

Question #	Question Reference (RFP #, PWS #, etc.)	Question	Answer
1	Attachment 0007 & 0017	There are numerous references to occupational codes and titles that are not reflected in Attachment 0007, i.e., 05736-Braker-Switcher,00303-Drivers Testing, 05737-Locomotive Engineer, 04801-Equipment Repairer, 00301-Special Operations Specialist, 6610-Small Arms Repairer, 02131-Freight Rate Specialist, 02102-Transportation Coordinator, 00303-QA Assistant, 02030-Storage Specialist, and 01802-Quality Inspector Specialist. Can the Government address these and provide job descriptions?	Two (2) additional CBAs will be provided with the final RFP which will address the noted concern/question - The additional CBAs are International Association of Machinists/Aerospace Workers and Teamster Local 728. These are the Transportation Motor Pool CBAs.
2	PWS, Section C-5 Page 5, Para 5.5.2, and Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001	Requires the contractor to perform maintenance and glass replacement but there is no workload for these tasks. Will the Government provide these workloads?	Maintenance and glass replacement are auxiliary tasks that the mechanics may perform if needed to augment the government workforce. No additional workload will be provided.
3	PWS, Section C-5 Page 5, Para 5.5.4, and Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001	Requires the contractor to perform suppression system maintenance but there is no workload for this task. Will the Government provide this workload?	Within the Density list TE 5-M-001 FSGA 115 (exhibit G) provides the fire suppression systems that may require maintenance.
4	PWS, Section C-5 Page 5, Para 5.5, and Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001	There appears to be no requirement for welding, machining, body work, tires, batteries, etc. Is the contractor to assume that these tasks are not required?	Welding is only required in container repair under transportation. The other tasks specified may be required and considered an auxiliary task that the mechanic may perform.
5	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001, Maintenance	The workloads for General Equipment, 1 ABCT and 2 IBCT are at an aggregated level? Will the Government provide a further breakdown?	Refer to Tech Exhibit 1 M-S-T-001 which provides information for UME Maintenance pg. 1, 3rd requirement, workload data for the required maintenance.
6	PWS, Section C-5 Page 46, Para 5.22, and Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001	PWS requires the contractor to operate a POV Storage Facility. Will the Government provide workloads for this function?	This is based on OCO (Overseas Contingency Operations) deployments only, there is no active workload at this time. Any future responsibility would be to assist as necessary.
7	PWS, Section C-5 Page 53, Para 5.27, and Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001	PWS requires the contractor to assist with A/DAGG operations. Will the Government provide workloads for this function?	This is based on OCO deployments only, there is no active workload at this time. Any future responsibility would be to assist as necessary.
8	PWS, Section C-5 Page 55, Para 5.30, and Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001	PWS requires the contractor to provide MCT support. Will the Government provide workloads for this function?	This data was provided, however it was mislabeled in the MST under Unit Movement as 5.31. This has been corrected. Changed to 5.30
9	Exhibit G TE 5M-001 and Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001	Does the equipment listed under FSGA IMCOM/LRC apply to the Shop C General Equipment, under UME 2 apply to 2 IBCT, under UME 1 apply to 1 ABCT, and under FSGA 115 apply to Shop C Artillery?	Yes, the equipment applies to shop C. And yes, 115 applies to shop C & E
10	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T 001	115 Maintenance Equipment Passback references PWS 5.8 (Production Control). Should this not be 5.6 (Technical Inspections)?	Yes this should be 5.6, the MST has been corrected.
11	Exhibit U TE 1G-005	Neither the Key nor Non-Key Positions specify a Transportation Manager. Does the Government not consider the Transportation Manager to Key nor Non-Key?	The Key and Specified Non-Key Positions, TE 1G-005 does not list the Transportation Manager because there is no requirement for this position.
12	Exhibit U TE 1G-005, Pages 3 and 4	There is a Quality Control Inspector (required for maintenance) on page 3 and a QC Inspector Maintenance on page 4. Are these duplicates or could the Government clarify the difference between these two?	These are duplicate positions. The Key and Specified Non-Key Positions have been updated.
13	Exhibit U TE 1G-005, Pages 4 and 5	There is a QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance on both pages. Are these duplicate positions?	These are duplicate positions. The Key and Specified Non-Key Positions have been updated.
14	Exhibit U TE 1G-005	Does the Government expect the contractor to use all key and non-key positions in their proposal?	Yes, the Key and Non-Key Positions in the TE 1G-005 are in accordance with the four (4) CBAs.
15	Attachment 0017 & Attachment 0008	This document shows a crosswalk for the following CBA positions (Bus Driver, Dispatcher, Driver Tester, Duty Driver, Special Operations, Special Operation Lead, and Tractor/Trailer Driver), however, these positions do not show up in the Collective Bargaining Agreement 6.4.15. Could the Government please clarify?	2 additional CBAs will be provided to clarification - CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and International Association of Machinists/Aerospace Workers. CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and Teamster Local 728. These are the Transportation Motor Pool CBAs.
16	PWS 15.16.12 & Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T - 001	The PWS requires the packing and crating of supplies in the SSA, however, there are no workloads provided as the only mention in all but two of the workloads is "move to outbound for packaging and shipping". Is the workload included in these tasks?	There is no PWS para 15.16.12. However, Yes, the workload is contained in the move to outbound for packaging and shipping in the Installation Supply Support Activity at Fort Stewart/HAAF(Retail Supply Services) are included in the M-S-T

17		Is the contractor responsible for the complete maintenance, supply, and transportation or as augmentation to the Ft. Stewart workforce?	The contractor is responsible for augmentation services. Augmentation only
18		Does the contractor have any responsibility for the maintenance at HAAF, such as mobile teams, evacuation of equip, etc.?	There is no responsibility for the maintenance at HAAF
19	RFP Section M.5.2.7	Will a 3 year recency for Past Performance be used?	No, we will use 5 years. The RFP will be updated to reflect a 5 year recency.
20		Will the tools/special tools in the maintenance bays be part of GFE?	Yes, special tools will be provided as specified in PWS 3.6.1 and if any tool that is not provided by the government GFE will have the opportunity to sign it out in the tool room.
21		Request transition be at least 60 days. 30 days doesn't seem to be enough time for this size and scope	This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.
22		Will contractor be required to supply individual tool kits or will they be GFE?	The awardee will be required to provide individual tool kits as noted in TE 4G-001.
23		Can we get an explanation of the acronyms used in the LRC brief? (RMA, WMA etc...)	RMA - Rail Marshalling Area WMA - Wheel Marshalling Area TMP Transportation Motor Pool SSA - Supply Support Activity ASP - Ammunition supply point CHF - Container Handling Facility CIF - Central Issue Facility CRP - Central receiving Point DAACG - Departure/Arrival Airfield Control Group RMA. These have all been added/updated on the PWS.
24		Is the list of the items for Strict Compliance in the RIA ACC brief a result of discussions during recent BOA Holders' meeting? That is, are other requirements, such as file naming conventions, not included in strict compliance requirements?	Please refer to Section L of the RFP. All items of strict compliance are listed within Section L.
25		Will BOA Holders be required to update their Past Performance in the 3-5 years recency range?	Yes, offerors have the opportunity to update its Past Performance during the latest Annual BOA review that was sent to BOA Holders on July 16, 2015.
26		Who is the incumbent contractor?	Data Solutions & Technology, Inc. L-3 Communications, Operations Services, Inc., Performance Railways
27		Is Building 931 part of the TMP facility?	No, the building is not utilized as part of the TMP operations
28		What is the acreage of the cargo container yard?	9 Acres of hard stand.
29		What type of GFE is used in the container yard?	There is no GFE provided to the contractor in the container yard, however the contractor may use all the equipment when augmenting this facility. Those utilizing the equipment must be properly trained and licensed. Equipment available for use includes: 7K forklift 10K forklift 30K forklift 45K forklift 90K Container Reach Stacker (5 high capability) Tractor Truck, tandem axle with 54ft flatbed trailer or 35K lowboy trailer Electric wire feed welder Electric stick welder Plasma cutter Oxygen/acetylene torch outfit
30		Does the LRC produce Ice?	No, there is a BPA (Blanket Purchasing Agreement) to order ice from.
31		Is UME included in the workload data	Yes, M-S-T (maintenance) and PWS 5.3; 5.6; 5.8
32		How many DOD schools does the LRC support?	3 schools
33		How many current contracts will be consolidated under this solicitation?	4 contracts
34		Are the brigades operating unit-level SSAs, or are all SSA functions consolidated under the LRC SSA?	Yes, every brigade has its own SSA. However, there may be augmentation required in a UME situation.
35		Is locomotive maintenance included in this solicitation?	Yes, M-S-T (maintenance) and PWS 5.9.4.1 - 5.9.4.12
36		Is it possible to break out the FLC1 working hours by category, such as for services, commel, MHE, Allied Trades, Small Arms, etc.? As they are now presented in the exhibit, we would have to use a swag.	These requirements are reserved at this time and there is no workload to provide. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided.
37		Is the artillery workload for turret and tubes?	Yes, both tubes and turret fall under artillery maintenance in the C shop
38		Is there any towed artillery maintained?	Yes- identified under the 115 (Density list and M-S-T) in Pass Back Maintenance.
39		Are the UME teams located at each BCT?	Yes, when needed (brigade combat team)

40		UME's only work automotive systems or do they other commodities like C-E weapons, chemical, and PLL(Supply)? If so, may we get hours by commodity?	There are hours applied to Maintenance and Production Control. Offerors are to propose to the current work data provided
41		Are the locomotive engineers government employees? The PWS seems to indicate that they are, Please clarify.	The position of locomotive engineer supervisor in the PWS 5.29.1 is a government employee
42		There is a bit of disconnect between the key and specific non-key list (TE IG-0005) and the CBA cross walk list (supply and transportation) Some of the cross walk SCA;s do not match the definition given in the key key/ non-key personnel list. Which definitions should be used?	Utilize the definitions for job descriptions in TE 1G-005. Updated the Key and Specified Non-Key positions TE 1G-005.
43	PWS	Although the PWS does not actually say that some of the "line" positions" in the functional areas are filled by DACs, there are many references that the contractor will augment and/or assist the Government.  Are there sections, office, or activities that are staffed totally by Contractors? If so, would you identify them?	TMP is the only area that is contractor operated. All other sections, offices, activities are staffed with government/contractor personnel?-Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided
44	TE5T-0002 & TE5M-0006	Exhibits N+V appear to be identical. They are exhibits TEST-0002 and TE5M-006, LRC Locomotive Equipment & Maintenance. Please clarify.	These are duplicate Technical Exhibits. Removed TE 5T-002. PWS and CDRLs have been updated accordingly
45	PWS	There are many instances in the PWS that state the contractor will "assist" or "augment" the government, but no workload is provided in Exhibit A, TE1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 hours.  Is the contractor only expected to perform those task where workload is provided by the contractor, even though the PWS says the contractor will "assist" or "augment?" Please clarify.	Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided to include Technical exhibit and CRDLs.
46	CBA	The only driver in the CBA is a Truck Driver, Heavy. Yet other types of drivers are listed in the CBA to SCA crosswalk, such as Tractor/Trailer, Bus Drivers, & Duty Drivers.  Should we assume that the contractor is only responsible for Tractor-Trailer Drivers? Please clarify.	Additional 2 CBAs will be provided with final RFP - CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and International Association of Machinists/Aerospace Workers. CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and Teamster Local 728. These are the Transportation Motor Pool CBAs.
47		There are no FLC1 Hours for Allied Trades (Painting, Canvas, Glass, etc.) only hours for welding are included. Will the contractor provide welder(s) only?	These requirements are reserved at this time and there is no workload to provide. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided. (repeat of question #36)
49		Are there requirements to support school transportation	Yes, refer to PWS 1.3.13.3 and CDRL PM-25
50		Will dual hatting be permitted to cover surge responsibilities	Only if the CBA allows it.
51		Is the ktr responsible for moving inventory back after the renovations are complete at hunter?	Yes, as needed. This facility is DAC performed activities with augmentation of contractors.
52		Are exhibits N & V duplicates?	These are duplicate Technical Exhibits. Removed TE 5T-002. PWS and CDRLs have been updated accordingly. (repeat of question #44)
53	M.5.2.8(c)(ii)	In order to determine if a reference is similar in magnitude and complexity to Ft. Stewart Task Order, the average dollar value must meet or exceed the minimum level below: Maintenance \$1M Supply \$1.2M Transportation \$1M  Is the term "Offeror" the Prime contractor? Does this mean the prime has to have the minimum of \$1M/\$1.2M/\$1M in M/S/T by himself without teammates in order to meet this requirement?	Please refer to Seciton M, paragraph M.5.2.8(c)(iii). The Government reviews each available reference for the Offeror, its proposed teammate(s) performing 20% or more, and proposed major subcontractors individually to determine if the reference is recent and relevant. Depending if the reference is for the prime or a teammate(s), the references must meet the applicable thresholds established in Section M in order for them to be relevant in magnitude and complexity.
54	PRS	What does "LOT" stand for?	"LOT" is not an acronym. A LOT is a unit of measurement and is referred to as a number of opportunities. (i.e. lot size)
55	Attachment 0002	Is there a formula or algorithm to assist an offeror in filling out its staffing/labor mix?	Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided. It is the Offerors responsibility to determine the staffing/labor mix

56	PWS, Section C –1 Page 2	Section 1.2.1 suggests the Contractor shall provide support for 4 divisions, Plans & Operations, Materiel Maintenance, Supply and Services, and Transportation. In other EAGLE Task Orders the Plans and Operations Division was an "inherent" Government function and not the responsibility of the Contractor. Please clarify whether or not the Plans & Operations Division will be a Contractor Responsibility, or a Government responsibility.	The contractor cannot perform inherently government functions. PWS will be updated accordingly.
57	Exhibit D, TE 3G-001 Government Furnished Property	The file name for this document is, "Exhibit D, TE 3G-001 Government Furnished Property," however when the document is opened, it is titled, "TE 3G-001 Government Furnished Facilities." The document appears to be mis-identified, and/or incomplete. There is no attachment to this draft RFP that provides a listing of Government Furnished facilities. Will the government provide such a listing?	TE 3G-001 has been updated to reflect to Government Furnished Facilities. Reference to Government Furnished Property will be removed from PWS.
58	Draft RFP - Ft Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, Page 34, Section J	Section J -List of Attachments does not include an Exhibit listing Government Furnished Facilities. Will the government provide such a list?	TE 3G-001 has been updated to reflect to Government Furnished Facilities. Reference to Government Furnished Property will be removed from PWS.
59	PWS, page 8, para 1.2.1.1	This paragraph states in part, "...any maintenance, supply, and transportation tasks currently being performed by an Ability One contractor.....are not included within the scope of this EAGLE requirements task order." Can the government provide a listing of such tasks? Without this, it is nearly impossible for the offeror to know which tasks/functions normally covered with a Maintenance section are Ability One; which are to be managed by the EAGLE contractor	No, the Government will not provide tasks associated with Ability one tasks. Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided.
60	PWS, page 8, para 1.2.1.1	This paragraph states in part, "...any maintenance, supply, and transportation tasks currently being performed by an Ability One contractor.....are not included within the scope of this EAGLE requirements task order." Are any of the tasks mentioned in this paragraph being performed within facilities/work centers that are to be used by the successful bidder for this opportunity? Without this, it is nearly impossible to determine skill sets required for this effort.	No, the Government will not provide tasks associated with Ability one contracts since those contracts will not be incorporated in EAGLE contracts. Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided.
61	PWS, page 78, para 5.5, Allied Trades & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.5 specifies some Allied Trades tasks, yet TE 1 M-S-T-001 fails to list this paragraph in the "PWS REQUIREMENT/SHOP" Column. Is there workload missing from this Tech Exhibit?	These requirements are reserved at this time and there is no workload to provide. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided. (repeat of question #36)
62	PWS, page 78, para 5.5, Allied Trades & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.5 does not include all tasks involved in traditional Allied Trades that support industrial maintenance activities such as in this LRC. Missing tasks include Machining, Welding, Auto Body Repair, Paint, Battery Service/Repair, Canvas/textile repair, woodworking, locksmith, etc. Furthermore, there is no related workload in the Maintenance section of TE 1 M-S-T-001. Is this workload included in the hours displayed for the other maintenance shops? If so, can it be broken out?	These requirements are reserved at this time and there is no workload to provide. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided. (repeat of question #36)
63	PWS, page 78, para 5.5, Allied Trades & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.5 does not include all tasks involved in traditional Allied Trades that support industrial maintenance activities such as in this LRC. Missing tasks include Machining, Welding, Auto Body Repair, Paint, Battery Service/Repair, Canvas/textile repair, woodworking, locksmith, etc. Do the capabilities (shop equipment/tools) to perform these functions exist in the maintenance facilities, or must the contractor evacuate work to another activity?	These requirements are reserved at this time and this workload is currently performed by DAC employees. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided.
64	PWS, page 78, para 5.5, Allied Trades	PWS para 5.5 does not include all tasks involved in traditional Allied Trades that support industrial maintenance activities such as in this LRC. Missing tasks include Machining, Welding, Auto Body Repair, Paint, Battery Service/Repair, Canvas/textile repair, woodworking, locksmith, etc. What process/procedure is in place for the maintenance shops to obtain support in these tasks?	These requirements are reserved at this time and this workload is currently performed by DAC employees. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided.

65	PWS, page 78, para 5.5, Allied Trades	PWS para 5.5 does not include all tasks involved in traditional Allied Trades that support industrial maintenance activities such as in this LRC. Missing tasks include Machining, Welding, Auto Body Repair, Paint, Battery Service/Repair, Canvas/textile repair, woodworking, locksmith, etc. If the maintenance shops must evacuate this workload, how much effort is involved?	These requirements are reserved at this time and there is no effort involved. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided.
66	Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	This TE shows two sets of workload for the CIF, one on Fort Stewart proper, and one at Hunter Army Air Field. Are these two separate operations, or is one a satellite of the other?	These are two (2) separate facilities managed under the Ft. Stewart LRC. Ft Stewart is primary and HAAF is a satellite.
67	Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	This TE shows two sets of workload for the ISSA, one on Fort Stewart proper, and one at Hunter Army Air Field. Are these two separate operations, or is one a satellite of the other?	These are two (2) separate facilities managed under the Ft. Stewart LRC
68	Exhibit U, TE 1 G-005 FSGS HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions	The position description for the Project Manager in this document prescribes a minimum of eighteen years experience, but following the word, "eighteen," the numeral "15" appears in parenthesis. Which is correct?	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 has been updated to reflect the eighteen (18).
69	Exhibit U, TE 1 G-005 FSGS HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions	On page 4 of this document, there is a position titled, "QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance," and that same title appears on page 5. Are these two separate positions (one for FSGA and one for HAAF), or is it a duplication?	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 has been updated to reflect proper position titles.
70	Exhibit U, TE 1 G-005 FSGS HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions	The the Specified Non-Key column on page 3 calls for a Quality Control Inspector who is, "directly responsible for conducting all of the required inspections and must have at least five years experience in the inspection area of military equipment listed on the Technical Exhibits." Then on page 4 of the same document, it calls for several other QC Inspectors, including a QC Inspector Maintenance whose position description seems to be involved with contract compliance functions. Are there overlapping duties here, or is one intended to be solely a Technical Inspector assigned to the Maintenance Division? Please clarify.	The Quality Control Inspector has been deleted
71	PWS, page 115, para 5.20.2 & Exhibit C, TE 1G-003 Hours of Operation	PWS para 5.20.2 states in part, "...many areas requiring transportation support will have varied work hours..." and continues to state, "Specific hours, by location, are identified in TE 1G-003," which displays standard hours of 0730-1600 for all three Divisions; no variance. Can the government clarify so bidders can calculate shift differential, etc.?	Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided. Because this is a performance based contract, adjustments to workload may vary. The government does not have knowledge of all future unknown requirements. Therefore, the Government is not able to provide clarification to this unknown requirement.
72	PWS, page 115, para 5.21.1 & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.21 states in part, "The contractor shall assist in operating two (FSGA/HAAF) multi-service Personal Property Shipping Offices..." yet TE 1 M-S-T-001 only displays one set of workload. Can the government breakout the workload between these locations?	The MST workload data incorporates PPSO functions for both locations. Offerors are to propose to the current workload data.
73	PWS, page 119, para 5.24.1 & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.24.1 states in part, "The contractor shall manage and operate two (FSGA/HAAF) transportation motor pools (TMP....)" yet TE 1 M-S-T-001 only displays one set of workload. Can the government breakout the workload between these locations?	The MST workload data incorporates TMP functions for both locations. Offerors are to propose to the current workload data.
74	PWS, page 122, para 5.26.6 & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.26.6 states in part, "The contractor shall assist in providing freight documentations services at two locations ...," yet TE 1 M-S-T-001 only displays one set of workload. Can the government breakout the workload between these locations?	The MST workload data incorporates freight functions for both locations. Offerors are to propose to the current workload data.
75	PWS, page 126, para 5.27.1 & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.27.2 states in part, "The contractor shall assist with operation of the Arrival/Departure Airfield Control Group (A/DACG) functions..." but there seems to be no associated workload in TE 1 M-S-T-001. Can the government clarify?	The MST workload data incorporates A/DACG functions for both locations. Offerors are to propose to the current workload data.

76	PWS, page 127, para 5.28.3 & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	Both of these documents address container repair. TE 1 M-S-T-001 specifies (in parenthesis) that the Welder is to perform this workload. Welders are traditionally assigned to the Allied Trades Section of the Maintenance Division. PWS para 5.28.3 states in part, "The contractor shall perform repair of CONEX/MILVANS (IAW published guidance) that are work ordered for installation maintenance support." This infers the repair work should be accomplished within the Maintenance Division. Is this task located incorrectly within the PWS? If not, what is the relationship with Maintenance Division, and how does (the Maintenance STAMIS) track the maintenance/service data?	Task is identified correctly in the PWS as CONEX/MILVANS are maintained under Container Repair yard, if welding repairs are necessary, proper documentation (DA Form 2404/2407 is needed. Currently welding operations only occur in the container yard area, as needed.
77	PWS, page 128, para 5.30.1 & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.30 prescribes tasks related to Movement Control Team (MCT) Support, yet there is no associated workload specified in TE 1 M-S-T-001. Is that workload included in another section (Unit Movements)?	This data was provided, however it was mislabeled in the MST under Unit Movement as 5.31. This has been corrected. Changed to 5.30. (repeat of question #8)
78	Exhibit G, TE 5M-001 FSGA HAAF Maintenance Density List	Pages 1-7 of this document appears to list all Base Operations Equipment, but it does not specify where the equipment is located or maintained. Is all of this equipment maintained at one location? If so, where?	All the equipment is maintained at Ft. Stewart and performed in the maintenance division
79	Exhibit G, TE 5M-001 FSGA HAAF Maintenance Density List	Pages 1-7 of this document appears to list Base Operations Equipment. Is this equipment for both FSGA and HAAF?	A new version of TE 5M-001 will be provided at final RFP. No Maintenance at HAAF at all
80	Exhibit G, TE 5M-001 FSGA HAAF Maintenance Density List	Pages 1-7 of this document appears to list Base Operations Equipment. Is this equipment transported between FSGA and HAAF for maintenance?	A new version of TE 5M-001 will be provided at final RFP. No Maintenance at HAAF at all
81	Exhibit H, TE 5S-002 FSGA HAAF Stock Readiness	This document contains a listing of items related to crate making, which includes several saws and lumber. How much woodworking is involved in Stock Readiness (COSIS)?	There is no requirement for woodworking by the contractor.
82	Exhibit H, TE 5S-002 FSGA HAAF Stock Readiness & Exhibit E, TE 3G-002, Government Furnished Equipment	TE 5S-002 contains a listing of items related to crate making, which includes several saws, yet no saws appear in TE 3G-002. If there is a woodworking mission associated with crate making, must the contractor provide its own saws?	There is no requirement for woodworking by the contractor.
83	PWS, page 128, para 5.29.1 & Exhibit U, TE 1 G-005 FSGS HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions	PWS para 5.29.1 states in part, "The contractor shall conduct rail operations...as directed by the Locomotive Engineer Supervisor." Page 4 of TE 1 G-005 lists a Locomotive Engineer as a specified non-key position, but does not mention a supervisor. Are these two separate positions?	The position of locomotive engineer supervisor in the PWS 5.29.1 is a government employee
84	PWS, page 128, para 5.29.1 & Exhibit U, TE 1 G-005 FSGS HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions	PWS para 5.29.1 states in part, "The contractor shall conduct rail operations...as directed by the Locomotive Engineer Supervisor." Page 4 of TE 1 G-005 lists a Locomotive Engineer as a specified non-key position, but does not mention a supervisor. Is that supervisor another contractor or a government employee?	The position of locomotive engineer supervisor in the PWS 5.29.1 is a government employee
85	PWS, page 128, para 5.29.1, Exhibit V, TE 5M-006 LRC Locomotive Equipment and Maintenance, & Exhibit A, TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours	PWS para 5.29.1 states in part, "The contractor shall conduct rail operations..." TE 1 G-005 lists 3 locomotives that require maintenance, and TE 1 M-S-T-001 appears to provide rail-related workload for less than one full FTE. Does the Locomotive Engineer specified in TE 1G-005 operate all three locomotives, or are there other entities that share this responsibility? Please clarify.	Yes, the Locomotive Engineer can operate all three (3) locomotives.
86	Fort Stewart Site Visit Slides, Slide 6, - ACC-RI FINAL and Fort Stewart EAGLE INDUSTRY DAY VISIT OPERATIONS OVERVIEW_FINAL	Slide 6 in the first slide deck omits mentioning the Transportation function at HAAF, while the other slide deck indicates that there is a TMP operation at HAAF in building 1036 (under renovation). Please clarify.	Slides are for information only. Building 1036 at HAAF is still under renovation. Offerors are propose to the RFP data provided.
87	RFP, 46 of 64, L.5.2.1.1	SMP is limited to 7 pages. This will be our 10th EAGLE submission and the page limit for the MCN/SMP has already been reduced from 12 pages to 9 for the most recent submission. For a contract job of this size and scope, supporting two sites, we will require a minimum of 11 pages to adequately address all of the Section L SMP requirements, particularly with regard to staffing rationale and assumptions and transition approach. Will the Government increase the SMP Page Limit to 11 pages?	The page limit will be increased to 9 pages which will be adequately sufficient for the Offerors to address the MCM/SMP requirement.

88	Exhibit N and Exhibit V	Documents are the same.	These are duplicate Technical Exhibits. Removed TE 5T-002. PWS and CDRs have been updated accordingly. (repeat of question #44)
89	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk, Page 1	Overall the Crosswalk does not appear to effectively correlate CBA Labor Categories to the applicable WD DOL and the SCA Directory of Occupations. In some cases the SCA Labor Categories do not show up on the WD, the SCA Directory of Occupations or on a search of the internet. Additionally, the SCA Directory of Occupations position descriptions for some of the SCA Labor Categories selected do not match the requirements and descriptions listed in Exhibits A and U. An accurate CBA to SCA Crosswalk that has been reviewed and approved by Subject Matter Experts and/or CBA position descriptions is critical to determining the proper staffing for each task and the overall contract. An inaccurate or incomplete Crosswalk provides an unfair advantage to the incumbent or their partners. Will the Government revise the CBA to SCA Crosswalk to reflect SCA Labor Categories that are listed on the applicable WD and have it reviewed and approved by SMEs?	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
90	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk, Page 1	The following SCA Labor Categories cited in the Crosswalk are not listed on WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 or in the SCA Directory of Occupations (5th Edition): 05736 - Braker-Switcher, 00303 - Drivers Testing, 05737 - Locomotive Engineer, 04801 - Equipment Repairer, 00301 - Special Operations Specialist, 6610 - Small Arms repairer, 02131 - Freight Rate Specialists, 02102 - Transportation Coordinator, 02030 - Storage Specialist, 01802 - Quality Inspector Specialist. Without a proper CBA to SCA crosswalk and/or CBA position descriptions, selection of the proper CBA labor category to perform a specific task is difficult and therefore provides the incumbent an unfair advantage. Will the Government provide CBA position descriptions? Will the Government revise the CBA to SCA Crosswalk to reflect SCA Labor Categories that are listed on the applicable WD?	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
91	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk, Page 1	The Crosswalk does not provide a corresponding SCA labor category for the CBA SARSS Operator. Will the Government provide a corresponding SCA labor category for the CBA SARSS Operator.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
92	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk, Page 1	Crosswalk of CBA Labor Category to Electronic/Radio Repairman to SCA Labor Category 05010 - Automotive Electrician does not appear to accurate. Referencing the SCA Directory of Occupations, occupational base 23180 ELECTRONICS TECHNICIAN, MAINTENANCE appears to be more applicable: The Electronics Technician, Maintenance maintains, repairs, troubleshoots, modifies and installs various types of electronic equipment and related devices such as electronic transmitting and receiving equipment (e.g., radar, radio, television, telecommunication, sonar, and navigational aids);	The position of Electronic/Radio Repairman has been crosswalked to 23181 - Electronics Technician Maintenance I. The Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
93	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk, Page 1	Crosswalk of CBA Optical Repairman to SCA 23380 - Ground Support Equipment Mechanic does not appear to be accurate. SCA 23393 Gunsmith III appears to be more applicable: The Gunsmith III consults with government officials in all facets concerning the Weapons Department, such as, but not limited to, indoor/outdoor range design, ammunition fundamentals ballistics, weapons mounts, suppressors, optic systems, prototype development and reverse engineering projects, and performs direct supervision and training of subordinate gunsmiths.	The Optical Repairman has been crosswalked to 23181 - Electronics Technician I in the crosswalk. The Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.

94	Exhibit P CDRLs CD-06 to CD-07, Page 1	Date of First Submission (Block 12) is listed as 30 days after Notice to Proceed. As this contract calls for a 60 day transition, recommend that the Government change this to be 60 days after Notice to Proceed in order to allow the successor contractor time to acquire Contractor Furnished Property. Further, conducting inventories and turnover of equipment later in the transition will minimize disruption to services and allow for better control and accountability of equipment by the successor contractor. Will the Government change the requirement for first submission to be with 60 calendar days of Notice to Proceed?	This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.
95	Exhibit Q CDRL MMD-01, Page 1	Date of First Submission (Block 12) states that "The contractor will complete the first month's (or part thereof) maintenance work and report within 3 working days after the end of that month. The first month maintenance work begins 30 days after notice to proceed date." As this contract calls for a 60 day Transition, the first month maintenance work would begin 60 days after Notice to Proceed. Will the Government please clarify the date of first submission for CDRL MMD-01?	This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.
96	PWS, Page 18, Paragraph 1.4.6	Paragraph states: "The transition-in, being part of the base year of this effort, will commence at Notice to Proceed (NTP) date issued by the KO and will continue until full operational capability (FOC), not to exceed 30 days." The RFP calls for a 60 day Transition. Will the Government please clarify the length of the Transition?	This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.
97	Exhibit R, CDRLs PM-01 to PM-26, Page 21	For CRDL PM-21, the Date of First Submission is listed as NLT 30 days after notice to proceed. This CDRL is for OSHA Form 300, Log of Work-Related Illness and Injuries and OSHA Form 300A, Summary of Work-Related Illnesses and Injuries which show data for the previous month. There is a 60 day Transition period and the report is normally due NLT the 5th business day of the month. Will the Government revise the Date of First Submission to be NLT the 5th business day of the month following the first 30 days of contract performance?	This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.
98	Exhibit R, CDRLs PM-01 to PM-26, Page 25	For CRDL PM-25, the Date of First Submission is listed as Draft 15 days after notice to proceed. This CDRL is for Contractor's School Bus Employee Security Investigations. As written, the PWS paragraph 1.3.13.3 and the CDRL do not indicate a need for a plan for Contractor's School Bus Employee Security. Additionally, given that background checks are required from multiple agencies, submission of completed School Bus Employee Security Investigations within 15 days of notice to proceed is not possible. This CDRL needs additional clarification and information. Will the Government revise CDRL PM-25 to more clearly define the requirement?	CDRL PM-25 has been updated and will be provided during final RFP
99	PWS, Pages 14-15, paragraphs 1.3.13.3 - 6	All if these paragraphs appear to reference the topic of School Bus Employee Security Investigation Requirements and as such should be formatted differently. Will the Government change the formatting of these paragraphs to more accurately reflect their inter-relationship?	No, this section is formatted appropriately.
100	Exhibit S CDRL SS-01, Page 1	Date of First submission is listed as "3rd business day of 2ND month after notice to proceed date" Given a 60 day Transition, it does not make sense for the successor contractor to submit a report when they would not have had any supply activity. Will the Government update the Date of First Submission to 3rd business day of 3rd month after notice to proceed date"?	This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.

101	RFP W52P1J15R0129, Section L.5.2.1.1(a)(3)	The page limit for the Staffing and Management Plan (SMP) was reduced to 7 pages without reducing the requirements. Offerors are required to detail an approach to deliver services required in the RFP and PWS. 7 Pages seems insufficient considering the complexity of the PWS and the number of RFP requirements we need to address. Similar previous Task Order solicitations had a Page Limit of 9 Pages for the SMP. Would the Government consider increasing the Page Limit to 9 Pages?	The page limit will be increased to 9 pages which will be adequately sufficient for the Offerors to address the MCM/SMP requirement.
102	Attachments 0007 - DOL Wage Determinations 6.4.15 Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk 6.4.15	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk provides SCA Labor Categories which do not exist in Attachment 0007 WD DOL 05-2141 rev 16. Would the Government please advise on how we are to reference SCA equivalent labor classifications for the following positions, and provide job description details on the four labor categories marked with an * below: 05726 - Braker-Switcher 00303 - Drivers Testing 05737 Locomotive Engineer * 04801 Equipment Repairer * 00301 Special Operations Specialist * 6610 Small Arms Repairer 02131 Freight Rate Specialist 02102 Transportation Coordinator 00303 Quality Assurance Assistant 02030 Storage Specialist * 01802 Quality Inspector Specialist	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
103	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk 6.4.15	Can the Government please provide the corresponding SCA category for the SARSS Operator listed in Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk?	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
104	Attachment 0008 - Collective Bargaining Agreement 6.4.15, APPENDIX A Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk 6.4.15 Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions 6.4.15	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk provides Labor Categories under the CBA Column which are not included in APPENDIX A to Attachment 0008 Collective Bargaining Agreement. These labor categories are "Bus Driver", "Dispatcher", "Driver Tester", and "Duty Driver". Moreover, all of these positions are listed as Specified Non-Key in Exhibit U, along with a "School Bus Driver" which is also not included in the CBA APPENDIX A. Would the government please advise if these positions are currently covered under the CBA, and if so, would the Government please provide the CBA labor rates?	Additional 2 CBAs will be provided with final RFP - CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and International Association of Machinists/Aerospace Workers. CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and Teamster Local 728. These are the Transportation Motor Pool CBAs.
105	Attachment 008 - Collective Bargaining Agreement 6.4.15, Pages 37 and 45.	Attachment 008, CBA, Pages 37 and 45 list two categories of Locomotive Technicians - Locomotive Technician I and Locomotive Technician II. Would the government please provide the differences in the duties/complexities of the two skill levels?	Locomotive Technician II is not used. Only Locomotive Technician I. And the Locomotive Technician I job description in on the Key and Specified Non-Key Position.
106	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15, 115 Maintenance Equipment Passback, E Shop, Communications and Electronics	The functional labor hours in this technical exhibit lists only 3,840 labor hours to repair Night Vision Goggles, which appears insufficient given the Maintenance Density List provided in Exhibit G TE 5M-001 FSGA HAAF, showing a quantity of 2,526 NVGs. Would the government confirm that only two FTEs are required to inspect and repair NVGs?	Yes, only two (2) FTEs are required. Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided.
107	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15, Unit Maintained Equipment	The functional labor hours provided in Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Unit Maintained Equipment does not include workload data for Allied Trades. The PWS states that "The Contractor shall augment the Government with performing maintenance and glass replacement tasks required for the repair or modification of any Government owned tactical or commercial equipment used in a tactical or base operations role or other supporting purposes." Will the Government please provide specific labor hours involved in augmenting the Government's Allied Trade functions, so that the offeror can appropriately staff with the right labor categories?	These requirements are reserved at this time and there is no workload to provide. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided. (repeat of question #36)

108	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 - Unit Maintained Equipment	The functional labor hours in this technical exhibit provides a lump sum of labor hours under both ABCT and IBCT as "REQUIRED MAINTENANCE SERVICES, Quarterly, Semi-Annually, Annually, etc." Would the Government please provide more specific information on the type of work involved within both the ABCT minimum hours (87,740) and IBCT minimum hours (85,820)? Without knowing the specific tasks involved and associated labor hours, such as preventative maintenance vs more in depth maintenance services (i.e. electrical, engine/transmission repairs), offerors are unable to determine how to adequately staff this function to ensure sufficient skill levels to cover all requirements.	These requirements are reserved at this time and there is no workload to provide. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided.
109	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 - Unit Maintained Equipment	The functional labor hours in this technical exhibit provides a lump sum of labor hours under "Production Control - Work Order Management" detailing tasks to include "Production data records, reports, work schedules, issues work orders, updates and closes out." Breakout shows three separate Production Control Shops, but does provide any associated labor hours against specific tasks. Would the Government please break out these tasks by minimum functional labor hours, so that the offeror can determine the correct labor category to fulfill the customer's requirements?	There is not a specific task for a PC Clerk. No, break outs will be applied. Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided.
110	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 - Unit Maintained Equipment	The functional labor hours in this technical exhibit provides a lump sum of labor hours under "Production Control - Work Order Management" detailing tasks to include "Production data records, reports, work schedules, issues work orders, updates and closes out" within ABCT and IBCT. However, there is no reference to providing supply shop support, to include material coordination duties, which we would anticipate necessary to properly support all maintenance activities. Would the Government please provide transactional data/labor hours involved in providing supply support to the ABCT and IBCT Production Control Sections and individual shops?	These are augmented tasks that the soldiers are unable to do. Offerors are to propose to current workload data provided.
111	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 - Installation Supply Support Activity FS and HAAF	The functional labor hours in this technical exhibit under "Installation Supply Support Activity" does not include SARSS transactional workload which is necessary to properly staff this function with qualified SARSS Operator(s). Would the government please provide a breakout of labor hours involved in performing SARSS data processing?	Refer to PWS 5.16.1. GCSS Army is the current ILS and all labor hours are broken out in the M-S-T.
112	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 - Unit Movements/RMA	This section requires the loading and unloading of rail cars/equipment. The movement of containers to and from a rail car, along with any heavy equipment would require the use of a tractor-trailer. The CBA does not include a Tractor/Trailer Driver, yet the Government lists this labor category within the CBA/SCA Crosswalk. Would the Government please clarify if the listing of the "Tractor/Trailer Driver" was done in error and that a "Truck Driver, Heavy" was the intended labor category? To qualify for this position, an individual must have Class A CDL.	For further clarification, please refer to TE 1G-005. The movement of containers does not require a truck driver/tractor trailer driver.
113	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 - Personal Property Operations CLIN 0004AA	The following labor categories listed in Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk are not included in the SCA: Transportation Outbound Cnsl, Transportation Inbound Cnsl, and Trans Non-Temp Storage Worker. To support the customer's requirements we recommend using the labor category of "Travel Clerk I, II, or III" which is included in the WD DOL 05-2141 rev 16 and is consistent with the categorization of Travel Clerk labor categories for a recent EAGLE RFP with the same requirements - Joint Personal Property Office (JPPSO).	Travel Counselor is used in this position. For further clarification, please refer to TE 1G-005 for correct labor category.

114	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions lists a "Logistics Analyst Maintenance (SAMS)". This position is neither included in the CBA/SCA Crosswalk nor in the WD DOL 05-2141 rev 16. Would the Government please identify the CBA/SCA job classification required for this position?	The TE 1G-005 has been updated and will be applied to the final RFP.
115	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions lists a "Optical Equipment Repairman" and the CBA/SCA Crosswalk lists the SCA labor category as "Ground Support Equipment Mechanic." Would the Government please confirm that this is the correct SCA labor category for this requirement and not category "30390 Photo-Optics Technician"?	This position of Optical Repairman and will be replaced with 23181 - Electrical Technician I.
116	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 Key and Specified Non-Key Positions lists five QC inspectors - request clarification on: Page 3 - 5th Position Down: "Quality Control Inspector" would the Government please specify which functional area this 100% FLC1 QC Inspector is under? Page 5 - 1st Position - Would the Government please confirm that this position is a repeat from Page #4 - 8th Position Down - QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance?	The TE 1G-005 has been updated and will be applied to the final RFP.
117	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk - 6.4.15 Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions Unit Maintained Equipment, CLIN 0002D	The CBA to SCA Crosswalk provides for a Locomotive Technician / Locomotive Engineer and Braker-Switcher. These positions neither exist in the WD DOL 05-2141 rev 16. nor are they listed in the SCA Directory of Occupations (Fifth Edition). The job description listed in Exhibit U TE 1G-005 does not include maintenance functions, other than "checks for mechanical problems." Would the Government please clarify?	Additional 2 CBAs will be provided with final RFP - CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and International Association of Machinists/Aerospace Workers. CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and Teamster Local 728. These are the Transportation Motor Pool CBAs. And the Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
118	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk - 6.4.15 Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk does not include a "Welder". Would the Government please confirm this was a clerical omission, since welder skills are clearly required in the PWS?	The TE 1G-005 has been updated and will be applied to the final RFP.
119	PWS, Section C-5, Page 1, Paragraph 5.13 and Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk - 6.4.15	Section C-5, Page 1, Paragraph 5.1.3 includes a reference to supporting "Arms Rooms" in the scope of maintenance work. In addition, the CBA to SCA Crosswalk includes a "Small Arms Repairer" labor category. However, Section C-5, Page 5, Paragraph 5.5. "Allied Trades" contains no reference to Small Arms Repairer, nor is there any associated workload included in Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001. Would the Government please confirm that repair of small arms is not included within the Material Maintenance effort?	There is no repair of small arms. There is no requirement for this.
120	TE 5M-001 FSGA IMCOM/LRC Density List	TE 5M-001 FSGA IMCOM/LRC Density List lists a quantity of 85 "TRK 37-1/2X26X29-1/2." Would the Government please describe what type of vehicle this is (i.e. motor vehicle, utility vehicle, etc.) so that the offeror can determine the appropriate labor category to maintain these assets?	The TE 5M-001 has been updated and will be applied to the final RFP.
121	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 05736 – Braker-Switcher. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
122	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 00303 – Drivers Testing. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
123	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 05737 – Locomotive Engineer. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
124	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 04801 – Equipment Repairer. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
125	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 00301 – Special Operations Specialist. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
126	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 6610 – Small Arms repairer. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.

127	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 02131 – Freight Rate Specialist. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
128	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 02102 – Transportation Coordinator. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
129	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 00303 – Quality Assurance Assistant. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
130	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 02030 – Storage Specialist. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
131	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 02102 – Transportation Coordinator. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
132	Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, p. 1	The WD DOL 05-2141 rev16 column lists 01802 – Quality Inspector Specialist. We can't locate that code or category in the WD. Please clarify the reference.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.
133	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Category 1 Hours for Fort Stewart, GA, p. 1	In the Maintenance Functional Area only 1920 hours are designated for Maintenance Support (5.7 Repair Parts and Materiel Management Tasks). Please confirm this is the desired minimum quantity?	Confirmed, only 1920 hours are designated. The M-S-T is correct
134	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Category 1 Hours for Fort Stewart, GA, p.1	In the Maintenance Functional Area no hours are allocated for Allied Trades. Please confirm no minimum hours are anticipated for PWS 5.5?	Confirmed, the M-S-T is correct
135	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Category 1 Hours for Fort Stewart, GA, p. 3	Please confirm the total minimum hours for Supply, there appears to be an error in the Total Quantity.	The M-S-T has been updated and the total hours for the Supply has been verified.
136	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions, p.N/A	Please confirm the position Transportation Manager is not considered Key?	The Key and Specified Non-Key Positions, TE 1G-005 does not list the Transportation Manager because there is no requirement for a this position.
137	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions, p. 4-5	The QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance appears to be listed twice, is the second listing a duplicate entry?	The TE 5M-001 has been updated and will be applied to the final RFP.
138	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non-Key Positions, p. 4-5	The QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance – FLC2 = No, while the QC Inspector Maintenance and QC Inspector Transportation are YES. Please indicate the FLC1 requirements the QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance might perform.	The TE 5M-001 has been updated and will be applied to the final RFP.
139	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Category 1 Hours for Fort Stewart, GA, p. 3	In the Transportation Functional Area, Unit Movements/RMA references PWS 5.31, no such paragraph is provided in the PWS, please clarify.	This data was provided, however it was mislabeled in the MST under Unit Movement as 5.31. This has been corrected. Changed to 5.30
140	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Category 1 Hours for Fort Stewart, GA, p. 3	In the Transportation Functional Area, Unit Movements/RMA there are 2 requirements to provide MHE support for deployment/redeployment – operate forklift for loading/unloading. Is it the Governments intent to have this requirement listed twice with the same Transactions/Count and Minimum FLC1 Hours?	The M-S-T has been updated to reflect one 1 requirement to provide MHE support for deployment/redeployment
141	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Category 1 Hours for Fort Stewart, GA, p. 3	Reference Functional Area for Transportation - Unit Movements/RMA. We can not find what the acronym RMA stands for it is not listed in PWS 2.2. Please clarify the acronym RMA.	Acronym is RMA - Rail Marshalling Area
142	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, p. 2 second paragraph under A.2 and Attachment 0011 - Q&A Template 6.4.15	In addition to the "reference RFP document, paragraph number, page number and applicable question," the instructions for filling out Attachment 0011 - Q&A Template requires <b>each row</b> to have the POC Name and Offeror Name. There is no column for these last two items. For future submissions, would it suffice to only fill out the POC Name and Offeror Name in the space provided at the top of the template?	We believe the POC Name and Offeror name at the top of Attachment 0011 will suffice. We will request EAGLE leadership consider updating the A.2 to remove the requirement to provide POC Name/Offer name on each row for future EAGLE acquisitions.

143	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, Section A, A.2	<p>Para A.2 of the DRAFT RFP has conflicting guidance with regard to the subject line for submission of questions. It first states, "All questions on the DRAFT RFP, PWS, attachments and exhibits for the EAGLE Ft. Stewart, GA requirement should be submitted using the Microsoft Excel template provided as Attachment 0011 through the EAGLE mailbox usarmy.RIA.acc.mbx.eagle@mail.mil SUBJECT: Ft. Stewart RFP Questions," and then also states, "The e-mail subject line shall include the task order solicitation number and the respective Offerors name i.e. W52P1J-15-R-0129, Offerors Name."</p> <p>Would the Government please clarify the subject line for submission of questions?</p>	We will consider revising language to avoid any inconsistency in future draft solicitations.
144	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, L.2.2	<p>Section L.2.2 notes "All information pertaining to a particular volume shall be confined to that volume. For example, no Cost/Price information shall be included in any volume other than the Cost/Price Proposal Volume."</p> <p>Would the Government please confirm that Att0010 does indeed belong to Volume 1, General Documents?</p>	See L.5.1.8 Teaming Matrix (Attachment 0010). Teaming Matrix should be included in Volume I.
145	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, L.2.8	<p>L.2.8 notes the estimated NTP date as 5 Jan 16; however, the EAGLE Estimated RFP Release and Task Order Award Dates document, posted to the EAGLE website, estimates the Task Order Award Date for EAGLE Stewart as 2d Qtr FY16.</p> <p>Would the Government please clarify the Estimated NTP date or the Estimated Award Date?</p>	5 January 2016 is the estimated award date while 28 February 2016 will be the NTP date. Both dates fall within the Governments 2nd QTR FY16. The final RFP will be corrected to reflect these dates.
146	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, L.2.8	<p>Section L.2.8 notes, "For the purposes of proposal preparation and evaluation only, the Offeror shall use 5 Jan 2016 as the NTP."</p> <p>Shall Offerors assume NTP date is the start date for Transition-in?</p>	5 January 2016 is the estimated award date while 28 February 2016 will be the NTP date. Yes, the NTP date is the start date for transition-in. The final RFP will be corrected to reflect these dates.
147	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, L.5.4.2.2(b)	<p>As Subcontractors will not be proposing the plug ODC numbers, we request permission to provide an unlocked/unprotected copy for the subcontractor Att0005.</p>	Attachment 0005 is to remain locked/protected in accordance with L.5.4.2.2(b) of the RFP.
148	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, L.5.4.2.7.5(e)	<p>May offerors include Indirect Rate Data for 2013-2021 (Budgetary for 2016-2021 and Historical for 2013-2015) in one file named "Offeror's_Name_Vol_4_IRD_2013-2021"?</p>	Yes
149	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, L.5.4.2.7.5(e)	<p>Offeror financial data for all of FY2015 will not be available by the proposal submission date. Is the Government looking for data through the last closed accounting period of 2015?</p>	The Government is requesting the most recent data available at the time of proposal submission.
150	DRAFT RFP - Ft. Stewart - W52P1J15R0129, L.5.2.1.1(a)(3)	<p>The page limit for the SMP has steadily decreased over the issuance of the last few EAGLE RFPs set aside for SBs, but there has been no variance in the Section L requirements for the SMP proposal. EAGLE Fort Riley LRC, issued in Jan 15, was 11 pages. EAGLE Fort Rucker LRC, issued in May 15, was 9 pages. EAGLE Fort Stewart LRC, a much larger effort than the Rucker LRC, is now just 7 pages.</p> <p>Would the Government please consider at least leaving the page limit at 9 pages or removing criteria required for Technical acceptability?</p>	The page limit will be increased to 9 pages which will be adequately sufficient for the Offerors to address the MCM/SMP requirement.
151	Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15 PWS Para 1.12 Other Direct Costs	<p>Will the Government confirm that no elements of an Offeror's or his subs/vendors fringe costs (H&amp;W, Worker's Comp., etc.) be allowed to be reimbursed via ODC CLIN during execution?</p>	No, Fringe Costs shall be included or reimbursed on the CDC CLINs during contract execution. In addition, in accordance with Attachment 0009, costs over the stated threshold charged against the ODC CLINs will have to be approved by the PCO during contract performance.
152	Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15 PWS Para 1.3.5 and 1.12	<p>Will individual protective clothing and equipment be reimbursable via the ODC CLIN?</p>	No, individual protective clothing and equipment will not be reimbursable via the ODC.
153	Exhibit N TE 5T-002 Locomotive Equipment and Maintenance - 6.4.15  Exhibit V TE 5M-006 LRC Locomotive Equipment and Maintenance - 6.4.15	<p>Exhibit N and Exhibit V are the same Technical Exhibit just under different TEs and Exhibits.</p> <p>Would the Government please remove the appropriate Exhibit?</p>	These are duplicate Technical Exhibits. Removed TE 5T-002. PWS and CDRLs have been updated accordingly. (repeat of question #44)

154	Attachment 0013 - Mission Essential Contractor Services 6.4.15	<p>Paragraph 2 states, "The following functional tasks are listed as mission essential services: a. Motor Pool Operations, consisting of: (1) Vehicle Issue and Dispatch, (2) Passenger and Cargo Services. Motor Pool Operations, Vehicle Issue and Dispatch, are covered under 5.24; however, Passenger and Cargo Services are not tasks under Motor Pool Operations, and Passenger Movements are RESERVED.</p> <p>Would the Government please provide clarification of mission essential services with appropriate PWS references?</p>	Adjust the Mission Essential Contractor Services to only include the TMP operations. The Attachment 0013 will be updated to reflect those Mission Essential Contractor Services and will be available at Final RFP
155	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15	<p>There are 90.4 mechanic type personnel working on 1 ABCT and 2 IBCT equipment. The tasks are lumped together doing "required maintenance services".</p> <p>Would the Government please further breakout tasks so we can better align the necessary skill sets to accomplish tasks?</p>	See UME in the MST Maintenance pg. 1, 3rd requirement, provides the workload data for the required maintenance. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided.
156	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15	<p>Unit Movement/RMA lists reference PWS paragraph 5.31. There is no Attachment 0001 PWS paragraph 5.31.</p> <p>Would the Government please remove this PWS reference, as well as define or remove RMA?</p>	This data was provided, however it was mislabeled in the MST under Unit Movement as 5.31. This has been corrected. Changed to 5.30 and RMA (Rail Marshalling Area) acronym has been updated in the PWS.
157	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15	<p>The Supply Section FLC1 ManHour total reflects 126,720 hours; however, when adding up the numbers, the total came to 126, 724.</p> <p>Would the Government please adjust the FLC1 Total in Supply?</p>	The M-S-T has been updated and the total hours for the Supply has been verified.
158	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15	<p>The Supply CLIN numbers on top of page 2 list the maintenance CLIN numbers.</p> <p>Would the Government please correct the column heading numbers on Page 2 to reflect the Supply CLIN numbers?</p>	Page 2 of the M-S-T is showing the correct CLIN numbers for the Supply area. Request additional clarification.
159	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15	<p>Under Maintenance, 115 Maintenance Equipment Passback references PWS paragraphs 5.1.2 and 5.8. Based on the task requirements, it appears that the paragraph references should be PWS paragraphs 5.5.3 (E Shop), 5.6 (Technical Inspections), and 5.3.1, if this is the appropriate reference for C Shop - Artillery.</p> <p>Would the Government please clarify PWS references for 115 Maintenance Equipment Passback, under Maintenance in Exhibit A?</p>	Believe the M-S-T needs to be updated to include 5.3.1 & 5.5.3. 5.6 has already been updated on the M-S-T
160	Attachment 0017 - CBA to SCA Crosswalk 6.4.15 Attachment 0008 - Collective Bargaining Agreement 6.4.15	<p>There are CBA labor categories on the crosswalk document that are not on either of the CBAs provided (i.e., Bus Driver, Dispatcher, Driver Tester, Duty Driver, Tractor-Trailer Driver, Special Operations &amp; Lead).</p> <p>Are these positions the Government intended to be listed on the CBAs?</p>	Additional 2 CBAs will be provided with final RFP - CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and International Association of Machinists/Aerospace Workers. CBA between Operations Services, Inc. and Teamster Local 728 CBAs. The TE 1G-005 Key & Non Key Positions has also been updated.
161	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions - 6.4.15	<p>Personal Property Operations has no Supervisor listed on the Key and Specified Non Key Position Exhibit U.</p> <p>Is it the Government's intent that there is no management supervision over this work area?</p>	No need for a supervisor for Personal Property Operations because this is a Government function.
162	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions - 6.4.15	<p>There is no Transportation Manager listed for the Transportation area.</p> <p>Is it the Government's intent that the Transportation Manager is not consider a Key position, with the only a TMP Supervisor and an additional 5 work areas with no management supervision over them?</p>	No need for a supervisor for Transportation Manager because this is a Government function.

163	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions - 6.4.15  Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15	There are no Specified Non-Key Maintenance Supervisors listed in the Maintenance Section of Exhibit U, especially in the UME work area. PWS paragraph 5.3.6.2 states, in part, "The contractor shall provide or appoint a UME maintenance supervisor per brigade...and shall identify an alternate brigade maintenance supervisor."  Would the Government please update Exhibit U with the appropriate supervisor positions in accordance with PWS requirements to provide the appropriate direct management supervision over these 113 FLC1 employees?	TE 1G-005 Has been updated to reflect the Maintenance Supervisor (UME)
164	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15  Exhibit J TE 1G-001 Installation Name Supported Organizations - 6.4.15	Exhibit A lists maintenance support for 2 IBCT; however, Exhibit J, Installation Name Supported Organizations, does not list 2 IBCT and instead lists 4 IBCT.  Would the Government please clarify which IBCT we are providing maintenance support to?	TE 1G-001 has been updated to reflect 2 IBCT instead of 4 IBCT.
165	Attachment 0008 - Collective Bargaining Agreement 6.4.15	There is no clear understanding of what work areas DST performs under this RFP.  Would the Government please clarify what work areas DST is performing, as both CBAs do not cover the same labor categories?	CBA provides information between the contractor and the bargaining unit. It is up to the offeror to propose to the workload data provide and to include CBA information. Offerors need to read all CBA in their entirety.
166	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions - 6.4.15	Exhibit U lists "QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance" twice.  Is it the Government's intent that we provide two QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance personnel or was this an oversight and one should be removed?	TE 1G-005 has been updated to remove the duplication of the QC Inspector Environmental/Safety Maintenance.
167	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions - 6.4.15	Exhibit U lists several QC type inspectors by function or division. There is also one Non-Key position specified simply "Quality Control Inspector."  Would the Government please provide a more complete position title or position description for this position?	TE 1G-005 has been updated to remove the Quality Control Inspector position
168	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions - 6.4.15	Exhibit U makes reference to a Site Manager in a few of the position descriptions.  Should this actually refer to the Project Manager instead of a Site Manager?	TE 1G-005 has been updated to reflect Project Manager.
169	Exhibit U TE 1G-005 FSGA HAAF Key and Specified Non Key Positions - 6.4.15	Exhibit U, in the instructions and notes section at the top, states, in part, "These two functions must be on separate lines of the offeror's Attachment 0001."  Should this state Attachment 0002 versus Attachment 0001?	TE 1G-005 has been updated with the instructions removed and will released with the Final RFP.
170	Exhibit P CDRls CD-06 to CD-07 - 6.4.15	With regard to CD-06, Block 12, Date of First Submission, stipulates 30 calendar days after notice to proceed; however, the Transition-In period is actually 60 days.  Would the Government please revise Block 12 to stipulate 60 days after notice to proceed, in line with the 60-day Transition-In period?	This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.
171	Exhibit P CDRls CD-06 to CD-07 - 6.4.15  Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15 PWS Para 1.2.6; 1.10.6	With regard to CD-07, is the Government truly requiring daily submission for all 3 of these deliverables? If not, would the Government please clarify the due dates for these deliverable?	The CDRL CD-07 will be updated and will be available for Final RFP.
172	Exhibit P CDRls CD-06 to CD-07 - 6.4.15  Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15 PWS Para 1.2.6; 1.10.6	Block 16 of CD-07 says the frequency of these deliverables is daily and as of the last billing period. Request these be weekly deliverables submitted on Tuesday of the week following service and 2 business day after month end. Timesheet and cost data are not posted daily to contractor accounting systems making these deliverables manual and therefore leaving room for major errors and rework. A weekly posting of costs is more realistic and manageable for contractors and will help ensure the accuracy of the data submitted.	The CDRL CD-07 will be updated and will be available for Final RFP.

173	Exhibit Q CDRL MMD-01 Maintenance Management Report - 6.4.15	<p>With regard to MMD-01, Block 12, Date of First Submission, stipulates, "The first month maintenance work begins 30 days after notice to proceed;" however, the Transition-In period is actually 60 days.</p> <p>Would the Government please revise Block 12 to stipulate 60 days after notice to proceed, in line with the 60-day Transition-In period?</p>	<p>This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.</p>
174	Exhibit R CDRLs PM-01 to PM-26 - 6.4.15	<p>With regard to PM-01, Block 10 stipulates the Frequency as Quarterly, yet Block 13 states Subsequent Submissions as "Twice Daily at 0900 and 1500."</p> <p>Would the Government please provide clarification on the frequency of this report?</p>	<p>The CDRL PM-01 has been updated and will be available for Final RFP.</p>
175	Exhibit R CDRLs PM-01 to PM-26 - 6.4.15	<p>The PWS reference for PM-01, PWS para 1.4.5, discusses the PM and APM on the contract.</p> <p>Do PM-01 and TE 1G-002 apply only to the PM and APM?</p>	<p>No the reports will be for every employee and not just the PM and APM.</p>
176	Exhibit R CDRLs PM-01 to PM-26 - 6.4.15	<p>With regard to PM-21, Block 12, Date of First Submission, stipulates 30 calendar days after notice to proceed; however, the Transition-In period is actually 60 days.</p> <p>Would the Government please revise Block 12 to stipulate 60 days after notice to proceed, in line with the 60-day Transition-In period?</p>	<p>This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.</p>
177	Exhibit R CDRLs PM-01 to PM-26 - 6.4.15	<p>PWS para 1.3.13.3 states, in part, "Drivers working under this contract must be able to sign a statement 15 days prior to employment"; however, Block 12 of PM-25 stipulates the Date of First Submission as 15 days after notice to proceed.</p> <p>Would the Government please revise Block 12 to NLT 15 days prior to employment?</p>	<p>PWS paragraph 1.13.3.3 has been updated and CDRL PM-25 has be updated and will be available at Final RFP.</p>
178	Exhibit R CDRLs PM-01 to PM-26 - 6.4.15 Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15, para 1.3.5	<p>With regard to PM-26, Block 12, Date of First Submission, stipulates within 30 days after notice to proceed; however, the Transition-In period is actually 60 days.</p> <p>Would the Government please revise Block 12 of PM-26 and PWS para 1.3.5 to stipulate 60 days after notice to proceed, in line with the 60-day Transition-In period?</p>	<p>This has been discussed with Ft. Stewart management and EAGLE management have reviewed the historical data and it is an augmented contract. With this, 30 days has been decided as sufficient.</p>
179	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15	<p>Under Maintenance, Locomotive Maintenance references PWS paragraph 5.30; however, there is no PWS para 5.30 and it appears this reference should be 5.9.4.</p> <p>Would the Government please correct reference to PWS paragraph 5.9.4.</p>	<p>Reference Paragraph has been changed to 5.9.4 on the M-S-T instead of 5.30</p>
180	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15	<p>PWS para 5.5 Allied Trades, subparagraph 5.5.2, indicates maintenance and glass replacement tasks, and subparagraph 5.5.4 indicates fire extinguisher / suppression system tasks; however, Exhibit A does not display any associated workload data.</p> <p>Would the Government please provide the appropriate workload data for PWS paragraphs 5.5.2 and 5.5.4 in Exhibit A?</p>	<p>These requirements are reserved at this time and there is no workload to provide. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided. (repeat of question #36)</p>
181	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15 Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15	<p>PWS para 5.22, POV Storage Facility, indicates contractor assistance in maintaining and operating a Government POV parking area; however, Exhibit A does not annotate any associated workload data.</p> <p>Would the Government please provide the appropriate workload data for PWS paragraph 5.22-5.22.1 in Exhibit A or RESERVE this PWS paragraph as appropriate?</p>	<p>There is no workload data to provide as these requirements are on an as needed basis. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided.</p>

182	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15  Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15	PWS para 5.27, A/DACG, outlines several contractor tasks; however, Exhibit A does not annotate any associated workload data IAW with this PWS reference.  Would the Government please provide the appropriate workload data for PWS paragraph 5.27 in Exhibit A or RESERVE this PWS paragraph as appropriate?	There is no workload data to provide as these requirements are on an as needed basis. If Oversea Contingent occurs, the contractor will be provided notice to provide augmentation support. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided. The PWS paragraph 5.27.1 has been updated and will be available for Final RFP.
183	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15  Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15	PWS para 5.30, MCT Support, outlines contractor tasks; however, Exhibit A does not annotate any associated workload data IAW with this PWS reference.  Would the Government please provide the appropriate workload data for PWS paragraph 5.30 in Exhibit A or RESERVE this PWS paragraph as appropriate?	The M-S-T has workload data for 5.30 under Unit Movements/RMA/Movement Control Team
184	Attachment 0001 - Ft. Stewart PWS 6.4.15 PWS paragraphs 1.3.2, 1.3.2.2, 1.3.2.3, 1.5.9, 1.5.10, 1.5.12.1, 1.5.12.2, 1.7.4.3, 1.7.5, 1.8.7, 1.8.8, 1.11.3, 1.11.5, 1.11.6, 1.12, 5.9.4.12, 5.16.11, 5.17.9, 5.17.12, 5.24.1, 5.24.1, 5.24.2, 5.26.17.23, 5.28.6	Based on all the training requirements listed in the PWS, will the Government consider that training as an ODC cost reimbursable item?	Locomotive certification (annually) and Packing and Crating certification (when needed) will be considered ODC costs for the travel portion only. Offeror should hire fully trained personnel as outlined in the PWS 1.3.2
185	Attachment 1, PWS; C-2 Page 16, Para 2.2, Acronyms and Abbreviations	Change Global Combat Supply System to read Global Combat Support System.	PWS has been updated to reflect the change to Global Combat Support System
186	Exhibit A, TE- 1 M-S-T Functional Labor Category 1 Hours, Container Handling/Rail/ Locomotive Operations	During the Site Visit, we were told that RTCHs (Rough-Terrain Container Handlers) would not be issued as GFE to the contractor, but that contractor personnel may operate RTCHs and other MHE, if properly trained and licensed. Since workload is provided for the moving of containers at the Container Handling Facility (CHF), it does not appear that the appropriate labor category for a RTCH operator is included in the CBAs provided with the RFP. It is our experience that a Heavy Equipment Operator is required to safely operate RTCHs/Top Handlers. Please clarify.	Best available data has been provided with CBA and Key/Non-Key TE 1G-005 and M-S-T TE. Offerors need to propose to the workload data provided.
187	Attachment 0017, Fort Stewart CBA to SCA Crosswalk	There are several CBA and SCA labor categories that are not good equivalents. For instance, the CBA Optical Equipment Repairman is not similar to the SCA 23380 Ground Support Equipment Mechanic. Would not an Electronics Technician III be a better fit? The CBA Transportation Inbound & Outbound Counselor are not similar to SCA 02102 Transportation Coordinator. A General Clerk III would seem more appropriate for counseling Soldiers, family members and DA Civilian employees on transportation entitlements. Please clarify.	The Optical Repairman has been crosswalked to 23181 - Electronics Technician I and the other positions have been addressed in the updated crosswalk. All the information has been included in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP
188	TE 1 M-S-T Minimum Functional Labor Category 1 Hours for Fort Stewart, GA, Page 1.	The workload did not show any shop supply actions associated with UME workload. Is there a shop supply requirement to support UME?	See UME in the MST Maintenance pg. 1, 3rd requirement, provides the workload data for the required maintenance. Offerors are to propose to the workload data provided.
189	Ft. Stewart Draft RFP - W52P1J15R0129, Page 46 of 64, Paragraph L.5.2.1.1(a)(3) .	Why is the SMP only 7 pages vice 9 pages?	The page limit will be increased to 9 pages which will be adequately sufficient for the Offerors to address the MCM/SMP requirement.
190	Attachment 0008, Collective Bargaining Agreement,6.4.15, Page 32, Paragraph 23-01.	The CBA states that any excess H&W is paid to the employee (meaning if the employee's H&W for the month exceeds the benefit cost we pay the difference to the employee just like an SCA employee). Is the excess H&W paid in cash or can it be directed to the 401k plan (just like our SCA employees)?	Excess H&W costs should be handled in accordance with the CBA.
191	Attachment 0008, Collective Bargaining Agreement,6.4.15, Page 32, Paragraph 23-01.	What hours are applicable for H&W? Is it regular, holiday, and PTO just like SCA employees or is it all hours including overtime? Is there a maximum number hours per week that H&W are paid on?	The hours to which H&W is applicable is determined by the CBA. However, we would anticipate that it would be similar to the SCA.
192	Attachment 0008, Collective Bargaining Agreement, Appendix A, Page 37 and Attachment 0017 CBA to SCA Crosswalk, 6.4.15.	There appears to be a disconnect between the CBA and the CBA/SCA Crosswalk. Are all required labor categories listed in the CBAs at Attachment 0008.	Crosswalk has been updated to include the CBA/Non CBA labor descriptions in the Attachment 0017 that will be available for Final RFP.

193	Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001	In the 'Exhibit A TE 1 M-S-T-001 Functional Labor Category 1 Hours - 6.4.15' file, there are missing transaction numbers and hours for various Supply tasks on page 2. Will the Government please provide the missing transactions, hours, and percentages across CLINs for the tasks in the Supply section?	The M-S-T file has been corrected and will be available for the Final RFP.
-----	--------------------------	---	--