Category	RFP Reference	Question	Answer
Attachment-01	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.5.2.1.1(c)(6) (i and xiii)	L.5.2.1.1(c)(6) (I and xiii) reference an example tab in Attachment 0002; however, there is no example tab in the Draft Attachment 0002. Will the Government be providing an example tab in Attachment 0002 with the Final RFP?	Attachment 0002 has been revised to include the example tab.
Attachment-02	Att 002	The "Hours Per Year / Employee Type" blocks do not have lines for entering CBA hours per year.	Attachment 0002 Staffing Labor Mix has been revised to include a block to add CBA hours.
Attachment-03	Attachment 0008, Page 25, Article 21	Page 26 Article 21 of the CBA designates Vacation benefit for employees at various years of service on the contract. Would you please provide a list showing how long CBA employees have been working on the contract? This will greatly assist us in determining pricing for the Ft. Rucker LRC.	The Government does not have that information.
Attachment-04	DRAFT Attachment 0008 - CBA	Will the Government provide job descriptions for CBA positions to allow contractors to better align the correct labor category to requirements?	CBA to SCA Crosswalk has been added to Section J as Attachment 0017.
Attachment-05	DRAFT Attachment 0008 - CBA DRAFT Exhibit F - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	For requirements that call for labor categories not listed in the CBA, is the contractor allowed to select an SCA labor category to meet the Government requirement?	It should be noted that compliance with labor rules, laws and regulations is the responsibility of the offeror. If an offeror determines that a labor category is not listed in the CBA, it is at the sole discretion of the offeror to propose an alternative labor classification.
Attachment-06	DRAFT Attachment 0008 - CBA DRAFT Exhibit F - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	Specified Non-Key position Material Expediter (ASP) Lead does not map to an CBA position. Will the Government identify if this position maps to an existing CBA position, or is this position mapped to WD 21040?	It should be noted that compliance with labor rules, laws and regulations is the responsibility of the offeror. If an offeror determines that a labor category is not listed in the CBA, it is at the sole discretion of the offeror to propose an alternative labor classification.
Attachment-07	DRAFT Attachment 0008 - CBA	Do all hourly positions on this contract fall under the CBA and, if not, would the Government provide a list of what work areas do not fall under the CBA?	The Government cannot advise how an offeror is to propose, Section J has been updated to reflect a crosswalk of CBA to SCA labor category descriptions.
Attachment-08	Attachment 0008 Collective Bargaining Agreement	The CBA provided as Attachment 0008 does not contain any labor category descriptions. Only some of the labor categories listed under Article 14 match the labor category titles provided in Attachment 0007 DOL WD 05-2005. Can the Government please provide labor category descriptions for the CBA positions?	CBA to SCA Crosswalk has been added to Section J as Attachment 0017.
Attachment-09	Attachment 0008, Collective Bargaining Agreement	Many of the job classifications within this document do not align with the Position Descriptions found in the Service Contract Act Directory, which makes it difficult to determine what capabilities the Union brings to the effort. For example, when searching for an employee to perform vehicle body repair, glass repair, tire repair, welding, etc., can one assume that a***General Maintenance Worker*** perform those functions, or should one revert to the SCA Directory to find those skill sets? Can the Government provide position descriptions for the Union Job Classifications?	CBA to SCA Crosswalk has been added to Section J as Attachment 0017.
Attachment-10	Attachment 0007, DOL WD 05-2005 rev 15	This WD document pertains to counties in Alabama and Georgia, which includes Fort Rucker. But, does it also cover the employees who would be employed in the State of Florida as part of the Rucker South effort?	Attachment 0007 has been updated to include a wage determination for the Rucker South effort.
Attachment-11	Attachment 0008 Collective Bargaining Agreement	The Collective Bargaining Agreement does not have any duty descriptions for the position titles. Some of the position titles are unclear (i.e. general maintenance worker). Can the government please clarify duty descriptions for the CBA positions so that we can properly identify positions?	CBA to SCA Crosswalk has been added to Section J as Attachment 0017.
Attachment-12	CBA	Does the Fort Rucker CBA also cover Rucker South?	Yes.
Attachment-13	Wage Determination	Can the Government please provide the most recent SCA Wage determination for Ft Rucker South?	Section J Attachment 0007 updated.
Attachment-14	CBA	Is the CBA applicable to all FLC1 positions at both Rucker North and South?	The CBA applies to both locations. As for the labor classification of "all FLC1 positions" L.5.2.1.1(c)(5)(ii) "labor classification (i.e. SCA, CBA, Exempt) in accordance with the SCA and CBA directives is at the sole discretion of the Offeror."
Attachment-15	Security Clearance	Do all personnel working at Rucker South require a Secret Security Clearance, or just the ASP?	Yes, reference Attachment 0012 DD 254.
Exhibit-01	DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, Para 1.5.2 DRAFT Exhibit X, CDRL PM-01	Paragraph 1.5.2 references CDRL PM-01 as a list of all current employees, while other references for this CDRL refer to only key and specified non-key. Will the Government please clarify what should be included in this CDRL?	All employees should be included using TE 1G-002 Contractor Employee Information as mentioned in CDRL PM-01.
Exhibit-02	DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, Para 1.5.2 DRAFT Exhibit X, CDRL PM-01	Paragraph 1.5.2 references CDRL PM-01 as a list of all current employees, while other references for this CDRL refer to only key and specified non-key. If this deliverable should include all current employees, what does the Government consider "significant changes"?	TE 1G-002 will include ALL employees. Significant changes refers to personnel changes which will negatively impact contract performance.
Exhibit-03	DRAFT Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 FLC 1	Under the North Rucker Ammunition Supply Services (1st and 3rd shift) breakout in the columns on the right, it is not clear which lines go to CLIN 0002AE, SAG 121, and which lines go to CLIN 0002AF, SAG 322, making it difficult to determine the ManHours needed on 3rd shift. Will the Government clarify by column the percentage breakout by CLIN?	TE MST has been revised to replace column headers with the applicable CLIN # and percentage breakouts for each requirement/task.
Exhibit-04	DRAFT Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 FLC 1	The Government provided fixed percentages to allocated the manhours and costs for the subclins. Will contractors be authorized to use these same percentages for billing and cost accountability after award?	Yes, but keep in mind that mission requirements may change over time, causing changes also to CLIN percentage breakouts.
Exhibit-05	DRAFT Exhibit F - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	The Government has identified a Material Expeditor (ASP) Lead and an ASP SAAS-MOD Operator Supply Technician II Lead. Is it the Government's intent that both identified Non-Specified Key personnel supervise the ASP?	Both positions are required by the government; however, supervisory controls are up to the contractor to determine and propose accordingly to meet the requirements of the RFP. TE 1G-005 has been revised to clarify leads.
Exhibit-06	DRAFT Exhibit F - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	The Government has identified an ASP SAAS-MOD Operator Supply Technician II Lead. Is the Government mapping the ASP SAAS-MOD Operator pay rate to the Supply Technician II Lead rate?	TE 1G-005 has been revised with updated titles and descriptions, but the Government will not advise an Offeror how or what rates to propose.
Exhibit-07	DRAFT Exhibit F - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	The Government has identified a Key Maintenance Work Control Supervisor and a Non-Key Production Control Supervisor. There appears to be some overlap in responsibilities in the Production Control work area as the Maintenance Work Control Supervisor position description refers to this position as a "Production Controller." Is it the Government's intent to have both supervisors over the Production Control work area?	TE 1G-005 has been revised by deleting the Production Control Supervisor position.

Exhibit-08	DRAFT Exhibit F - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, Para 1.4.5	The Government has identified a Program Manager as a Key Position in Exhibit F; however, PWS para 1.4.5 identifies this position as a "Project Manager." Would the Government please clarify the title of this position?	TE 1G-0005 has been revised by changing title of position to Project Manager.
Exhibit-09	DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, Para 5.23 DRAFT Exhibit A - TE 1 M-S-T-001 FLC 1 Hours	PWS Para 5.23 Unit Movements outlines specific contractor responsibilities; however, there is no associated workload in Exhibit A to staff these responsibilities. Would the Government please provide the appropriate associated workload or reserved PWS para 5.23?	There is no current workload. PWS has be revised to reflect 5.23 as reserved and removed from MST Technical Exhibit.
Exhibit-10	DRAFT Exhibit V, CDRL ITD-03	ITD-03 references PWS paragraphs 5.22.3.15 and 5.25.10; however, these paragraphs do not seem relevant to this CDRL. Would the Government please provide clarification?	CDRL ITD-03 has been updated.
Exhibit-11	DRAFT Exhibit Y, CDRL SS-02	SS-02 references PWS para 5.11.2; however, the appropriate reference appears to be PWS 5.11.1.2. Would the Government please provide clarification?	CDRL SS-02 has been updated.
Exhibit-12	DRAFT Exhibit X, CDRL PM-12	PWS para 1.6.2 notes CDRL PM-12 is for External and Internal SOPs; however, PM-12 only states External SOPs. Would the Government please provide clarification?	CDRL PM-12 has been update.
Exhibit-13	DRAFT Attachment 0008 - CBA DRAFT Exhibit F - TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	Attachment 0008 CBA states, "Lead persons shall be working members of a group responsible for leading, directing, instructing, on-the-job training, checking and approving the work of his/her group." This definition conflicts with Exhibit F, Key and Specified Non Key Positions, where leads (i.e., Material Expeditor (ASP) Lead, CIF, Supply Technician II - Lead, and SSA, Supply Technician II - Lead) are described as having supervisory responsibilities. Would the Government please confirm these positions are Lead personnel and not supervisors, as outlined in Exhibit F?	TE 1G-005 has been revised to clarify leads.
Exhibit-14	DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, para 5.28.11.1 DRAFT Exhibit D - TE 1G-003 Hours of Operation	PWS para 5.28.11.1 states a requirement for bus support service 0430 to 2400, M-F and weekends. The Exhibit D - TE 1G-003 states the hours for Scheduled Bus Support as Sunday-Saturday (so 7 days a week), but with hours of 0430-0030. Would the Government please provide clarification on the TMP Schedule Bus Support hours of operation?	TE 1G-003 has been updated.
Exhibit-15	DRAFT Exhibit V, CDRL ITD-01	Block 12, Date of First Submission, for ITD-01 states, "5 days after notice to proceed." As this is a monthly activity report and the transition period is 60 days, this appears to be incorrect. Would the Government please confirm the date of first submission for ITD-01?	CDRL revised to read 35 days after FOC date
Exhibit-16	DRAFT Exhibit V, CDRL ITD-02	Block 12, Date of First Submission, for ITD-02 states, "NLT 45 days after Notice to Proceed (NTP) date." As this is a monthly VDR and the transition period is 60 days, this appears to be incorrect. Would the Government please confirm the date of first submission for ITD-02?	CDRL revised to read 40 days after FOC date
Exhibit-17	DRAFT Exhibit X, CDRL PM-21	Block 12, Date of First Submission, for PM-21 states, "NLT 30 Calendar days after notice to proceed." As the transition period is 60 days, this appears to be incorrect. Would the Government please confirm the date of first submission for PM-21?	CDRL revised to read 30 days after FOC date
Exhibit-18	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours, Ammunition Supply Services	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker (North) indicates that the cost for Ammunition Supply Services (PWS Paragraph 5.19) has to be allocated between CLIN 0002AE, SAG 121 and CLIN 0002AF, SAG 322. However, the columns containing the SAG percentages for Fort Rucker North do not contain SAG 121 and two of the tasks are listed under SAG 324 instead. Can the Government please clarify if SAG 121 is correct and if it is, update TE1 to show it in the correct column?	TE 1 MST formatting has been updated.
Exhibit-19	PWS, Section C – 5 Page 4, Paragraph 5.3.4	This paragraph addresses maintenance of Intrusion Detection Systems. There is no workload listed for this service at Rucker South. Are we to assume this service is an Air Force responsibility at Duke Field/Eglin Air Force Base?	Workload data reflected in MST TE has been updated to reflect the IDS workload.
Exhibit-20	Exhibit TE M-S-T, Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker	The column titled ***PWS REQUIREMENT/SHOP in this document*** does not contain the correct PWS references for the Maintenance Division. This makes it difficult to determine what skill sets to staff. Will the government correct these references to ensure a better quality proposal?	Corrections made on MST TE to reflect correct references.
Exhibit-21	Exhibit TE M-S-T, Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker (Material Maintenance)	The column titled ***REQUIREMENT*** for the Maintenance Division includes a requirement for Gym Equipment/Carpentry and Body/Paint within the Maintenance Support Shop rather than aligning these tasks to the Allied Trades Section, where these tasks are historically performed in an Installation Maintenance Facility. This makes it difficult to determine what skill sets to staff. Will the government correct these references to ensure a better quality proposal?	Exhibit A TE 1 MST has been updated.
Exhibit-22	Exhibit TE M-S-T, Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker, TE 5M-002 Monthly Maintenance Performance Data.	The column titled ***REQUIREMENT*** for the Maintenance Division TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker is not divided into "***standard"*** (SAMS IE) commodity shops as displayed in TE 5M-002 Monthly Maintenance Performance Data. This makes it difficult for offerors to determine what skill sets to apply. Can the government sync the workload data with standard commodity codes?	The Government is not able to provide this detail at this time.
Exhibit-23	Exhibit TE M-S-T, Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker, TE 5M-002 Monthly Maintenance Performance Data.	The column titled ***REQUIREMENT*** for the Maintenance Division TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker is not divided into "standard" (SAMS IE) commodity shops as displayed in TE 5M-002 Monthly Maintenance Performance Data. Furthermore, some of the terminology used in this column is either outdated or too vague. For example, the term "Organizational Maintenance" went away when the Maintenance Community enacted two-level maintenance (Field and Sustainment). What commodities does it represent in this workload? Another term used here is, "General/Misc Equipment," which is very vague, and could be interpreted several different ways looking at the density listings. Presenting workload requirements without using standard commodity codes does not give offerors the ability to accurately staff this effort. Recommend the government sync the workload document with the standard (SAMS-IE) codes found in***TE 5M-002 Monthly Maintenance Performance Data.***	TE 5M-002 revised. Added CDRL MMD-07 to clarify use of TE-002

Exhibit-24	PWS Para 5.28.6, Section C--5 page 70, TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker	PWS Para 5.28.6 states in part, "The contractor shall provide bus/truck and driver support to meet…", but there is no associated truck driver workload listed in TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker. Dual-hatting a bus driver to handle truck driver tasks may be feasible, but because Truck driver wages are higher than bus driver wages, pricing this task becomes a challenge. Will the government provide a separate line of workload for truck drivers?	No breakout to be provided.
Exhibit-25	Exhibit TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions	One of the Specified Non Key Positions listed in this document is a***Personal Property and Movement Travel Clerk III-Lead.*** The position description seems to only include duties of a Personal Property Entitlements Counselor rather than a Travel Clerk who would concentrate on passenger movements. Is it the intent of the Government to dual-hat this position, or should there be two separate positions?	Can be dual hatted at the discretion of BOA Holder
Exhibit-26	TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker (Materiel Maintenance Support) PWS 5.4.4	There is workload / man-hours listed against the requirement, "General/Misc Equipment." Based on this title, it is not possible to determine whether this refers to automotive, weapons, communications equipment, small engine work etc. Without more detail, it is not possible to align the appropriate labor positions. Will the Govt clarify what is meant by "General/Misc Equipment?"	TE MST has been revised to provide further detail of the task descriptions.
Exhibit-27	TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker (Materiel Maintenance Support) PWS 5.4.4	The workload depicts work for body and paint tasks ("Body/Paint"), however these normal require two distinct labor capabilities. Will the Govt please clarify the requirement?	There is no certified paint booth at this time. The requirement identified in the workload under Allied Trades Body/Paint is preparing equipment for minor repairs and touchup paint.
Exhibit-28	TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker (Materiel Maintenance Support) PWS 5.4.4.8 [sic]	The PWS lists Allied Trades as para 5.5, and identifies tasks that include "welding, machining, equipment painting, and body repair…maintenance and glass replacement tasks…Locksmith services…fire extinguisher/suppression system inspection, repair, maintenance...welding on a variety of ferrous materials." However, only Locksmith, Fire Fighting Equipment and Night Vision Equipment are accounted for with productive hours in the Allied Trades section of TE1 M-S-T. Will the Govt clarify the Allied Trades references and the productive hours between the PWS and the actual workload?	TE MST has been updated.
Exhibit-29	Ex F - Key and Specified Non-Key Personnel	No narrative/guidance/introduction as we have seen on recent other EAGLE solicitations. Will the Government be providing guidance on which personnel will be FLC2 only, FLC1 and FLC2, etc.?	TE indicates which positions are 100 % FLC2 requirements.
Exhibit-30	Ex F - Key and Specified Non-Key Personnel	The exhibit does not identify the requirement for an independent Project Quality Control Manager as has been required for all other EAGLE Task Orders. Will the Government please clarify and provide the qualifications required for the Project Quality Control Manager.	The Government does not specifically identify a Project Quality Manager. The Contractor must rely on its previous experience in performing efforts similar to Fort Rucker in order to properly staff the quality section.
Exhibit-31	Ex F - Key and Specified Non-Key Personnel, Pages 1 and 2.	Ex F list both a Maintenance Work Control Supervisor and a Production Control Supervisor. The Position Description for the Maintenance Work Control Supervisor identifies this position as the "Production Controller." Will the Government please clarify the requirement for two Key Personnel designated as supervisors for Production Control? Workload provided does not appear to support the requirement for tow Production Controller Supervisors, unless one is specifically for South Rucker.	TE has been corrected by deleting the Production Control Supervisor position.
Exhibit-32	Ex F - Key and Specified Non-Key Personnel, Page 1.	Given there are two locations to be supported, can the Government please identify which Key and Specified Non-Key Positions support Rucker North and which support Rucker South? This has already been done for the Site Manager and would be helpful for the other positions as well. The EAGLE Fort Lee RFP separated the Key and Specified Non-Key Positions by supported installation.	TE modified to split Rucker North from South position requirements
Exhibit-33	Ex F - Key and Specified Non-Key Personnel, Page 3.	Quality Control Inspectors are designated as Key Positions and are required to be at least one FTE (PWS, Section C-1, Page 5, paragraph 1.3.2.1.). Given the Position Description for the Inspectors, it appears they are to be part of the Contractor's QC Program which must be independent of the Project Management Office (RFP, Page 54 of 72, paragraph L.5.2.1.3(b)(3). Ex F shows that the Inspectors do not have to have 100% of their hours accounted for as FLC2. Can the Government please clarify the requirement for QC Inspectors? Are they part of the Contractor's independent QC organization? FLC1 and FLC2 restrictions?	TE revised to reflect QC Inspectors as NON-KEY please refer to the description provided in TE for requirement clarification as well as PWS Para 1.6 which outlines Quality Control Management and ISO 9000 certification requirements.
Exhibit-34	Exhibit F	Several Key Position Descriptions state "is responsible for all functions/operations." Will the Government please clarify if Key Position personnel can have work/hours associated with both Rucker North and Rucker South?	Yes. Some Key Positions can have hours associated with both Rucker North and Rucker South, the Government will not detail how an Offeror is to propose.
Exhibit-35	Ex A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1, Page 1, PWS REQUIREMENT /SHOP Column	There is no PWS reference for "Item Management" in the Supply Functional Area Section	TE revised to remove reference to "Item Management"
Exhibit-36	Ex A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1, Pages 1 and 2, Supply Functional Area PWS REQUIREMENT /SHOP Column	Asset Management / Installation Property Book Office Transactions and Minimum FLC1 hours are shown in two separate blocks of the exhibit. As the CLIN is the same in both areas, shouldn't this information be combined? The term "Item Management" which is used in the CLIN 0002AC block on Page 1, does not appear in the narrative of the PWS.	"Item Management" management has been removed from Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T.
Exhibit-37	Ex A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1, Pages 3-4 , Transportation Functional Area, PWS REQUIREMENT /SHOP Column	There are no PWS references provided for the Fort Rucker North Transportation Functional Area. Can the Government please clarify if certain Key Position personnel must / can divide time between Rucker North and Rucker South?	PWS reference for Transportation has been included in revised TE 1 M-S-T.
Exhibit-38	EX V - CDRLs ITD, Page 3, ITD -03, Block 12	Date of First Submission is listed as 5 days after Notice to Proceed. As 5 days after the Notice to Proceed will still be during the Transition, the successor contract will not be performing any service related task at that time. Can the Government please clarify the Date of First Submission for ITD-03?	CDRL corrected to reflect 5 days after FOC date

Exhibit-39	EX X - CDRLs PM, Page 26, PM -25, Block 2	Should be Weekly Quality Control Inspection Report	Yes. Correction made
Exhibit-40	EX X - CDRLs PM, Page 26, PM -25, Block 13	Date of Subsequent Submission is "NLT the 3rd business day of each month". If this is a weekly report, shouldn't it be submitted weekly. Ref PWS paragraph 1.6.6	CDRL Corrected to reflect weekly.
Exhibit-41	EX X - CDRLs PM, Page 27, PM -26, Block 16	"Block 10:" - frequency is not completed explained. "Initial and as " is all that is shown in the remarks.	CDRL corrected to reflect "Block 10: Initial and as required by the COR."
Exhibit-42	EX Y - CDRLs SS, Page 1, SS-01, Block 12	Date of First Submission is listed as 5th day of 2ND month after notice to proceed date. As the day would fall within the first 5 days of contract performance and the report reflects activity from the previous month, the successor contract will not have anything to report. Can the Government please clarify the Date of First Submission for SS-01?	Since transition period is 60 days, first submission modified to be on the 5th day after FOC.
Exhibit-43	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047	There are numerous incidences, too many to list, of Exhibit A being mislabeled as TE 5-001 M-S-T, when the correct reference should be TE 1 M-S-T. Will the Government please update this Exhibit reference in the Final RFP?	Exhibit A TE 1 MST has been updated.
Exhibit-44	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker Noth, Maintenance, Allied Trades	Allied Trades section refers to a locksmith. The locksmith position is not listed as a CBA position. Is it the government's intent that this be priced as a strictly SCA position even though the position will fall under the CBA after award? There are several similar issues throughout the workload data.	It should be noted that compliance with labor rules, laws and regulations is the responsibility of the offeror. If an offeror determines that a labor category is not listed in the CBA, it is at the sole discretion of the offeror to propose an alternative labor classification.
Exhibit-45	TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions	The Key and Specified Non-Key Positions states that supervisor positions are not 100% FLC2. Supervisors cannot be part of the union due to the national labor act and therefore cannot be part FLC1 because all FLC1 positions are union positions. Is it the government's intent to have part time supervisors?	Section L is written to allow offerors the flexibility, where possible, to better allocate labor costs to the effort. In certain instances where a collective bargaining agreement does not appear to cover a specific labor category offerors would be able to allocate a supervisor's direct support to the effort and therefore propose a supervisor position as both FLC1 and FLC2, if appropriate. It should be noted that compliance with labor rules, laws and regulations is the responsibility of the offeror. If an offeror determines that an FLC1 / FLC2 split would violate the terms of a CBA or otherwise be inconsistent with a regulation or law, it should not propose as such. Please refer to the last column in TE 1G-005 for the government's requirements for named-key personnel to be 100% FLC2. Unless the government indicates the position is required to be 100% FLC2, it is at the contractor's discretion propose a split between FLC1 and FLC2.
Exhibit-46	TE 1 M-S-T	TE 1 M-S-T. There are no PWS references in the PWS requirements/Shop column for Transportation. Will the Government provide the PWS references?	The MST Technical Exhibit is updated to include PWS paragraph references.
Exhibit-47	TE 1 M-S-T	TE 1 M-S-T. Under maintenance functional area, repair parts and Material Management/Production Control Shop, there is requirement for "Maintenance Tech for all shops". As there does not appear to be a reference to this requirement in PWS 5.5, will the Government please define this requirement?	"Maintenance Tech for all shops" revised to read "Maintenance Technical/Admin Assistance for all shops".
Exhibit-48	ASP Requirements	Is there Government provided MHE at the ASP?	Yes, MHE is provided at both ASPs, see TE 3G-002 GFE.
Exhibit-49	TE 1 M-S-T	TE 1 M-S-T & PWS. The requirements identified in TE 1 M-S-T for Material Maintenance Support and Allied Trades are NOT requirements for those areas, specifically - Body/Paint Shop and Gym Equip/Carpentry are Allied Trades, not Material Maintenance requirements and Night Vision Equipment, Night Vision Inspections and Fire Fighting Equipment Repair are Material Maintenance, not Allied Trades requirements.	Corrections made to TE 1-M-S-T
Exhibit-50	Exhibit G	Can you provide the square footage of the Government Furnished Facilities at Rucker South. These buildings are not listed in Exhibit G - Government Furnished Facilities	TE 3G-001 updated
Exhibit-51	Exhibit F	Can you clarify which positions in Exhibit F are Stand Alone positions?	Exhibit F provides a column that identifies whether or not the government requires a position to be 100% FLC2.
Exhibit-52		Provide explanation for SAG columns in MST Technical Exhibit.	TE has been revised to updated Columns with the corresponding CLIN in Attachment 0005 Cost/Price matrix.
Exhibit-53		Where are Passenger and Personal Property Movements conducted?	Both are conducted in the Soldier Center, Bldg 5700. Per TE 3G-001.
PWS-01	DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, Para 1.2.7.2, 1.4.10 (1.2.4.3)	Paragraph 1.4.10 (1.2.4.3) references Paragraph 1.2.7.2. Para 1.2.7.2 does not exist in the PWS. Would the Government please clarify the reference?	PWS paragraph 1.4.10 removed.
PWS-02	DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, para 1.10.13	PWS para 1.10.13 references providing custodial services; however, Exhibit A does not reflect any associated workload with these tasks. Will the Government please provide clarification?	In accordance with PWS 1.10.13, the contractor will provide custodial services for any work, break or administrative areas assigned to it, unless provided by another services. Bldg #5700 is the only Government Furnished Facility that is provided Custodial Services by another servicer. There are no workload hours associated with these tasks as these tasks are ancillary to completing the Maintenance, Transportation and Supply tasks.
PWS-03	DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, para 1.1.3	The Government makes reference to South Rucker as a separate location from Fort Rucker itself. Would the Government please confirm what is considered part of South Fort Rucker and how far it is from Fort Rucker?	Rucker South is located at Eglin Air Force Base in Florida.

PWS-04	Attachment 0001 PWS, C-1 Page 5, Paragraph 1.3.2.1.	PWS Paragraph 1.3.2.1 indicates that the PM and Maintenance Manager can be dual-hatted. Exhibit F TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non Key Positions does not indicate that dual-hatting is possible. We assume that the Exhibit F is correct and that these positions cannot be dual-hatted. Please confirm if this assumption is correct.	PWS. 1.3.2.1 revised removing reference of the PM.
PWS-05	PWS, page 6, paragraph 1.3.2.4.2	This paragraph contains the acronym, "HAZWAT" which does not appear in Section C-2. Is this supposed to read "HAZMAT"?	Correction made. It's supposed to read HAZMAT.
PWS-06	PWS, page 6, paragraph 1.3.2.4.2	This paragraph states in part, "Drivers and co-drivers transporting ammunition … must be HAZWAT trained, and must be annotated on their driver's license if munitions will be transported on public highways." Is this in addition to a Hazardous Cargo endorsement to the Commercial Driver's License (CDL), or will the Hazardous endorsement to the CDL suffice?	Either one will suffice.
PWS-07	PWS Paragraph 5.16.5, Section C--5 Page30, TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker	This paragraph states the "the contractor shall perform packing and crating services in support of Installation customers…", however this does not appear to be any associated workload listed in TE M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Rucker	No crating workload required. PWS has been corrected.
PWS-08	PWS, Section C-1, Page 5, paragraph 1.3.2.1	PWS states "Named Key Positions are to be not less than one (1) FTE each and may not be 'dual – hated' with other Key Positions with the exception of the PM and Maintenance Manager." Can the Government please clarify this statement? Is there an expectation that for this contract that the PM and the Maintenance Manager are the same employee? As with other recent EAGLE Task Orders, may certain named-key personnel (supervisors/leads) have hours split between FLC1 and FLC2?	PWS updated to reflect the PM cannot be dual hatted. Please refer to the last column in TE 1G-005 for the government's requirements for named-key personnel to be 100% FLC2. Unless the government indicates the position is required to be 100% FLC2, it is at the contractor's discretion propose a split between FLC1 and FLC2.
PWS-09	DRAFT Attachment 0001, PWS, Para 1.12, Other Direct Costs	Will the Government confirm that no elements of an Offeror's or his subs/vendors fringe costs (H&W, Worker's Comp., etc.) will be allowed to be reimbursed via ODC CLIN during execution?	In accordance with PWS 1.12.1, fringe costs are not included as Other Direct Costs. This applies to the Offeror and its teammates/subcontractors.
PWS-10	ASP Requirements	How many bunkers will the contractor manage at the ASP?	11 bunkers
PWS-11	ASP Requirements	Are there other locations that the ASP staff support?	Yes - there are various ranges to support but soldiers pick up and turn in ammo at the ASP.
PWS-12	Fuel lab	What are the proper lab certifications?	The lab and personnel certification requirements are identified in PWS paragraphs 5.18.1, 5.18.1.1 and 5.18.1.2.
PWS-13	Fuel lab	What do you do with Hazardous material?	There is no hazardous material generated in the lab.
PWS-14	Weapons Repair Shop	Will weapons from the 7th Group be transported to Ft. Rucker for repair?	No; that requirement is not covered under this effort.
PWS-15	SSA	Are there Class III supplies in the SSA?	No. See PWS para. 5.11.1.1 for more information.
PWS-16	CIF	How many students can you process at a time if part of a class?	Typically, 21 students can be processed at one time.
PWS-17	CIF	Are weapons stored at the CIF or at the weapon repair building?	Limited SSA weapons are stored in a CIF vault. These are weapons scheduled for shipping to other locations not for repair.
PWS-18	PWS	PWS 5.28.6 lists bus/truck driver but workload identifies all bus driver. Is there workload associated with truck driver? If not, update PWS?	Refer to the Density List and the GFV list. There are different type of vehicles that require Class A CDL for large trucks, and Class A CDL with passenger endorsement for Buses etc. There is need to have qualified drivers for both types.
RFP-01	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.5.2.1.1(a)(3)	L.5.2.1.1(a)(3) states a page limit of 9 pages for the SMP. Previous EAGLE solicitations for small business set-aside opportunities have stipulated an 11 page limit for the SMP. As offerors are required to discuss both Fort Rucker North and Fort Rucker South in this SMP, would the Government please change the page limitation back to 11 pages?	Page limit will remain at 9 pages total.
RFP-02	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.5.4.2.7.5(e)	May offerors include Indirect Rate Data for 2012-2019 (Budgetary for 2015-2019 and Historical for 2012-2014) in one file named "Offeror's_Name_Vol_4_IRD_2012-2019"?	Yes
RFP-03	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.5.4.2.7.5(e)	As the POP for this contract will extend into 2020, does the Government wish to see budgetary data for 2020 as well?	Paragraph L.5.4.2.7.5(c)(1) has been updated to include 2020.
RFP-04	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, Page 2, Section A Supplemental Information, A.3 and Attachment 0005.	Type of Contract lists only Cost Plus Fixed Fee and does not list the FFP Phase-In Clan. A.3 states "This RFP will result in a Cost Plus Fixed Fee task order". Will the Government please clarify as Attachment 0005, outlines a Fixed Price Transition In CLIN?	The RFP has been updated to reflect a combination Cost Plus Fixed Fee/Firm Fixed Price Requirements type task order.
RFP-05	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, Page 29, Section I -179 Insurance	The Insurance clause outlines that Aircraft Public and Passenger Liability and Vessel Collision Liability Insurance is required. Will the Government please clarify as to whether this coverage is truly required?	No, RFP updated to remove "Aircraft Public and Passenger Liability and Vessel Collision Liability Insurance "
RFP-06	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.2.2; DRAFT Attachment 0010	Section L.2.2 notes, "All information pertaining to a particular volume shall be confined to that volume. For example, no Cost/Price information shall be included in any volume other than the Cost/Price Proposal Volume." Can the Government confirm that Attachment 0010 does indeed belong to Volume 1, General Documents?	Yes, Attachment 0010 shall be included in Volume 1 General Documents.
RFP-07	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.2.8	Section L.2.8 notes, "For the purposes of proposal preparation and evaluation only, the Offeror shall use XX XXX 20XX as the award date." The EAGLE revisions to Sections L and M sent to all EAGLE BOA holders on 29 Dec 14, for use in solicitations used after this date, changed this paragraph to reflect this date as the notice to proceed date not the award date. Would the Government please provide clarification?	L.2.8 was updated to reflect the NTP for purposes of proposal preparation and evaluation.
RFP-08	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.4.1.3, L.5.3.1, M.5.2.7, M.5.2.8	Section L.4.1.3 notes, "Data not submitted with the proposal, but submitted previously, or presumed to be known (i.e., previous projects performed for the United States Government (USG)) will not be considered as part of the proposal, except for Past Performance (see paragraph L.5.3.1). Can the Government confirm that only those recent (within 3 years) and relevant (similar scope, magnitude, and complexity) past performance references, IAW L.5.3.1, M.5.2.7 and M.5.2.8, will be considered for this solicitation? Is there any reason past performance outside of these requirements would be included?	The Government will evaluate references determined to be recent and relevant as defined in Section M.
RFP-09	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.5.4.2.7.5(a)(2)	L.5.4.2.7.5(a)(2) notes that offerors should assume 2 Oct 2015 as the NTP date. Will this date also be entered in L.2.8?	Paragraphs L.5.4.2.7.5(a)(2) and L.2.8 have been updated to reflect NTP date of 5 DEC 2015.

RFP-10	DRAFT RFP W52P1J15R0047, L.5.4.2.7.5(a)(2)	Will the NTP date also be the award date and start work date for Phase-In, or will the award date be a date prior to this NTP date?	NTP is the start of the Transition-In period. Award date is normally prior to the NTP but could be the same day. For the purposes of proposal preparation and evaluation, the offeror shall use 5 DEC 15 as the NTP date.
RFP-11	Section L . 5 . 2 . 1 . 1 (c) (5) (i i i), Page 52, "Functional Labor Category 2 (FLC2) FTEs of the RFP"	This section states in part "…all managers must be proposed as solely FLC2…" and then follows on to say "… supervisors may be split FLC1 and FLC2 with the FLC2 portion proposed commensurate with the level of supervisory duties assigned." In addition, Section L . 5 . 2 . 1 . 1 (c) (5) (i i) "Functional Labor Category 1 (FLC1) FTEs" defines FLC1 as "…Contract or task order level employees that are specifically identified to directly accomplish the tasks/functions of the workload provided in Exhibit A TE 5-M-S-T-001." Thus the RFP indicates that an individual identified as a Supervisor may, in addition to their FLC2 management work, be allowed to perform FLC1 Direct Labor. However, this effort is subject to a collective bargaining agreement and as such, the requirements of Title 5, Part III, Subpart F, Chapter 71 apply to the direct labor elements of this effort. Title 5 USC 7103(a)(2)(B)(iii)specifically excludes supervisors from being considered as employees for purposes of bargaining unit participation. Additionally, Article 25 of the CBA states that "…Supervisors and other employees excluded from the bargaining unit shall not perform work normally performed by bargaining unit employees except under the following conditions: 1. In the event of emergencies 2. When necessary for training and/or instructing employees 3. In circumstances which are required to ensure the quality of performance and/or the satisfaction of the Company's obligation and responsibilities as a contractor to the Federal Government. 4. When technical expertise and/or skills are not available within the workforce to execute a particular task or series of tasks and time/performance constraints do not permit the Company to seek out such skills or expertise." Article 25 of the CBA continues by saying "…In no case will a bargaining unit employee be transferred, displaced, or lose any work time or wages when the Company exercises these provisions." Given the above, the apparent allowance within the PWS for a Supervisor to perform FLC1 (direct labor) appears to run contrary to both the CBA document provided in the RFP and Title 5, Part III, Subpart F, Chapter 71 of the US Code. We request clarification of the following point. For purposes of this effort, will the Government allow offerors, as an acceptable management approach, to bid FLC1 hours as being accomplished in part by Supervisors in apparent conflict with both the CBA and US Code?	Title 5 of the USC is not applicable to this task order, because it is for Federal Employees not Government Contractors. Section L is written to allow offerors the flexibility, where possible, to better allocate labor costs to the effort. In certain instances where a collective bargaining agreement does not appear to cover a specific labor category offerors would be able to allocate a supervisor's direct support to the effort and therefore propose a supervisor position as both FLC1 and FLC2, if appropriate. It should be noted that compliance with labor rules, laws and regulations is the responsibility of the offeror. If an offeror determines that an FLC1 / FLC2 split would violate the terms of a CBA or otherwise be inconsistent with a regulation or law, it should not propose as such.
RFP-12		Does the Government perform a cross walk between the technical and cost proposals.	Yes, see L.5.4.2.7.6 and M.5.3.3 of the RFP.