

Question	RFP Reference	Question	Government Response
1	Draft Attachment 2	Identifies a LMS at Tyrone. Can the Government clarify if this is a Government facility or a contractor facility?	All locations identified are at Government facilities. Attachment 0002 has been updated to show the Fort McCoy location.
2	Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 and RFP Section L.5.2.1.1(b), page 74	DRAFT Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours Materiel Management (Exhibit A) identifies twenty two (22) different geographic locations. Section L cites that the Government will utilize the Service Contract Act (SCA) Directory of Occupations (5th Edition) labor category definitions as part of the Technical Evaluation. Will the Government furnish the corresponding Department of Labor (DOL) area Wage Determinations for these locations as part of the final solicitation?	The positions defined in TE 1G-005 are to be considered EXEMPT positions, as these positions can not be defined by a SCA labor category. The Government will utilize the Service Contract Act (SCA) Directory of Occupations (5th Edition) labor category definitions as part of the Technical Evaluation in the event the offeror proposes a SCA labor category. Note that all hours identified in the M-S-T define the FLC1 EXEMPT position hours identified in TE 1G-005. DRAFT EXHIBIT A HAS BEEN UPDATED.

3	Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 and Exhibit E - TE 1G-005	DRAFT Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions (Exhibit E) contains multiple supply and material management action requirements for different Specified Non-Key Positions. Request the Government confirm that the mapping of multiple Specified Non-Key Positions to the same Service Contract Act (SCA) labor category (i.e., Supply Technician) would be considered compliant with the solicitation.	The positions defined in TE 1G-005 are to be considered EXEMPT positions, as these positions can not be defined by a SCA labor category. The Government will utilize the Service Contract Act (SCA) Directory of Occupations (5th Edition) labor category definitions as part of the Technical Evaluation in the event the offeror proposes a SCA labor category. Note that all hours identified in the M-S-T define the FLC1 EXEMPT position hours identified in TE 1G-005. DRAFT EXHIBIT E HAS BEEN UPDATED.
4	Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 and Exhibit E - TE 1G-005	The Task descriptions contained in DRAFT Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions (Exhibit E) align verbatim with the Tasks identified in the DRAFT Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours Materiel Management (Exhibit A). With minor exceptions, the minimum FLC1 hours by Task and Locations involves multiple Full Time Equivalent (FTEs). As long as Offerors provide at least one of these Key or Non-Key individuals at each site, can offerors meet the remaining requirements with other Labor Categories such as General Clerks?	The tasks defined in TE 1G-005 that are FLC1 positions are cross-walked to the M-S-T, where the minimum hours are also defined for those specific positions. DRAFT EXHIBITS A AND E HAVE BEEN UPDATED.

5	PWS 1.4.6 / L.5.2.1.1 (b) 3 / M.1.1	There appears to be conflicting guidance in the referenced RFP/Solicitation sections. The PWS references a potential 60-day Transition-In period, while the RFP limits the Transition-In period to 30 days. Can the government please clarify which duration is correct?	The transition period is 30 days. The PWS and CDRLS have been revised.
6	RFP Section M.5.2.8(c)(ii), page 88 of 89	The Past Performance requirement stated in Section M reads as follows: " <b>M.5.2.8(c)(ii) In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to the MMT Task Order, the Annual Average Dollar value must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience identified below: Supply: Offeror Reference - \$6M average annually; Subcontractor/ Teammate Reference - \$1.2M average annuallyTotal \$6M average annually.</b> " Can the Government clarify the last part of this sentence as to the Total \$6M average annually? Is this a restatement of the Offeror requirement or does this \$6M reference pertain to the sub-contractor/teammate as well?	The annual amount for the prime is \$6M. The annual amount for the subcontractor/teammate is \$1.2M. Spacing issues will be corrected and clarified in the formal RFP. Pay close attention to this section in the formal RFP as thresholds may change due to the period of performance only being approved for a Base Year and 1 Option Year.
7	Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 / DRAFT Attachment 0002 Staffing Labor Mix - MMT	Does the Government require both Logistics Management Specialist (III) Supervisor - Key Position to be 100% FLC2?	TE 1G-005 and M-S-T-001 has been updated. The Logistics Management Specialist (III) Supervisor has been changed to Logistics Management Specialist (III) Team Lead. The hours associated with this position have been added to the M-S-T-001 document.

8	Exhibit E - TE 1G-005	Does the Government require the Inventory Teams Supervisor - MMT - Key Position to be 100% FLC2?	Yes, TE 1G-005 has been updated to require that the Inventory Teams Supervisor - MMT is to be proposed as a 100% FLC2 position.
9	Section A	Is this a new req. will there be employees that are doing this work currently at this location?	No, this is not a new requirement. It is currently being performed on one contract. NOTE WITH THE ADDITION OF THE INTERMEDIATE SUPPLY REQUIREMENTS, THERE ARE CURRENTLY 2 CONTRACTORS PERFORMING THE CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.
10	Section A	Is Xotech the only contractor?	Yes, the existing contract is being performed by Xotech. NOTE WITH THE ADDITION OF THE INTERMEDIATE SUPPLY REQUIREMENTS, THERE ARE CURRENTLY 2 CONTRACTORS XO-Tech and L3 PERFORMING THE CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.
11	Site Visit	In reference to the MMT Overview briefing on slide 16, bullet two, "Make sure Unit Identification Code (UIC)/Derivative Unit Identification Code (DUIC's) with equipment have a Property Book DODAAC". Is this not a government function that contractors are not required to perform? Or would we have to do that on behalf of the government?	Contractors will not be required to make DoDAACs. The requirement is for the contractor to verify that the DUIC has a DoDAAC assigned.

12	Site Visit	In reference to the MMT ACC-RI briefing on slide 14. If, in fact the five lowest proposals are deemed technically acceptable, then how do we insure that our proposal is one of the first five?	The proposal would have to fall within the 5 lowest proposed priced received, be determined to be compliant and technically acceptable.
13	Site Visit	Is there an estimated length of time allocated for data cleansing by unit? Is there a timeframe? Command is not the same for all we may push beyond the 15th date. Is that a hard fast rule?	There is no estimated time allocated to data cleansing by unit. The information provided on the site visit briefing slides 15 shows the estimated date by unit that the data cleansing should be complete.
14	Site Visit	Ref. Slide 5. Are the the USARC G-4's Top 5 Priorities -- listed in "priority sequence"? (e.g. top - the highest priority -- to bottom - the lowest priority)	The first 2 items listed are listed in priority sequence. The remaining 3 items are all equal in priority.
15	Attachments	In the TE 1 – the hours that are listed, are they based on the current contractor?	The hours listed in TE1 M-S-T are based on historical, current, and forecasted workload data.
16	Site Visit	Can we make an assumption is that GCSS will only perform until the mission is set to be complete in 2017? If there is a need to continue, will it go out as a new competition?	All requirements should be proposed for the full performance period of the contract. If it is determined that there is a requirement after the 2 year period of performance, it will be recompeted.

18	Site Visit	Past Performance, Average Annual Dollar Value(AADV): Is that just the property accountability portion of the overall contract?	The Average Annual Dollar Value (AADV) is provided by BOA Holders as part of the Data Call. If the provided AADV is broken out by function, it will be used to determine relevancy. If it is not broken out by function, it will be used to determine relevancy.
19	General	If a BOA holder is unable to perform a functional area alone (per its BOA Attachment 0002), is it possible to compete this one alone?	Yes. A BOA holder can propose only themselves. Per DRAFT RFP paragraph H.1(d) - BOA holders were required to demonstrate the capability to perform all three functional areas in Step Two. That capability may have been achieved with or without Teammates. The Offeror shall propose itself and/or approved Teammates listed in the Offeror's current BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement when submitting a proposal in Step Three. The Government will verify that the Offeror's proposal includes approved Teammates by comparing the Offeror's proposal to its BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement as of the closing date of the Step 3 Task Order RFP.
20	Site Visit	In reference to the MMT Overview briefing on slide 6 there are three missions involved. The IUID was not within the PWS. Will that be incorporated into the final RFP?	IUID is part of the requirement. The PWS has been updated.

21	Site Visit	In the PWS there is reference to "Rebuild". Can you provide any details as to what this means?	That is in relation to the property accountability line. It is equipment in the Army Reserve that will go back to the depot to be refurbished or rebuilt. It is accounting for it in the system.
22	MMT Overview Brief, Slide 9- Reports	On MMT Overview Briefing , Slide 9 Reports, who prepares the mothly reports? Is is team members or the PM?	Team members provide trip reports of their site visits which are consolidated by the PM monthly and submitted to the COR and KO.
23	TE 1G-005, Key and Specified Non-Key Personnel	Is it the government's intention that the Logistics Management Specialist III Supervisor (Key) and Inventory Teams Supervisor - MMT (Key) positions be resident in each of the 24 operational locations?	The Logistics Management Specialist (III) Supervisor has been changed to Logistics Management Specialist (III) Team Lead. The hours associated with this position have been added to the M-S-T-001 document. Inventory Team Supervisor - MMT will reside in Ft Bragg, NC ONLY.
24	TE 1 M-S-T -001, Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	We notice that Salt Lake City, UT is listed twice in the "location" column under PWS 5.1-5.8 / CLIN 002AA. Are there two separate offices in Salt Lake City each utilizing 5760 FLC1 hours, or is one a duplicate?	Not duplicates. Yes, there are two seperate commands in Salt Lake City, UT. 76th ORC and 807th Med Cmd. Att0002 and the M-S-T have been updated to include the 76th ORC and 807th Med Cmd.

25	MMT Overview Briefing, slide 4	Please explain the significance of the black "star" at the Salt Lake City, UT location and Gaithersburg, MD location. Also, what is the significance to the green boxes surrounding the Salt Lake, Gaithersburg, and Tampa locations?	The black star signifies that there were no FLC1s at those locations in the previous contract. The green boxes signify that we are adding or placing FLC1s to those locations.
26	MMT Overview Briefing, slide 4 and 15	We notice that several of the sites listed on slide 4 appear to be on the Wave 2 Fielding Schedule with roll-in dates prior to the 4th quarter 2016 (the starting time for the resultant Task Order). Will these sites be completed prior to contract start?	The fielding schedule is ongoing and the commands are being migrated according to those dates. Any sites with roll in dates prior to 4th quarter 2016 will hopefully be completed. Any unit not migrating due to various circumstances will be pushed to the right for future migration. However the current plan is to migrate all by the schedule.
27	MMT Overview Briefing, slide 4 and 15	We notice that several of the sites listed on slide 4 appear to be on the Wave 2 Fielding Schedule with roll-in dates prior to the 4th quarter 2016 (the starting time for the resultant Task Order). Will the workload be revised as these sites are completed?	Same as above. If unit is not migrated then it will be moved to the right and workload revised.
28	MMT Overview Briefing, slide 5 and 6	Please elaborate on the statements, "Base location is at Operational & Functional Commands" on slide 5 and "Base location is at Ft Bragg, NC" on slide 6.	The Base Locations (as depicted on slide 4) are the operational & functional command locations. Ft Bragg is also a base location for the Ops/Support teams located there. The Data Cleansing/Template teams also have base locations.

29	MMT Overview Briefing, slide 8	This slide references long distance travel requirements. Will the government please provide an estimate of the amount and frequency of travel required. Also, who does the government anticipate will be doing the travelling?	Each command has different missions that depict the travel to their subordinate units. Majority of the MMTs at the Operational & Functional commands travel 50% of the time. The Data Cleansing/Template teams travel continuously during the 240 E-date until D-date migration of that command. In most cases they are dealing with 3 to 4 commands migrating that are in that 240 E-date window. They have several teams so different teams are at different locations to accomplish the migration. They travel more frequently than the MMTs.
30	PWS paragraph 1.4.5; TE 1 M-S-T -001, Functional Labor Category 1 Hours; MMT Overview Briefing, slide 15	This paragraph states that the contractor's PM and Alt. PM will be on duty at or near Ft Bragg. Additionally, TE 1 M-S-T-001 lists a majority of the FLC1 hours at Fort Bragg. Will the contractor employees work out of Fort Bragg and rotate out to the other installations as they roll into the WAVE 2 schedule or will the contractor staff each of the 24 locations simultaneously?	The PM and Alt PMs and Inventory Team Supervisor are located at Ft Bragg. There are FLC1s that work at Ft Bragg as specified by hours in the TE 1 M-S-T-001. The FLC1s listed at the OFTS sites will assist the Data Cleansing teams during the time that they are migrating that OFTS command. Otherwise one of the Data Cleansing Teams will start appearing at the command scheduled for Wave 2 at the 240 E-date mark and assist until the units until finished. Normally looking at the Wave 2 schedule there will always be 3 or 4 commands that are within the 240 E-date window. Therefore the Data Cleansing teams are spread out amongst all of those. When completed move onto another scheduled command.

31	Attachment 2	Labor Category, Offeror Position Titles are listed for 7 FLC2/Exempt positions. Are these positions 100% FLC2 positions or do these positions also perform FLC1 tasks?	Att 0002 has been updated. Positions listed solely as FLC2 shall be proposed as 100% FLC2.
32	Attachment 2	Labor Category, Offeror Position Title FLC2, Exempt, Log Mgt Spec (III), Supv (Key), Tyrone - At what location on Attachment 2 is the position (Tyrone) located ?	Att 0002 has been updated. The Logistics Management Specialist (III) Supervisor has been changed to Logistics Management Specialist (III) Team Lead. The hours associated with this position have been added to the M-S-T-001 document.
33	Attachment 2 vs Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T	Salt Lake City, Ut is listed twice on columns of Attachment 2 and Gaithersburg, Md is not listed on any of the columns of Attachment 2. Should one of the Salt Lake City columns be replaced by a Gaithersburg, Md column?	No, there are two OFTS commands at Salt Lake City, UT. TE 1 M-S-T-001 has been updated to reflect the 807 Med Cmd and 76 ORC Cmd. Attachment 0002 has been updated to include a Gaithersburg, MD location.
34	Attachment 2 vs Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T	Pinellas Park, Fl is listed as a location on Exhibit A, however no Pinellas Park, Fl is listed on Attachment 2. Is Pinellas Park, Fl the same as Tampa, Fl which is listed as a column on Attachment 2?	Tampa, FL column on Att 0002 has been updated to Pinellas Park, FL to align with the TE 1 M-S-T location.

35	Attachment 2 vs Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T	Under the description column of attachment 2, Log Mgt Spec (III) Supv- Tyrone is listed. There is no workload data provided on Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T. What is the workload data related to the Tyrone Supv position?	TE 1G-005 and M-S-T-001 has been updated. The Logistics Management Specialist (III) Supervisor has been changed to Logistics Management Specialist (III) Team Lead. The hours associated with this position have been added to the M-S-T-001 document.															
36	RFP, Sections I and K	Sections I and K are not labeled in the RFP. Will sections I and K be labeled in the final RFP?	Sections K and I will be labeled in the final RFP.															
37	RFP, Page 2, Paragraph A.3 Attachment 1, Section C-1, Page 10, Paragraph 1.4.6	RFP paragraph A.3 states that the Transition Period will be 30 days; however, PWS paragraph 1.4.6 states it will not exceed 60 days. Will the Government please clarify the length of the Transition Period?	See response to Question #5 above.															
38	RFP, Page 1 RFP, Page 71, Paragraph L.5.1.1(a)	Paragraph L.5.1.1(a) instructs offerors to name the RFP coversheet as "Offeror's_Name_Vol_1_SF33;" however, the draft RFP was issued with a Standard Form (SF) 1449. Will the naming convention for this file be updated to reflect this with the final RFP?	The final RFP will be issued on a SF33.															
39	TE 1G-001 & TE 1 M-S-T	<p>TE 1G-001 shows the supported organizations and locations, however, this list does not match the locations as specified in TE 1 M-S-T. The following are the discrepancies:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1149 1289 1331"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1149 869 1182">TE 1G-001</th> <th data-bbox="869 1149 1094 1182"><u>S-T</u></th> <th data-bbox="1094 1149 1289 1182">TE 1 M-S-T</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1182 869 1247">New Orleans LA</td> <td data-bbox="869 1182 1094 1247"></td> <td data-bbox="1094 1182 1289 1247">Not Shown</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1247 869 1279">Not Shown</td> <td data-bbox="869 1247 1094 1279"></td> <td data-bbox="1094 1247 1289 1279">Gaithersburg</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1279 869 1312"></td> <td data-bbox="869 1279 1094 1312">MDE</td> <td data-bbox="1094 1279 1289 1312"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1312 869 1331">Los Alamitos CA</td> <td data-bbox="869 1312 1094 1331"></td> <td data-bbox="1094 1312 1289 1331">Not Shown</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	TE 1G-001	<u>S-T</u>	TE 1 M-S-T	New Orleans LA		Not Shown	Not Shown		Gaithersburg		MDE		Los Alamitos CA		Not Shown	Locations on the TE M-S-T represent where the contractor will be located. TE 1G-001 represents the organizations that may be supported under these requirements.
TE 1G-001	<u>S-T</u>	TE 1 M-S-T																
New Orleans LA		Not Shown																
Not Shown		Gaithersburg																
	MDE																	
Los Alamitos CA		Not Shown																

40	TE 1G-001 & TE 1 M-S-T	Why does TE 1G-001 not address the supported units at Ft McCoy WI, Richmond VA and Petersburg VA as indicated on TE 1 M-S-T?	Locations on the TE M-S-T represent where the contractor will be located. TE 1G-001 represents the organizations that may be supported under these requirements.
41	TE 1G-001, TE 1 M-S-T & TE 3G-002	TE 3G-002 (Government Furnished Equipment) locations does not match the locations shown in TE 1G-001 or TE 1 M-S-T. Can the Government clarify the difference?	Locations on the TE M-S-T represent where the contractor will be located. TE 1G-001 represents the organizations that may be supported under these requirements.
42	TE 1 M-S-T & TE 3G-002	In TE 3G-002 the quantity of equipment does not support the requirements in TE 1 M-S-T, ie, Salt Lake City UT 2 sets and Pinellas Park (Tampa) FL one set. What is the correct quantity?	Additional GFE will be furnished as needed and approved by the PCO.
43	PWS 5.10	PWS 5.10 states that this requirement is tentatively scheduled to be completed by the D Date established in the PWS. The PWS further states that this requirement should be completed in 360 days. Is this requirement initiated at FOC?	360 days are not calculated at start of FOC. 360 days are calculated as each location goes through the process as a GCSS-A requirement.
44	MMT Overview Briefing & TE 1G-001	The MMT Overview Briefing provided by the Government does not match the TE 1G-001 as the 377 <sup>th</sup> TSC (New Orleans) and the 79 <sup>th</sup> SSC (Salt Lake City) are not shown. At the same time the Briefing shows the 76 <sup>th</sup> ORC (Salt Lake City) but it is not shown on TE 1G-001. Can the Government clarify?	Locations on the TE M-S-T represent where the contractor will be located. TE 1G-001 represents the organizations that may be supported under these requirements.

46	Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 and Exhibit E - TE 1G-005	<p>In reference to the Draft TE1-G-005 Key &amp; Specified Non-Key Positions, the Education and Experiential requirements for both the LMS (II) Template - Specified Non-Key and the LMS (III) Template - Specified Non-Key are identical. The LMS III positions should have a higher educational and experiential requirement. Correspondingly, these positions seem to be reversed because when you relate these to the TE1 M-S-T minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Materiel, as currently written, you end up with 6 LMS IIIs working Unresolved Issues for Template Development and two LMS IIs, one doing Supply and one doing Maintenance. In effect, you've built an organizational structure with "6 Chiefs and 2 Indians" when it should be the reverse. The Data Cleansing Supply and Maintenance LMS II positions, identified at Richmond should be LMS IIIs and the 6 LMS IIIs doing Unresolved Issues for Template Development, should be LMS IIs.</p>	The Exhibits have been revised and updated.
47	Page 89, Paragraph M.5.2.8	<p>In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to the MMT Task Order, the Annual Average Dollar value must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience identified below: Supply: Offeror Reference - \$6M average annually; Subcontractor / Teammate Reference - \$1.2M average annually Total \$6M average annually. What formula does the government use to calculate 'Total \$6M average annually'?</p>	The thresholds are determined based on the requirements magnitude and complexity.

49	page 89, Paragraph M.5.2.8	In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to the MMT Task Order, the Annual Average Dollar value must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience identified below: Supply: Offeror Reference - \$6M average annually; Subcontractor / Teammate Reference - \$1.2M average annually Total \$6M average annually. Can the Subcontractor/Teammate average annual dollar value be combined with the offeror's annual average dollar value to meet the offer's \$6M average annual threshold?	No. Each reference is evaluated individually. Section M.5.2.8(c)(iii)(1) states in part, "In determining relevancy the reference must meet the thresholds for magnitude and complexity applicable for the Offeror."
50	DRAFT Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions and DRAFT Attachment 0002 - Staffing Labor Mix - MMT	DRAFT TE 1-G-005 Key & Specified Non Key Positions (Exhibit E) identifies a total of 6 key personnel; however, DRAFT Attachment 0002 identifies a total of 7. The Logistics Management Specialist (III) Supervisor is listed twice (Richmond and Tyrone) on DRAFT Attachment 0002 but only once on the DRAFT TE 1-G-005, Exhibit E. Which is correct?	Att 0002 and Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 has been updated. Tyrone has been replaced with Fort McCoy, WI.
51	DRAFT Attachment 0002 - Staffing Labor Mix - MMT	On DRAFT Attachment 0002 there is a Logistics Management Specialist (III) Supervisor - Tyrone listed; however, there is not reference of "Tyrone" as a location in the DRAFT RFP. Please provide clarity.	Att 0002 has been updated. Tyrone has been replaced with Fort McCoy, WI.

52	DRAFT Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions, page 1, paragraphs 1 and 2	TE 1-G-005 Key & Specified Non Key Positions (Exhibit E) identifies a total of 11 personnel as key or non-key, are the remaining 67 positions considered as non-key personnel?	Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 has been revised. All positions are identified as Key or Non-Key positions.
53	DRAFT Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions, page 1, paragraphs 1 and 2	According to TE 1-G-005 Key & Specified Non Key Positions (Exhibit E), the Project Manager (PM) and Operations Manager Material Management Team (MMT) / Alternate PM will be assigned to Fort Bragg. Are there any other Key or Non Key positions required to be located at specified site(s)? If so, what site(s).	Exhibit E - TE 1G-005 has been revised to show the site locations for the Key & Non Key positions.
54	DRAFT Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours Materiel Management and DRAFT Attachment 0002 - Staffing Labor Mix MMT	DRAFT Attachment 0002 identifies Tampa, FL as one of the locations yet the DRAFT Exhibit A does not provided hours for that location. Can you please clarify?	Tampa, FL column on Att 0002 has been updated to Pinellas Park, FL to align with the Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 location.