0167, L.5.2.1.1(a)(3)   | <p>The page limit for the SMP has steadily decreased over the issuance of the last few EAGLE RFPs set aside for SBs, but there has been no variance in the Section L requirements for the SMP proposal.</p> <p>Would the Government please consider increasing the page limit or removing criteria required for Technical acceptability?</p>   | <p>Paragraph L.5.2.1.1(a)(3) has been updated and will be released with the Final RFP.</p>  |
| 5 | DRAFT RFP - HMSO -<br>W52P1J15R0167, L.5.2.1.2. L.5.3  | <p>Paragraph L.5.2.1.2 has been renamed to Organizational Diagram from Mission Essential Contractor Services, while Paragraph L.5.3 is just missing, not RESERVED, from the RFP.</p> <p>Would the Government please RESERVE the appropriate paragraphs in the base EAGLE solicitation and not adjust the paragraph numbering from the standardized Section L and M?</p>  | <p>Paragraphs L.5.2.1.2 and L.5.3 will be marked "RESERVED" in the Final RFP.</p>   |
| 6 | DRAFT RFP - HMSO -<br>W52P1J15R0167, A.3, A.4          | <p>Para A.3 indicates a 60 day transition; whereas, para A.4 indicates a 30 day transition.</p> <p>Would the Government please provide clarification?</p>  | <p>This requirement will include a 60 day Transition-In Period, as will be reflected in the Final RFP.</p>  |
| 7 | DRAFT Attachment 0001, Para<br>1.3.2.1                 | <p>Para 1.3.2.1 states, "Named Key Positions are cannot be less than one (1) FTE each and may not be 'dual – hatted' with other Key Positions with the exception of the PM and Maintenance Manager/Supervisor except during transition of another named Key Position not to exceed 30 days." This does not pertain to this requirement.</p> <p>Would the Government please update the PWS to remove this statement?</p>  | <p>Attachment 0001 has been updated and will be released with the Final RFP.</p>  |

|    |   |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
| 8  | DRAFT Attachment 0001, Para 4.4.1   | Para 4.4.1 makes reference to CD-06; however, there was no CD-06 furnished with the DRAFT RFP.<br><br>Would the Government please provide clarification?  | CDRL CD-06 will be released with the Final RFP.  |
| 9  | PWS Section C-5, Page 4, Paragraph 5.2.13 "After 30 days the material will be classified as waste and processed accordingly".   | Who profiles, containerizes, and manifests Hazwaste for transportation to public disposal facility?   | Representatives of DPW will profile, containerize, manifest and transport Hazwaste to the public disposal facility. Refer to the updated PWS, paragraph 5.2.13.  |
| 10 | PWS Section C-5, Page 4, Paragraph 5.2.13 "After 30 days the material will be classified as waste and processed accordingly".   | Is the contractor on this PWS responsible for running the 90 day Hazwaste storage facility for any of the sites in this PWS?  | The contractor is responsible for the 90-day Hazwaste Facility at Fort Rucker. Refer to the updated PWS, paragraph 5.2.3.3.  |
| 11 | PWS Section C-5, Page 3, Paragraph 5.2.9.1. The last two sentences: "Providing annual refresher and additional training for employee re-certification is the Contractor's responsibility. The Government is responsible for any course costs and travel expense." | These two sentences appear to contradict each other. Is the intent that the contractor is to ensure refreshers occur and the government will pay for it or that the government pays for the initial course and the contractor pays for refresher? | The contractor is responsible for maintaining all required HMSO certifications of 100% employees. The Government will reimburse course costs and travel expenses according to applicable regulations. Refer to the updated PWS, paragraph 5.2.9.1. |