

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
ExA1	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Supply, Pages 6-7, Ammunition Supply Services, and PWS 5.19.4.3, page 46.	Exhibit A	TE 1 M-S-T does not appear to provide the annual man-hours to perform the ASP security functions required by the PWS paragraph 5.19.4.3. Please clarify and, if required, add the applicable information to TE 1 M-S-T .	TE 1 M-S-T-001 has been updated identifying the hours associated with the ASP surveillance requirements.
ExA2	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Transportation, Page 9, Unit Movements, Etc. and PWS Para 5.28 Container Management, page 77	Exhibit A	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Transportation, Page 9, in the Section beginning with Unit Movements is mis-numbered and appears to skip requirements for Container Management Support, as required by PWS Para 5.28. Please clarify and make required corrections/additions to TE 1 M-S-T as appropriate.	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours has been updated. The section has been realigned to show the Container Management Operations.
ExA3	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 1, Special Purpose and Construction Equipment Repairer	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA4	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 1, Weapons Repair	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T only matches the occupation description of the SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition for a Gunsmith III, which is more demanding than a Gunsmith I or II. Is it the Government's intent that Offerors can only propose Gunsmith III for all positions required to support weapons repair requirements.	The TE 1 M-S-T is a representation of the current workload to include the levels of experience that is needed to complete the mission requirements. An Offeror must provide a staffing approach to address the tasks as provided in the workload data /exhibits and the PWS in order to ensure mission success.
ExA5	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 1, Allied Trades - HVAC	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA6	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 2, Ground Support Equipment	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T only matches the occupation description of the SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition for a Ground Support Equipment Repairman, which is more demanding than a Ground Support Equipment Servicer or Worker. Is it the Government's intent that Offerors can only propose Ground Support Equipment Repairer for all positions required to support Ground Support Equipment requirements.	The TE 1 M-S-T is a representation of the current workload to include the levels of experience that is needed to complete the mission requirements. An Offeror must provide a staffing approach to address the tasks as provided in the workload data /exhibits and the PWS in order to ensure mission success.
ExA7	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 3, Carpentry	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T only matches the occupation description of the SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition for a Carpenter, Maintenance, which is more demanding than a Woodworker (23980). Is it the Government's intent that Offerors can only propose Carpenter, Maintenance for all positions required to support Allied Trades - Carpentry requirements?	The TE 1 M-S-T is a representation of the current workload to include the levels of experience that is needed to complete the mission requirements. An Offeror must provide a staffing approach to address the tasks as provided in the workload data /exhibits and the PWS in order to ensure mission success.
ExA8	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 3, Technical Inspections	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA9	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 3, Repair Parts and Materials Management, Material Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T matches the occupation description for a Material Expediter. Is it the Government's intent that Offerors can only propose Material Expediter for all positions associated with this requirement?	The TE 1 M-S-T is a representation of the current workload to include the levels of experience that is needed to complete the mission requirements. An Offeror must provide a staffing approach to address the tasks as provided in the workload data /exhibits and the PWS in order to ensure mission success.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
ExA10	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 3, Production Control	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions. As this task is consistent with the requirements of a GCSS-A Production Control Clerk, is Production Control Clerk the correct SCA WD occupation to meet the requirement? Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA11	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 3, Supporting Functions and Tasks, Supply Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA12	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 4, Supporting Functions and Tasks	Exhibit A	In the first entry on page 4, the requirement is "Light and Heavy Wheel Repair", but the task description is not for a mechanic position. Please clarify what the correct requirement is, then provide the appropriate task description.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated by replacing "Light and Heavy Wheel Repair" with "Warehouse Support".
ExA13	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 4, Supporting Functions and Tasks, General Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	This task is defined in the SCA Directory of Occupation descriptions.
ExA14	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Supply, Page 4, CIF, Supply Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA15	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Supply, Page 5, SSMO, Supply Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA16	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Supply, Page 5, CIPBO, Supply Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA17	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Supply, Page 5, CIPBO, General Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	This task is defined in the SCA Directory of Occupation descriptions.
ExA18	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Supply, Page 6, ISSA, Supply Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA19	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Supply, Page 6, ASP, Supply Support	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
ExA20	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Transportation, Page 9, Unit Movements, etc., Technical Inspections (2nd to last requirement on the page)	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA21	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Transportation, Page 10, Unit Movements, etc., Supply Support (1st requirement on the page)	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA22	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Transportation, Page 10, A/DACG, Supply Support (8th requirement on the page)	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include more detail in the specified tasks.
ExA23	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Transportation, Page 11, A/DACG, Materiel Support - HAZMAT (3rd requirement on the page)	Exhibit A	Please confirm that the requirement and task description are appropriate for the function and corresponding number of annual man-hours.	Confirmed. The TE 1 M-S-T is a representation of the current workload to include experience levels that are needed to complete the mission requirements.
ExA24	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Supply, and Transportation, Section L.5.2.1.1(b) and Attachment 0002, Staffing/Labor Mix?	Exhibit A	The in a number of instances the task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T matches the only one (Typically the most senior) of the possible occupation descriptions found in the SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition. This appears to communicate that the Government only wants the Offeror to use the occupation description that corresponds to the task, even though there is typically a distribution of junior, journeymen, and senior positions associated with the task requirement (e.g. Gunsmith I, II, and III). Does the Government want the Offeror to strictly conform to the stated task requirement when selecting the SCA occupations to perform the task, or do we have the latitude to select, based on our experience, the appropriate distribution of corresponding skill sets to staff the requirement while remaining compliant with Section L.5.2.1.1(b), Attachment 0002 Staffing/Labor Mix Requirements?	The TE 1 M-S-T is a representation of the current workload to include the levels of experience that is needed to complete the mission requirements. An Offeror must provide a staffing approach to address the tasks as provided in the workload data /exhibits and the PWS in order to ensure mission success.
ExA25	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours Fort Bragg, Full document; Column 3	Exhibit A	In TE 1 M-S-T, the Government provides specific Task requirements that includes direct copied and pasted verbiage from the SCA Directory of Occupations (5th Edition) linked directly to a skill classification therein. It is assumed Offers' must propose the Skill Classification tied directly to the verbiage in the Task Requirement of TE 1 M-S-T and not a lesser skilled classification. For example, if the verbiage ties to a Motor Vehicle Mechanic then this must be proposed and not a Motor Vehicle Mechanic Helper. Furthermore, it is assumed that the full number of FTEs presented in TE 1 M-S-T for that Task requirement would be Motor Vehicle Mechanics proposed and not Motor Vehicle Mechanic Helpers or some mix of the two. Is the Government going to consider and rate an Offeror's Staffing Approach and Attachment 0002 as infeasible if the skill classifications proposed do not tie directly to the Task requirement and more specifically to the verbiage of the SCA Directory of Occupations (5th Edition)?	The TE 1 M-S-T is a representation of the current workload to include the levels of experience that is needed to complete the mission requirements. An Offeror must provide a staffing approach to address the tasks as provided in the workload data /exhibits and the PWS in order to ensure mission success.
ExA26	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-5, Page 2, ref: 5.1.6	Exhibit A	PWS states during duty hours, a guard shall be posted to control personnel and equipment entering and exiting the MMD Complex. Is this requirement included in the workload documents?	TE M-S-T-001 has been updated to include the task with the associated hours.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
ExA27	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 1, Electronics	Exhibit A	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T only matches the Electronic Technician Maintenance I occupation description of the SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition, which provides no requirement for more experienced electronics maintenance personnel to support customer requirements. Is it the Government's intent for the offeror to only staff the requirement with Electronic Technician Maintenance I's or is there a requirement for more experienced personnel.	The TE 1 M-S-T is a representation of the current workload to include experience levels that is needed to complete the mission requirements
Ex1	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Maintenance, Page 1, Emergency Vehicle Repair	Exhibits	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any SCA Directory of Occupation, 5th Edition occupation descriptions, which indicates it may be a conformed labor category. Please clarify if this is the case or not and provide the necessary conformation information, including labor category title and hourly salary rate.	The main requirement is the certification of Emergency Vehicle Technician which is specified in the TE 1G-005. There is no CBA in place to provide an hourly salary rate.
Ex2	Exhibit AA TE 3G-002, Government Furnished Equipment; Exhibit U TE 5S-008 ASP Vehicles	Exhibits	It was stated several times during the Site Visit that the Government had provided in the Draft RFP, listings of tools that were provided as GFE at both the Pope Airfield Maintenance Facility and the main MMD Facilities on Fort Bragg. These lists appear to be absent from the Draft RFP since the only GFE related document are Exhibit AA and Exhibit U. Will the Government provide these lists prior to the final RFP being released so that offerors can evaluate the tools on hand and what additional tools may be required?	The tools are listed in Exhibit AA TE 3G-002 Government Furnished Equipment. Tools specific to the Pope requirement have the title page "Pope AAF tool list". The tools for the main MMD are spread out in the GFE listing as they are in all of our solicitations. The only reason the POPE AAF is specified differently is because they provide all tooling, and they are a reimbursable customer.
Ex3	Exhibit E TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Requirements	Exhibits	Does Exhibit E reflect the positions relating specifically to Fort Bragg?	TE 1G-005 has been updated. All of the positions listed in TE 1G-005 are specific to the Fort Bragg requirements.
Ex4	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours Fort Bragg	Exhibits	The workload data does not appear to include workload for the Logistics Control Center (LCC). <b>Question:</b> Will the Government consider updating the workload data to include the LCC?	There are no FLC1 hours associated with the LCC requirement. The workload for this requirement is located in TE 1G-005.
Ex5	Exhibit D TE 1G-003 & Attachment 0001, Section C-5, page 76 ref: 5.26	Exhibits	What are the hours of operation for the Personally Owned Vehicle Storage Facility? Will this be 24/7 - weekends and holidays?	No, the Personally Owned Vehicle Storage Facility will align with the core hours of the LRC. Mon-Fri 0730-1600
Ex6	TE 1G-0003	Exhibits	Are the MMD ACP hours defined in the RFP?	They are listed in the Hours of Operation TE.
Ex7		Exhibits	Are the MMD special tools included in the GFE listing?	Yes.
Ex8	TE 1G-0003	Exhibits	What are the hours and requirements for the MMD Access Control Point (ACP)?	TE 1G-003 was updated to reflect the hours of operations for the MMD ACP (7:00 A.M. - 5:00 P.M.) MMD ACP requirements include: Log equipment in & out of compound ensure equipment is accepted and picked up by authorized personnel IAW AR 750-1 Ensure only authorized personnel enter compound, provides escort on a as needed basis. No specific skill set is required. A rotating duty roster can be used with existing contract personnel.
Ex9	L.5.2.1.1(c)(5)(iii) & Exhibit E TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Requirements	Exhibits	Exhibit E identifies two (2) Specified Non-Key Positions as Managers, the Pallet and Net Manager and Pax Shed Manager. The Exhibit E instructions, as well as L.5.2.1.1(c)(5)(iii), note that Managers shall not have any FLC1 designation (whole or in part).  Are the Pallet and Net Manager and Pax Shed Manager true Manager positions by this definition?	The Tech Exhibit for the Key and Specified Non-key positions has been updated. The Pallet and Net Manager and the Pax Shed Manager positions have been changed to Pallet and Net Specialist and Pax Shed Specialist.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
Ex10	L.2.8, Exhibit AB CDRLs SS, Exhibit W CDRLs CD, Exhibit X CDRLs ITD, Exhibit Y CDRLs MMD, Exhibit Z CDRLs PM	Exhibits	CDRLs in Exhibits AB, W, X, Y and Z are not IAW with the staggered transition-in schedule outlined in L.2.8.  Would the Government please update Exhibits AB, W, X, Y, and Z to reflect the appropriate submission dates IAW the staggered transition-in outlined in L.2.8?	The submission dates referenced in the CDRLs refer to notice to proceed date. The contractor will receive a notice to proceed for each of the function areas that will be transitioned IAW the staggered transition-in schedule outlined in L.2.8.
Ex11	Exhibit E TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Requirements	Exhibits	A Storage & Warehouse Supervisor (CIF, HMMP, ASP) is listed as a Key Position; however, Exhibit E also lists an ASP Supervisor and a CIF Supervisor, resulting in a duplication/crossover of supervisory responsibilities for the ASP and CIF.  Would the Government please clarify the areas of responsibility for the Storage & Warehouse Supervisor?	The Storage & Warehouse Supervisor (CIF, HMMP, ASP) position was changed to Storage & Warehouse Supervisor. Please refer to updated TE 1G-005 for position description.
Ex12		Exhibits	Is there office space allocated for the PM Office?	Yes.
Ex13	Exhibit E TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Requirements	Exhibits	The Transportation Motor Pool Supervisor and the TMP Operations Manager have the exact same job description to include the same number of years for documents supervisory experience in management. Does the offeror have a requirement to fill both positions? If yes to the question above, what distinguishes the difference between a Supervisor and a Manager?	The Transportation Motor Pool Supervisor and TMP Operations Manager positions have been changed to TMP Supervisor and TMP Operations Supervisor. Both descriptions have been updated to identify the differences between the two positions. Please refer to updated TE 1G-005 for position descriptions.
Ex14	TE 1G-005, Page 4	Exhibits	Please provide workload data (annual maintenance man-hours) for the Logistics Management Specialist Supervisor, Maintenance Logistics Management Specialist, Supply and Services Logistics Management Specialist, and Transportation Logistics Management Positions. There is no information provided that allows the offeror to determine the number of positions required to effectively support Fort Bragg Customer support requirements.	TE 1G-005 has been updated. Positions that should be proposed as 100% FLC2 have been identified. All FLC1 hours have been identified in the updated TE 1 M-S-T-001.
Ge1		General	It was stated during the Site Visit that there had been a number of GAO decisions rendered regarding the compliance requirements stated in previous EAGLE BOA Step 3 Solicitations. Can the Government provide these decisions so that offerors can be fully informed of the compliance requirements and implications?	Offerors should read and reference the formal Ft. Bragg solicitation to become informed of the specific requirements related to strict compliance. The impact is clearly indicated in each respective part of the solicitation, which predominately states "The proposal will not be further evaluated and will not be further considered for award". If Offerors are interesting in reading the decisions made by GAO, they should go to <a href="http://www.gao.gov">www.gao.gov</a> and search the term "Enhanced Army Global Logistics Enterprise".
Ge2		General	How many contracts are transitioning to this EAGLE Task Order?	There are five contracts that will be consolidated under the Ft. Bragg EAGLE requirement. The incumbent contractors and contracts are listed in Section A paragraph 7.
Ge3		General	If workload or scope changes after award will the successful offeror/awardee be able to change proposed rates/FTEs?	Yes, unless an exception applies such as in the case where an offeror did not propose rates that were fully supported by historical and/or budgetary data. In such a situation rates would be capped as indicated in the eventual contract, this would be reflected in Section H, paragraph H.5. CAPPED INDIRECT RATES.
Ge4		General	Who is the audience/reader of the technical proposals?	The Government selects subject matter experts from the sites or brigades who have on-site or previous experience.
Ge5		General	Will there be only one Contracting Officer Representative (COR)?	No, there will be one main COR and several other CORs and Alternate CORs for the various functional areas.
Ge6		General	Has the Government seen surges in the past years?	The LRC has seen a downturn but surges may happen.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
Ge7	General	General	Is there a difference between an approved teammate listed in the "Organizational Capability" of your BOA and those teammates listed in "Additions"? If so, please explain.	<p>In Step Three Task Order RFPs, there is no difference between an approved teammate listed in the "Organizational Capability" and an approved teammate listed in "Additions" of a company's BOA Attachment 0002. In accordance with H.1(d), "[w]hen submitting a proposal in Step Three, the Offeror shall propose itself and/or approved Teammates listed in the Offeror's BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement." The Government will verify that the Offeror's proposal includes approved Teammates (listed under "Organizational Capability" or "Additions") by comparing the Offeror's proposal to their BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement. Other than Small Business Offerors should note that they may propose Subcontractors in addition to what is listed in their BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement if that Subcontractor is being proposed for the purpose of meeting the Offeror's Small Business Participation Proposal in accordance with Sections L and M of this Task Order RFP.</p> <p>In Step Two BOA RFPs, there is a difference. A BOA teammate listed in the "Organizational Capability" section of a firm's BOA Attachment 0002 was needed to demonstrate a BOA Holder's organizational capability to perform as a Single Logistics Provider on EAGLE efforts, thus allowing it to obtain an EAGLE BOA. An "Addition" would be a subcontractor which was proposed to provide additional capacity to the already demonstrated "Organizational Capability". The removal of a teammate needed to demonstrate organizational capability would require a BOA Holder to repropose on an open Step 2 BOA RFP and demonstrate its new organizational capability (Revising), whereas the removal of an "Addition" would not require the BOA Holder to repropose in order to retain its EAGLE BOA. This was also previously mentioned in Step 2 BOA RFP W52P1J-12-R-0198 Q&amp;A #57(A.1)</p>
PWS1	TE 1G-005, Page 1	PWS	Can the Alt Project Manager Position be dual hatted with one of the other Key Positions (e.g. Maintenance Manager)?	Yes, the Alt. Project manager may be dual hatted with another Key Position as indicated in the PWS. Also, reference Question # PWS4.
PWS2	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category Hours for Fort Bragg Transportation, Page 10, Motor Pool Operations, Environmental and PWS Para 5.24, Pages 72-74	PWS	The task description provided in TE 1 M-S-T does not match any requirements in the corresponding PWS Paragraph 5.24. Please clarify the requirement and task in terms of the PWS and make the necessary changes to both documents, as appropriate.	PWS 5.24.25 was added to include the environmental requirements for the Motor Pool Operations.
PWS3		PWS	It was stated during the Site Visit that individual mechanics tool boxes/kits at the main MMD Maintenance Facility on Fort Bragg were the responsibility of the employee. With that being the case, does the Government expect offerors to conduct a 100% inventory of those tool boxes/kits, and if so, what provisions/arrangements does the Government intend to make with the incumbent so that the incoming contractor will have access to those employees and those tool boxes/kits for inventory purposed prior to the required FOC date?	The Contractor is responsible for providing individual mechanic tool kits except for the POPE maintenance requirement where the Government will provide the tools. The Contractor will be responsible for performing an inventory of the POPE tool kits during Transition-In.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
PWS4	Attachment 001, PWS, page 11, paragraph 1.3.2.1. Exhibit e TE 1G-005, page 1	PWS	PWS Paragraph 1.3.2.1 states in part "Named Key Positions are to be not less than one (1) FTE each and may not be 'dual-hatted' with other Key Positions with the exception of the PM and Maintenance Manager.". Exhibit E TE 1G-005 states "The alternate Project Manager shall act on behalf of the Contractor in the absence of the Project Manager. The alternate shall meet the same qualifications and have the same authority as the Project Manager. The duty location for the Alternate Project Manager is Fort Bragg, NC. This is not a standalone position. The contractor shall designate the Alternate Project Manager responsibilities to another manager as a dual responsibility in meeting the contract requirements. This is not a separate position.". The two sections seem to contradict each other as the PWS states that the PM and Maintenance Manager are the only positions that can be "dual-hatted" while Exhibit E does not state this but states the Alternate PM "is not a separate Position." Request clarification as to which positions the Government will permit to be "dual-hatted" and which they will not.	PWS 1.3.2.1 has been updated to clarify that no dual hatting is permitted except during transition of another named Key Position not to exceed 30 days. Dual hatting is only permissible during transition. This applies to all standalone positions. The Alternate Project Manager is not a standalone position. The contractor is allowed to designate any of the other Manager Positions as the Alt Project Manager as long as they meet the specified requirements in TE 1G-005. Also, reference Question # PWS1.
PWS5	Exhibit D TE 1G-003 Hours of Operation, Page 1, Lines 18 & 19	PWS	Hours of operation for ASP in TE state 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 P.m. and gates as 6:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. but are defined in the PWS attachment 0001 Section C-5 : page 46 5.19.4.3 as requiring "Continuous Surveillance of facilities ". What is considered continuous? Is it; 24/7 or just during the defined hours of operation?	PWS 5.19.4 has been updated. As defined in PWS 5.19.4, for Category I and II facilities protected by IDS, the intervals between checks will be once every 24 hours. A complete surveillance of the entire ASP area will have to be completed once every 24 hours in accordance with AR 190-11 paragraph 3-7.
PWS6	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-5 Page 44 ref: 5.18.1.3	PWS	PWS states all Hazardous Material personnel will be AMMO 62 trained. This class is primarily for shipping hazardous materials. Will this section be required to ship hazardous materials also or was this intended to be the Ft Bragg Environmental Compliance class?	Hazardous Material personnel are required to have the initial Environmental Compliance Course (20hrs), and there after annually, the 8 hour Environmental Compliance Training. Both training courses are given by the Installation DPW Environmental Compliance Branch. AMMO 62 Course is required for personnel handling certified hazardous shipments. This position(s) is normally in the Shipping or CCI/RATTS Section.
PWS7	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-1 Page 24 Ref 1.11.6 & TE 1G-005 page 4, row 2	PWS	PWS directs contractor to provide user support to all Logistics Information Systems (LIS), including required formal functional user training. Please explain this. There is no requirement for an IT section, but is a requirement for a Specified Information Technology Support Lead. Will this person be a system administrator for all LIS? Contractor is supposed to provide training on government unique, proprietary systems?	The Information Technology (IT) Support Lead has been removed. The contractor is required to have personnel trained, and qualified to operate LIS pertaining to this Task Order. There are no System Administrator duties for contract personnel.
PWS8	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-5, page 72 ref: 5.24.2	PWS	PWS states Contractor will issue OF346. Contractor cannot issue a military driver's license.	The contractor will not be responsible for issuing the OF346. The Government removed this from the PWS. In reference to OF 346, the contractor will only be responsible for reviewing the OF 346 to ensure that it lists the type of vehicle which is being requested.
PWS9	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-5, page 46 , ref: 5.19.4.1 & 5.19.4.3	PWS	PWS states contractor shall control personnel and vehicle entry into and departure from the various two gates of the ASP facility. Also, patrol the ASP in vehicles or on foot as required to provide continuous surveillance. Does continuous mean 24/7? If so, is this included in the workload and manning documents? What job description is this work performed under?	PWS 5.19.4 has been updated. As defined in PWS 5.19.4, for Category I and II facilities protected by IDS, the intervals between checks will be once every 24 hours. A complete surveillance of the entire ASP area will have to be completed once every 24 hours in accordance with AR 190-11 paragraph 3-7. M-S-T has been updated to include the surveillance task.
PWS10	Attachment 0001, Section C-1 , Page 15, ref: 1.5.7.2	PWS	PWS states contractor shall maintain 24-hour security via installed intrusion detection system (IDS) and closed circuit monitoring of the perimeter and facilities. Where is this monitoring in place and is this included in workload and manning documents?	There are currently no closed circuit monitoring systems in place on this task order, only IDS.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
PWS11	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-1, page 11, para 1.4.2.1	PWS	"1.4.2.1 The contractor shall support contingencies and provide services in the event of natural disasters, uprisings, civil disturbances, and other crises. Contingency operations of this nature will be within the PWS requirements of supply, maintenance, and transportation, however, the effort(s) may require work at remote sites, in dangerous locations, conducted in extreme temperatures (high / low), be subjected to blowing sand, dirt and debris, at heights or in confined spaces. This work will likely have very short response times where the contractor may have to use existing staff, ramp up quickly and travel on short notice (air, rail, and road). The contractor shall include in its contingency plan processes to ramp up, travel and begin work within 72 hours of notice to proceed from the KO." What plans or contingencies does the government envision "remote site" deployments to "dangerous locations" that require contractor personnel to deploy within 72 hours?	Anything envisioned would be hypothetical, but at this time there are no contingency operations currently being performed.
PWS12	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-1, page 11, para 1.4.4	PWS	"1.4.4 When required and directed by the KO, the contractor shall provide technical support or assistance at customer locations within the LRC area of responsibility. The impact of this additional customer support to current workload / capability must be assessed by the contractor prior to the assistance being provided. If the support or assistance is anticipated to impact cost or mission capability, the contractor must contact the COR prior to performing support or assistance." If it is directed by the KO, why must the contractor request approval from the COR since the COR works for the KO and at the KO's direction?	PWS 1.4.4 has been updated to state "If the support or assistance is anticipated to impact cost or mission capability, the contractor must contact the KO prior to performing support or assistance."
PWS13	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-1, page 11, para 1.4.5	PWS	"1.4.5 The contractor shall provide and have on-site a Project Manager (PM) no later than the start of work and throughout the period of performance of this effort. Additionally, utilizing an existing staff member, the contractor will designate an alternate PM to be available in the event the Project Manager is absent or otherwise unavailable..." Please define what is meant by an "existing staff member," does this mean that the Alternate PM is supposed to be "dual hatted" or does the government intend to specify that the Alternate PM must come from the staff of the previous contractor's workforce already on the project?	An existing staff member does not mean that the Alternate PM must come from the staff of the previous contractor's workforce. Also, reference Question # PWS1.
PWS14	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-1, page 14, para 1.5.5	PWS	"1.5.5 The Government will perform physical security and force protection inspections on Government provided facilities prior to and during contractor occupation of Government facilities. The contractor will ensure ready access to all contractor occupied areas by Government inspectors. The Government at its sole discretion will provide any physical repairs and improvements to all structures and barriers..." This appears to conflict with Paragraph 3.8.3, Section C-3 page 6	In accordance with PWS 1.5.5, the Government can at any time make repairs (i.e. repair a roof), modifications, or improvements to any structures and barriers. In accordance with PWS 3.8.3, the contractor is responsible for issuing all emergency or normal work orders for required repairs that are determined during the contractor's inspections of facilities.
PWS15	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-1, page 20, para 1.8.8	PWS	"1.8.8 The contractor shall prepare and submit a written Occupational Health Hazard Plan and procedure for implementing OSHA standards...Additionally, the contractor shall not construe the requirements of this PWS as making the Government the controlling employer (as defined by the Federal OSHA) at any contractor-operated facility." The government controls which buildings and structures that the contractor occupies, approves and disapproves allocations of funding for the maintenance and repair of these structures (see para 1.5.5) and this can cause the undue liability on the contractor for facilities owned, operated and maintained by the government which is outside of the control of the contractor. Request clarification, DE conflict with para 1.5.5 and para 3.8.3 and release the contractor for liability of faults in government furnished facilities and equipment	The Contractor is responsible for submitting work orders to maintain the required facility standards. It is unlikely the Contractor would be held liable for repairs that are not completed due to the failure of the government to take action after receiving the work orders.
PWS16	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-3, page 6, para 3.8.3	PWS	"3.8.3 The contractor is directly responsible to coordinate for any and all facility repairs with the Fort Bragg DPW Work Order Section (emergency or normal work orders) for required repairs." Does this conflict with para 1.5.5 and cause the contractor to be unduly liable under para 1.8.8?	See Question # PWS15.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
PWS17	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-3, page 1, para 3.2.1	PWS	"3.2.1 The Government will furnish emergency medical and rescue services to prevent loss of life, limb, or undue suffering within the areas serviced by the applicable installation emergency services provider. The Government will seek reimbursement for medical services rendered, as appropriate." Please define, what is "appropriate."	Appropriate is defined as, "Medical Services furnished directly related to the incident which results in loss of life, limb, or undue suffering."
PWS18	Attachment 0001-Performance Work Statement, Section C-3, page 3, para 3.4.1	PWS	"GSA vehicles provided to support this effort are listed in TE 3G-003." Under the current system, there are charges assessed for most GSA vehicles in use under the contract. Who pays for the lease, mileage, fuel, maintenance and servicing of the GSA vehicles provided under this effort?	The Government pays for the lease, mileage, fuel, maintenance and servicing of the GSA vehicles provided under this effort. The contractor is responsible for any costs associated with accidents or incidents for those GSA vehicles provided as GFE to the contractor.
PWS19	PWS, pg 44, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.17.16	PWS	Are there Government employees located in the LCC Ops with the contractor employees?	No, the contractors have their own office which is located on the Support ops wing of the LRC.
PWS20	PWS, pg 80, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.33.1.1	PWS	When is the JPPSO Transition Date?	The LRC is working with the JPPSO team to determine if the LRC Bragg PPSO will transition. For proposal purposes, reference paragraph 5.33.1.1 of the PWS which states that the "back office functions" are currently scheduled to transition 31 Dec 2016.
PWS21	PWS, pg 78, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.29	PWS	Are the Short Line Rail Operations included in the EAGLE Task Order?	No.
PWS22	PWS, pg 41, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.17	PWS	Are Code H items turned in?	Yes, they are turned in to DLA Disposition Services (formerly DRMO)
PWS23	PWS, pg 44, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.18	PWS	Does the HAZMAT Section deliver to units?	NO, units use organizational assets to pick up.
PWS24		PWS	Is DLA Disposition (formerly DRMO) located on Fort Bragg?	Yes.
PWS25		PWS	What LIS is used in the SSA?	GCSS-Army
PWS26	PWS, pg 41, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.17	PWS	Are all SSA functions included in the EAGLE Task Order?	Yes.
PWS27	PWS, pg 3, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.2.1.5	PWS	Does the MMD certify Containers for sea worthiness?	Yes.
PWS28	PWS, pg 3, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.2.1.5	PWS	Is container maintenance performed in the container yard?	The initial inspection is performed in the container yard and the maintenance is performed at the MMD.
PWS29	PWS, pg 39, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.16	PWS	Will PDTE Property Accountability transition to the EAGLE Task Order.	Yes, but it will have a later NTP date. Reference L.2.8 of the RFP.
PWS30	PWS, pg 4, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.3.5	PWS	Who performs maintenance on PDTE?	LRC MMD.
PWS31	PWS, pg 18, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.10.3	PWS	Does the MMD perform Calibration on test equipment?	Yes, test equipment is sent to the TMDE on the installation.
PWS32	PWS, pg 39, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.16	PWS	Does the CIPBO ship PDTE to other installations?	Yes
PWS33		PWS	Is Furnishing management included in the EAGLE Task Order?	No.
PWS34	PWS, pg 69, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.21	PWS	Is the TMP included in the EAGLE Task Order?	Yes, with a later NTP date. Reference L.2.8 of the RFP.
PWS35	PWS, pg 69, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.25.2	PWS	Are 3 quotes required to select a carrier for line haul requirements?	The request is submitted through Tool Suites and put out for bid. When using the Cargo Movement Operations System (CMOS) for moving Freight, the system ranks and rates the carrier least cost to high cost. When using Negotiated/Spot Bid, the Carriers is ranked least cost to high cost. When using Total Delivery Service (TDS) you will need 3 quotes if over \$3,000.00 and all carriers must be provided the opportunity to provide a quote. For International Heavyweight Air Tenders you must get 3 quotes.
PWS36	PWS, pg 45, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.19.1.1	PWS	Are pre-configured loads in the ASP palletized?	Yes.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
PWS37	PWS, pg 73, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.24.18	PWS	Is fire Truck Maintenance included in the EAGLE Task Order?	Yes.
PWS38	PWS, pg 9, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.6.1	PWS	Is Maintenance of fuel trucks for the Pope MMD requirement included in the Task Order?	Yes.
PWS39		PWS	Is Laundry and Dry Cleaning or Dining Facility operations included on the EAGLE Task Order?	No.
PWS40	PWS, pg 2, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.2.1.1	PWS	Are MRAPS required at FMC or 10/20 standards?	10/20 standards
PWS41	PWS, pg 2, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.2.1.1	PWS	Are the MRAPS in the limited use program?	No, they are maintained in accordance with applicable Technical Manuals.
PWS42	PWS, pg 11, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.6.8	PWS	Are the Pope MMD tools provided by the Government?	Yes.
PWS43	PWS, pg 46, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.19.4. 5.19.4.3	PWS	Is continuous surveillance required at the ASP?	Surveillance is required at the ASP in accordance with paragraphs 3-7 and 5-2 of Army Regulation 190-11, dated 5 Sep 2013.
PWS44		PWS	Do the LIS requirements listed require an Information Technology labor category?	No. The personnel required for the LIS in this Task Order must be trained and qualified to operate the LIS assigned.
PWS45		PWS	Are there System Administrator duties required for this task Order?	No.
PWS46		PWS	Are the AR 5-9 requirements performed on an electronic basis or are teams sent to the various locations for support?	The requirements are mostly supported via electronic means; however, there are some actions requested that may require a team to go to the supported location. These actions are worked on a case-by-case basis.
PWS47	PWS, pg 3, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.2.1.2	PWS	Are there mobile maintenance team requirements?	Yes. There are reimbursable support missions which require mobile maintenance teams. Sunny Point is one of those locations.
PWS48		PWS	Does the AR 5-9 15 Virginia Counties requirement apply to Maintenance and Supply as well as Transportation?	No, The AR 5-9 15 Virginia Counties only apply to the PPSO.
PWS49		PWS	What is the mission of the On-the-Move (OTM) Trailers small arms support?	The OTM Trailers are used for the Garrison and Fort Bragg Installation Units support. Contact trucks are used for support outside Fort Bragg.
PWS50	PWS, pg 6, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.4.1.1	PWS	Are there arms rooms on this Task Order?	Yes. The MMD has 2 large arms rooms and an ARMAG. These can support approximately a Brigade size element of weapons. The SSA also has an arms room which can be used for overflow.
PWS51	PWS, pg 15, Section C-1 Paragraph 1.5.7.2	PWS	Are the arms rooms equipped with IDS?	Yes, the arms rooms are equipped with intrusion detection systems (IDS)
PWS52	PWS, pg 78, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.30.2	PWS	What are the requirements for the Port Support Activity (PSA)?	SDDC currently has a stevedore contract which supports PSA. In the event that the support is not sufficient, SDDC, IAW FORSCOM regulation 55-1, will request support from the LRC, which will be reimbursable and tailored to the specific mission.
PWS53		PWS	Describe the surge level on average.	Currently, there is a downward trend.
PWS54	PWS, pg 10, Section C-1 Paragraph 1.4.1.4	PWS	If there was a mission which could not be performed within current resources how would the contractor support the mission? Overtime or a request for level of effort increase?	The expectation of the Government is that the contractor will support missions without the use of overtime or level of effort increases. Missions are worked on a case-by-case basis according to the analysis. If the analysis supports overtime, then that will be requested through the Contracting Officer. If the analysis supports a level of effort increase, this will also be sent to the Contracting Officer for action.
PWS55	PWS, pg 39, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.6.1	PWS	Does the CIPBO include tactical unit accounts?	No. Each tactical unit has its own PNO. The CIPBO for this Task Order is responsible for installation equipment and PDTE.
PWS56		PWS	Are uniforms required?	No.
PWS57		PWS	Will the Government provide Personal Property Equipment?	Yes, within a reasonable amount.
PWS58		PWS	Is workman's compensation included in the surrogate ODC?	As stated in Paragraph 1.12.1 of the PWS, worker's compensation is excluded from ODCs.
PWS59		PWS	How large is the container yard?	At the time of the site visit there were 850 containers in the main container yard and about 400 in the other container yard.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
PWS60	Site Visit Question PWS, pg 2, Section C-5 Paragraph 5.1.6	PWS	Are guards required at the MMD Access Control Point?	Personnel are required to man the Access Control Point. However, these personnel are not an SCA Guard Labor Category. The maintenance section of Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 is updated.
RFP1	Attachment 0013, Mission Essential Contractor Services, Para 2.(e)	RFP	Attachment 0013, para 2(e), Pope AAF Intermodal Cargo, lists the PWS reference as PWS 5.3.1. We believe the correct reference is PWS 5.31.  Would the Government please confirm or correct the PWS reference for the Pope AAF Intermodal Cargo MECS task?	The Pope AAF Intermodal Cargo is PWS 5.31. Attachment 0013 has been updated.
RFP2	Attachment 0007- Department of Labor Wage Determination	RFP	What is the ASP Hazardous pay percentage differential? Does everyone working in the ASP get this?	Use the 8% differential. The drivers or individuals performing in administrative positions do not receive this differential.
RFP3	E-3 (a)	RFP	As it reads that the "contractor shall comply with the higher-level quality standard(s) listed below. ISO 9001-2008" Does this mean that the contractor does not actually have to be certified under ISO 9001-2008 and must only perform under those ISO standards? What about the fact that ISO is changing it's quality standards soon? Can it be assumed that the contractor will also have to comply with, but not actually be required to certify as new ISO standards are published?	The contractor shall comply with the ISO 9001-2008 standards, but the contractor does not have to actually hold an ISO 9001-2008 certification.
RFP4	H-4 (a)	RFP	States that: "Excessive rates of loss ... is strong evidence that the Contractors property management practices are inadequate and may be determined to be a breach of a material term of the contract." Please quantify and define "Excessive."	This is subjective, it will depend upon the impact realized by the Government and the various factors contributing to the rate of loss.
RFP5	RFP Page 3 of 77, Paragraph A.8	RFP	Section A.8: For the purposes of this Task Order RFP: "Teammate" is defined as a Joint Venture partner and/or Subcontractor(s) who are included in the Offeror's BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement at the closing date of this Task Order RFP. Refer to this definition when the term "Teammate" is used throughout this RFP and its attachments. A "Major Subcontractor" is defined as a proposed Subcontractor expected to perform 20% or more of the Offeror's total proposed price (for the base period and all option periods). For purposes of this Task Order RFP, Major Subcontractors are not considered those Teammates identified in the Offeror's BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement. Refer to this definition when the term "Major Subcontractor" is used throughout this RFP and its attachments." <b>Question:</b> This statement appears to allow the Offeror to propose a Major Subcontractor that is not on their Attachment 0002 - Teaming Arrangement due to the fact that they will be performing 20% or more of the Offeror's proposed price as stated in A.8, are we correct?	An offeror may propose a subcontractor(s), major or otherwise, if it was able to obtain its EAGLE BOA by demonstrating organizational capability without the reliance of teammates as indicated by Functional Area on its BOA Attachment 0002 Team Arrangement. In addition, an Other than Small Business Offeror is afforded the ability to propose a subcontractor(s), major or otherwise, on Unrestricted EAGLE Task Order RFPs with Small Business Participation as an evaluation factor for the purposes of meeting Small Business Participation requirements.
RFP6	Section H.1	RFP	This section states that BOA holders may propose subcontractors for purposes of meeting small business goals. Does this provision allow a Prime contractor to propose a subcontractor that is not part of the team if they are helping to meet a specific small business goal? If yes, would this allowance also be acceptable if the Prime had current members of their BOA with similar socio-economic criteria (i.e. added a SDVOSB to meet SDVOSB goal, but also have a current approved teammate that is a SDVOSB).	Yes, Other than Small Business Offerors may propose a subcontractor(s), major or otherwise, on Unrestricted EAGLE Task Order RFPs with Small Business Participation as an evaluation factor for the purposes of meeting Small Business Participation requirements. The response to the second question is yes.
SL1	L.2.8 and L.5.2.1.1(a)(3)	Section L	Section L.2.8 requires the offeror to discuss five separate transition activities in our technical proposal (capped at 11 pages). While we can cover the comprehensive transition within the allotted page count, five separate transitions in another story. Recommend the Government increase the total proposal page count from 11 to 15 pages.	Page count for the SMP will be increased to 15.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
SL2	L.5.1.9 and PWS.	Section L	The draft solicitation provides no guidance as to what constitutes evidence of compliance with DFARS 252.223-7002/7003 and DoD 4145.26-M. A review of both documents provides no clarity and Chapter 3, DoD 4145.26-M, is primarily concerned with ammunition manufacturing operations. The only area that makes sense, relative to the ASP requirements are the specific training requirements. Please clarify what the Government is looking for with respect to the requirement, whether it should be included in the solicitation, and, if it is included, why? (NOTE: This was not a requirement in the original BOA solicitation, was not a requirement for obtaining an EAGLE award, and has not been included in most Unrestricted and all Restricted Suite task orders so it is unclear why it is included in the solicitation - it appears to be an effort to limit competition.)	DoD policy is to ensure that its contractors take reasonable precautions in handling ammunition and explosives so as to minimize the potential for mishaps. As stated at DFAR 223.370-3(b) "This policy is implemented by DoD 4145.26-M, DoD Contractors' Safety Manual for Ammunition and Explosives, which is incorporated into contracts under which ammunition and explosives are handled. The manual contains mandatory safety requirements for contractors." The Government utilizes this information as part of its responsibility determination.
SL3	L.5.4.2.7.5(d)	Section L	This paragraph state "Historical Cost Data (Historical Rates). The Offeror shall provide historical cost data for 2013, 2013, and 2015." Should this be 2013, 2014, and 2015?	Yes, this will be revised in the final RFP.
SL4	L.5.2.1.1(b)(4)	Section L	Paragraph L.5.2.1.1(b)(4)(iv) states: Approach to assuming accountability of Government Furnished Property (GFP) / Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) (including joint inventory with incumbent contractor) with all actions up to and including reconciliation completed prior to the end of transition-in. Will the Government provide windows during which an operation can be either entirely closed down or significantly curtailed in order to conduct effective inventories of those locations with significant inventories (e.g. CIF, MMD Arms rooms, spare parts, tool rooms, and the like)?	No, the effort at Ft. Bragg may not be impacted during the inventory process.
SL5	L.5.2.1.1(b)(4)(ii)	Section L	L.5.2.1.1(b)(4)(ii) Approach to ensure compliance with Executive Order 13495 - Hiring of qualified incumbent workforce. Will the incumbent retain all of their employees until the FOC date or will the Government, Incumbent and Awarded Contractor coordinate for a time-phased movement of employees in order to facilitate the transition and meet the obligations of Executive Order 13495?	It is the responsibility of the on-boarding contractor to coordinate with the incumbent to transition the contract and meet the requirements of FAR 52.222-17 -- Nondisplacement of Qualified Workers, including requirements established by Executive Order 13495.
SL6	L.5.1.9.1 (d)	Section L	Naming Convention: Offerors_Name_Pre-Award Safety Responsibility. The naming convention does not reflect Vol_1_ and also has an additional space between Award, Safety, and Responsibility. <b>Question:</b> Was it the Government's intention to provide the naming convention with the underscores, Vol number, and no spaces: Offeror Name Vol 1 Pre-Award Safety Responsibility?	The naming convention as provided at L.5.1.9.1(d) has been updated. In addition, if an Offeror's file name is too long (more than 40 characters), it is permitted to abbreviate the Name of the Company field within the file name as provided by L.3.4.
SL7	L.5.1.9.1 (d)	Section L	Will the Government please clarify as to what the correct naming convention should be within the allotted 40 characters?	This issue was previously encountered and addressed, please reference RFP paragraph L.3.4
SL8	L.5.1.9.1(a)	Section L	Paragraph L.5.1.9.1(a) states, "Evidence of the development and implementation of a safety program used during the performance of Ammunition Supply Services (ASS) under another Government contract which includes operational procedures intended to prevent ammunition and explosive-related accidents." The paragraph further states, "The contractor's safety plan must address the mandatory requirements described in Chapter 3 of DoD 4145.26-M." Does this mean that offerors are to provide a safety plan as part of this file or are we to provide an experience narrative as it pertains to Chapter 3 of DoD 4145.26-M, addressing our experience to each of the areas listed in Chapter 3?	See Question # SL2
SL9	L.5.1.9.1 Pre-Award Safety Responsibility	Section L	RFP L.5.1.9.1 Pre-Award Safety Responsibility states "Offers shall demonstrate capability to comply with the contract safety requirements IAW DFARS Clause 252.223-7002, Safety Precautions for Ammunition and Explosives, Clause 252.223-7003, Change in Place of Performance Ammunition and Explosives and paragraph 5.19 Ammunition Supply Services of the PWS to be part of a responsibility determination." If a Prime has developed a Safety Program for Ammunition and Explosives, but has not implemented it on a contract how will that be evaluated?	Paragraph L.5.1.9.1 was revised in the formal RFP.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
SL10	Section L ref: L.5.1.9 Pre-Award Safety Responsibility	Section L	RFP L.5.1.9.1 Pre-Award Safety Responsibility states "Offers shall demonstrate capability to comply with the contract safety requirements IAW DFARS Clause 252.223-7002, Safety Precautions for Ammunition and Explosives, Clause 252.223-7003, Change in Place of Performance Ammunition and Explosives and paragraph 5.19 Ammunition Supply Services of the PWS to be part of a responsibility determination." If a Prime has developed and implemented a Safety Program for Ammunition and Explosives for another DoD Service, but has not implemented it on a US Army Ammunition Supply Point contract, how will that be evaluated?	Paragraph L.5.1.9.1 was revised in the formal RFP.
SL11	L.3	Section L	Is Army Single Face no longer being used?	ASFI will not be utilized. In accordance with L.3 of the RFP, Offerors shall submit proposals through the FedBizOpps system.
SL12	L.2.2, L.5.1.8	Section L	Section L.2.2 notes "All information pertaining to a particular volume shall be confined to that volume. For example, no Cost/Price information shall be included in any volume other than the Cost/Price Proposal Volume."  Would the Government please confirm that Attachment 0010 does indeed belong to Volume 1, General Documents?	Confirmed
SL13	L.2.2, L.5.5.1.1(c)	Section L	Section L.2.2 notes "All information pertaining to a particular volume shall be confined to that volume. For example, no Cost/Price information shall be included in any volume other than the Cost/Price Proposal Volume."  Would the Government please confirm that Attachment 0014 does indeed belong to Volume 5, Small Business Participation Factor?	Confirmed
SL14	L.2.8	Section L	Does the Base Period end on the same date for all the incumbent contracts, which have staggered NTP dates IAW L.2.8?	Each contract being consolidated into this EAGLE task order contract has its own independent periods of performance. Because of this, each contract will onboard to this task order on the date as communicated in the formal RFP. While all contracts will onboard at their own specified time, the entire base period of the eventual EAGLE task order contract will not exceed 365 days after the first NTP date.
SL15	L.2.8 and L.5.2.1.1(b)(4)	Section L	L.2.8 outlines staggered NTP dates and different number of days to transition in the various services. L.5.2.1.1(b)(4) requires offerors to outline a realistic and feasible approach to transitioning in all of the PWS requirements with key milestones from NTP to FOC, a singular NTP and FOC date as has been the case with previous solicitations.  Would the Government please clarify the Transition-in Approach requirement for L.5.2.1.1(b)(4) in light of the multiple NTP and FOC dates associated with this contract opportunity?	The Government revised L.5.2.1.1(b)(4) to clarify the approach and transition-in requirement. In addition, the government increased the page count to 15 so the Offeror has the ability to elaborating on each contract that is transitioning to EAGLE.
SL16	L.5.4.2.2(b)	Section L	As Subcontractors will not be proposing the plug ODC numbers, would it be permissible to provide an unlocked/unprotected copy for the subcontractor Att0005?	Subcontractors are not required to provide Attachment 0005. However, if a subcontractor chooses to submit an Attachment 0005 it is permissible for the subcontractor to provide an unlocked/unprotected copy.
SL17	L.5.4.2.7.5(e)	Section L	May offerors include Indirect Rate Data for 2013-2020 (Budgetary for 2016-2020 and Historical for 2013-2015) in one file named "Offeror's_Name_Vol_4_IRD_2013-2021"?	Yes.
SL18	L.5.4.2.7.5(d)	Section L	Offeror financial data for all of FY2015 may not be available by the proposal submission date. Is the Government looking for data through the last closed accounting period of 2015?	Yes
SL19	L.5.5.3	Section L	Does a Small Business Offeror need to provide a Small Business Subcontracting Plan?	No, per FAR 19.702(b) (1)

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
SL20	L.5.3.5.1	Section L	Are Offerors required to complete Attachment 0003 and provide CARs in response to this RFP?	Yes, Offeror's are required to complete Attachment 0003 and provide copies of all Level III CARS, cure notices or show cause letters received. Reference L.5.3.5.1 through L.5.3.5.4 for instructions for the Offeror, its proposed teammates expected to perform 20% or more of the total estimated dollar value and its proposed major subcontractors.
SL21	L.5.4.2.7.5(b)	Section L	Do you have to prove your workman's compensation at time of proposal submission?	This depends on how the contractor proposes the workman's compensation. Some offerors will propose this cost as a direct cost and some will include the cost within the fringe rate. If the offeror is proposing as a direct cost, then yes the workman's compensation support needs to be supported at the time of the proposal submission.
SL22	Draft W52P1J-15-R-0099 RFP, Section L Ref: L.5.1.9 Pre-Award Safety Responsibility	Section L	RFP L.5.1.9 Pre-Award Safety Responsibility states "Offers shall demonstrate capability to comply with the contract safety requirements IAW DFARS Clause 252.223-7002, Safety Precautions for Ammunition and Explosives, Clause 252.223-7003, Change in Place of Performance Ammunition and Explosives and paragraph 5.19 Ammunition Supply Services of the PWS to be part of a responsibility determination." If Prime has developed a Safety Program for Ammunition and Explosives, but no implementation; however they are subcontracting the Ammunition Supply Point to another company that has this experience, how will that be evaluated?	IAW 9.104-4 prospective prime contractors are responsible for determining the responsibility of their prospective subcontractors.
SL23	DRAFT W52P1J-15-R-0099, L.2.8 DRAFT Attachment 0002, Staffing labor Mix	Section L	Attachment 0002 for the Base Period does not take into account the need for different proposed hours per year for FTEs (SCA and Exempt) for each contract due to the staggered transition-in schedule IAW L.2.8.  Would the Government please revise Attachment 0002 and provide instructions on how offerors are to reflect the different proposed hours per year for FTEs (SCA and Exempt) for each contract during the base period on Attachment 0002?	The base tab on Attachment 0002 and L.5.2.1.1(c)(6)(vi) were updated.
SL24	Solicitation Section L, page 49. paragraph L.2.8., and page 64, paragraph L.5.5.1.1(c)	Section L	Some of the work scheduled for later transition will be performed by a subcontractor with the relevant past performance. For example let's say we have a SDVOSB that will perform the TMP requirements. The TMP is the last to transition so that company's impact on the subcontracting plan will be delayed. As this work begins several months after initial transition the subcontracting goals for the first year may not be met. Will this be acceptable given the unique transition schedule in this acquisition provided that once all operations are transitioned the goals are being clearly met?	For proposal purposes, Other than Small Business Offerors SHALL meet the minimum Small Business Goals based on Total Contract Value.
SL25	DRAFT W52P1J-15-R-0099, L.5.5.1.1(a), M.5.4.1.1(c), M.5.4.2	Section L	With regard to the SB goals identified in L.5.5.1.1(a) and M.5.4.1.1(c), if an offeror is a SB, we understand that the SB offeror's own participation as a SB will be considered in evaluating SB participation.  If this SB offeror meets or exceeds the overall goal of 39% SB participation IAW M.5.4.1.1(c), but does not separately meet all the types of SB (SDB, WOSB, HubZone, VOSB, and SDVOSB), will the overall SB Participation rating for this SB offeror be affected such that an Outstanding rating cannot be achieved IAW M.5.4.2?	Sections L and M were revised since the release of the DRAFT RFP. Small Business Offerors are not required to submit a Small Business Participation proposal and are not required to meet the goals outlined in Section M. This factor will be rated on an Acceptable/Unacceptable instead of an adjectival basis. Small Business Offerors will receive an Acceptable rating.
SL26	DRAFT W52P1J-15-R-0099, L.5.5.1.1(a), M.5.4.1.1(c), M.5.4.2	Section L	Is a SB offeror still required to meet all the individual types of SB (SDB, WOSB, HubZone, VOSB, and SDVOSB) participation or just meet/exceed the overall SB participation goal of 39%?	Reference Question # SL25
SL27	Site Visit Question	Section L	Does a Small Business Offeror need to provide a Small Business Participation Proposal?	Reference Question # SL25

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
SM1	L.5.3.4; M.5.2.7; L.5.5.1.2.(xi)	Section M	Section M.5.2.7 for Volume 3 – Past Performance Factor which contradicts the Recency definition for Past SB Participation in L.5.5.1.2(xi) which states three (3) years. When the Government changed Recency for Past Performance from 3 to 5 years – was it the Government's intention to change the Recency for Past Performance Small Business Participation from 3 to 5 years as well? Please clarify.	Yes, the formal RFP will incorporate this change.
SM2	M.5.2.8(c) (ii)	Section M	Reference requires an annual average dollar value that must meet or exceed the minimum level of relevant experience. How is past performance measure for each of the three areas (Supply; Maintenance; and Transportation) against the total value of a past performance task order? For example, if a prime contractor has a task order for \$10M annual average dollar value which includes Supply, Maintenance, and Transportation, does the Government consider the total annual value of the task order or does the Government break down each area separately to determine the annual value for Supply, Maintenance, and then Transportation? Under this scenario, does the contractor meet the \$6M threshold?	In this scenario, no the contractor does not meet the required threshold. Once a reference is determined to be recent, the Government evaluates the scope to determine which functional area(s) apply. As stated in M.5.2.8(c)(iii), when all three functional areas apply to the Offeror's contract reference, the AADV must be at least \$17,000,000.00.
SM3	M.5.2.8(c) (ii)	Section M	We believe the \$6M threshold stated in paragraph M.5.2.8(c) (ii) is too high. We also believe it advantages the larger contractors while limiting the Government's ability to select the best value solution. Will the Government consider adjusting these thresholds down?	Based on the size and complexity of the Ft. Bragg task order the thresholds as defined at M.5.2.8(c) (ii) are deemed appropriate to assess a contractor's performance for the purposes of an evaluation of predictable and expected performance on this effort.
SM4	M.5.3.1	Section M	When evaluating cost realism, how realistic is it for an offeror to propose no fee?	A profit analysis is not performed when assessing cost realism in competitive acquisitions.
SM5	M.5.3.1	Section M	How does an Offeror determine the number of productive hours to use when the Government does not provide a seniority listing? When evaluating cost realism, would it be reasonable for an offeror to assume everyone has less than 5 years of experience?	It is up to each Offeror to determine the number of productive hours to use in their proposal. Offerors should be able to judge to some extent the seniority by the level of skill set that is required to complete the mission in accordance with the M-S-T and the Key and Specified Non-Key.
SM6	M.5.4	Section M	Under the Small Business Participation Factor, does the Government give more credit for meeting the prescribed goals with more subcontractors? Or does the Government just look to ensure the Offeror meets the prescribed goals?	The Government is evaluating whether or not the Offeror proposed to meet the goals, it is up to the contractor to determine how they choose to do so.
SM7	M.5.2.8(c)(ii)	Section M	If an Offeror's past performance in a particular functional area does not meet the minimum threshold, but the offeror has strong major subcontractor's that meet the subcontractor thresholds in that area, is the Major Subcontractor's past performance sufficient for the offeror's team to be qualified under the threshold requirements? We are asking this as it ties back to the language in the latest BOA renewal that states the following... "When submitting a proposal in Step Three, XXX Corporation shall propose ONLY itself and/or any of its approved teammates to perform Maintenance, Supply or Transportation." This language leads us to the conclusion that an approved teammate may be used to satisfy the threshold requirements, otherwise it would say "the Offeror and any of its approved teammates to perform Maintenance, Supply or Transportation, not "or"	The Government will evaluate past performance of the Offeror and all teammates / major subcontractors proposed to perform 20% or more of the Offeror's total estimated dollar value. Per the RFP, there are annual average dollar values to determine if a past performance reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to that of Ft. Bragg. IAW M.5.2.2(a), the Government may take into consideration the specific functional areas (Maintenance, Transportation, Supply) the Offeror, its Teammate(s), or its Major Subcontractor(s) have performed or the functional areas to be performed as part of this task order requirement.

Question #	RFP Reference	Category	QUESTION	Response
SM8	M.5.2.8(c)(ii)	Section M	<p>Has the government changed its definition of an "offeror" from the original BOA instructions? The Q&amp;A below, from early on in the BOA process, states that an Offeror is "a single prime, a team or a joint venture". If this definition holds true, would it then mean that the prime, with its teammates, must meet the \$6M threshold for maintenance, \$6M for Supply and \$5M for Transportation? Q.40: Reference: RFP Section M, Paragraph M3.1.2.5, Page 111: This section indicates an Offeror will not be acceptable if it does not demonstrate experience in performing all of the listed subtasks. As in question 40- we interpret this to mean that the prime's team has to demonstrate all of that experience and capability. Can you elaborate on the intent behind this requirement? A.40: The Offeror is considered a single Prime, a team, or a Joint venture. This paragraph is referring to the total proposed similar experience for the prime, team or joint venture.</p>	<p>For the purposes of Step 3 Task Order proposals, an "Offeror" is defined as a qualified EAGLE BOA Holder as of the closing date of this task order RFP.</p>
SM9	M.5.2.8(c)(ii)	Section M	<p>Would the Government consider allowing the Offeror Reference to include corporate Average Annual Dollar amount rather than one specific reference?</p>	<p>No. Per M.5.2.8( c), the Government determines relevancy for scope, magnitude, and complexity on a individual contract basis.</p>