

Control Number	Topic	Question	Answer
As of Date: 16 October 2012			
1	TORs	<p>Exelis Systems Corporation had recently received an EAGLE BOA, currently we have found eleven potential Task Order Requests (TOR's) that should be issued under EAGLE in the foreseeable future. Exelis believes these eleven opportunities are listed at the below FBO links. Please verify that these eleven opportunities are still planned to be released as EAGLE TOR's and that the time frames are still as listed in the below links. Your assistance in answering this request will be greatly appreciated.</p> <p>https://www.fbo.gov/index?s=opportunity&mode=form&id=631676772287312f914b2637419f3a55&tab=core - Redstone Arsenal Support Activity (RASA) - Afghanistan: 30 plus locations of Supply and Maintenance support plus Standard Army Management Information Systems (STAMIS) support -Kuwait: Army Preposition Stock (APS)-5 Armored Brigade Combat Team (ABCT)</p> <p>https://www.fbo.gov/index?s=opportunity&mode=form&id=9c1f9ce038fc15abc82b3cdd760f9e9c&tab=core&_cview=0 -Ft Lee, Ft Hood, Ft Benning, Ft Irwin, Ft Polk, Ft Campbell, Presidio of Monterrey</p> <p>https://www.fbo.gov/index?s=opportunity&mode=form&id=3206589d7ed3134625ceb15c09d73ea5&tab=core&_cview=0 -HQs, USAR requirements</p>	A listing of the advertised requirements with the anticipated TOR issue dates has been posted to the EAGLE Website
2	Teaming Arrangements	BAE Systems Technology Solutions & Services Inc. (BAE Systems) is excited to have received an EAGLE BOA. We are requesting further clarification on teaming for Task Order Requests. We understand that we must use the Step 2 approved teammates for functional areas where BAE Systems had a gap; however what is the process for proposing new teammates for Step 3 in areas that BAE Systems did have capability in Step 2 for the purpose of meeting Step 3 SB requirements? Will new teammates be evaluated as part of the Step 3 proposal evaluation? Also, are we limited to teaming with only SB companies that have been awarded an EAGLE BOA of their own?	BOA Holders that demonstrated the capability to perform functional areas on their own may propose new teammates for STEP 3 in those functional areas. The capabilities of the teammates will not be evaluated as part of the STEP 3 proposal evaluation as it was already determined that the prime had the capability and it will be responsible for ensuring it chooses teammates with the appropriate capabilities to perform successfully. There is no limitation to only using SB companies that have been awarded an EAGLE BOA of their own, however, we do encourage the use of SB contractors and will be requiring large businesses to abide by their approved subcontracting plans and SB goals.
3	BOAs	On Attachment 3, would it be possible to provide a second POC who will also receive any release of TO information?	Yes.
4	BOAs	We saw some announcements on GovWin that had us a little confused. Since we have executed our BOA, other than responding to Task Orders, is there anything else we need to do? Do we need to submit a proposal for the next BOA RFP?	No, current BOA Holders do not have to respond to the RFP. Current BOA Holders were issued a separate notification on how to submit changes to its approved teaming approaches if desired. It is not required for current BOA Holders to submit teaming approach changes/additions.
5	TORs	<p>I have a couple questions about the subject requirements, namely the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - DOL functions at Fort Benning – TO RFP scheduled for release 25 September, 2012 - DOL functions at Fort Gordon – TO RFP scheduled for release 3 August, 2012 <p>1) Is my understanding correct that along with sending the RFPs to the BoA holders, that announcements will be made for these on FBO as well? (Perhaps with a statement that only EAGLE Step 1 BoA Holders may respond)</p> <p>2) If not, then my real question is whether these solicitations were issued yet or not.</p>	<p>1) Yes, there will be a separate announcement on FBO when TORs are issued. Additionally, all BOA Holders will receive a notice to their designated POC that was provided on their Attachment 0003 with their executed BOA.</p> <p>2) These RFPs have not been issued as of posting date of this response.</p>
6	TORs	<p>DPW functions at Fort Gordon</p> <p>3) Would you happen to know whether this solicitation has posted or not? More importantly, can you tell me where I should be looking for such information?</p>	The EAGLE program does not include DPW. However, we are anticipating the release of the Fort Gordon Maintenance, Transportation, and Supply requirements in the very near future. Please refer to the EAGLE Website for the TOR release timelines that were recently posted.
7	Emergent Requirements	Ref: Pre-solicitation announcement - Enhanced Army Global Logistics Enterprise (EAGLE) STEP 2 Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA) RFP for Emergent/Special Project Requirements Solicitation Number: W52P1J-12-R-0198. Question: Can a BOA holder from solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0076 bid on the three new emergent requirements (Redstone Arsenal, Afghanistan, Kuwait) announced in the pending solicitation or are these three requirements only open to BOA holders from solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0198?	All current BOA holders may submit proposals on all TORs and emergent requirements as we do not have separate pools of BOA holders. The purpose of announcing emergent requirements via an RFP is to allow interested non BOA holders an opportunity to submit a proposal and obtain a BOA. During this timeframe, all current BOA holders will also be provided the opportunity to submit a change/addition to its approved teaming approach, if they wish to have a new teaming approach/capability reviewed and approved, thereby allowing them to revise its approach, if needed to support an emergent requirement(s).

8	BOAs	It appears that the requirements listed in the pre-solicitation are for EAGLE missions areas covered in RFP W52P1J-12-R-0076. Are any new mission areas being added as result of RFP W52P1J-12-R-0198?	All EAGLE task orders will be limited to maintenance, supply and transportation requirements. The recently issued BOA RFP was to support emergent requirements for Afghanistan, Kuwait APS-5, and Redstone Arsenal. Please refer to the BOA RFP W52P1J-12-R-0198 for further details.
9	Teaming Arrangements	Question: When shall contractors that already have a BOA, express interest and be able to update subcontractors or team members?	All current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information.
10	TORs	Can you please provide me with the names of the incumbent contractors and contract number for the three emergent requirements for: * Redstone Arsenal Maintenance, Supply, and Transportation * Afghaitan Maintenance, Supply, and Retrograde Supporting 401st AFSB * Kuwait Army Field Support Battallion <input type="checkbox"/>	Information such as this is anticipated to be provided in TORs issued to BOA holders.
11	TORs	Does solicitation -0198 refer only to the listed task orders or to any task order issues after a company receives a BOA under -0198 ?	The purpose of solicitation 0198 is to announce emerging requirements and also to allow non-BOA holders an opportunity to obtain an executed BOA. Once a contractor receives an executed BOA, it may propose on any TOR issued after its BOA effective date regardless of what BOA solicitation is was executed a BOA against. For example, all BOA holders who received BOAs under the W52P1J-12-R-0076 solicitation, are now eligible to submit proposals on any task orders issued as long as the TOR issue date is after its BOA effective date. For offerors who submit a proposal under the W52P1J-12-R-0198 they will not be able to propose against a task order until such time as they are issued a BOA. Once an executed BOA is issued they will be able to propose against any TOR issued after its BOA effective date.
12	BOAs	Does a company relinquish its opportunity to win a BOA under -0076 if it submits a notice it is interested in proposing under -0198? If yes, a company can't make an informed decision about -0198 until they know if they are getting a BOA under -0076. Also TO's will be issued under -0076 before -0198 is awarded and a company would lose its opportunity to bid on those TO's issued after 15 Oct and before -0198 is awarded.	No
13	BOAs	Is there a method to know if a company is getting an BOA on -0076 before making a determination on -0198. The synopsis states if you have a BOA on -0076 you do not have to bid for -0198 to be eligible to bid on the new requirements listed on -0198 .	BOA holders are notified once they are in receipt of an executed BOA with the Government. Once a contractor receives an executed BOA it may bid on any task orders issued after its BOA effective date.
14	Teaming Arrangements	The presolicitation in subject line above stated "All contractors who already possess a BOA to support EAGLE requirements are not required to submit a new proposal against this BOA RFP unless it desires to have a new capabilities approach evaluated, including adding or changing subcontractors and/or teaming agreements that were include under its current BOA. Question: Does the sentence above mean I can add or change subcontractors under my current BOA (W52P1J-12-R-0076) when Step 2 BOA RFP W52P1J-12-R-0198 is released, and retain any approved changes under my current BOA to pursue all EAGLE task orders?	All current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information.
15	Teaming Arrangements	It was discussed almost a year ago that there would be an annual opportunity for new companies to join the EAGLE Program and for current BOA holders to change their offering, i.e., changing out partners, broadening offerings into the small business suite, etc. The EAGLE pre-solicitation notice of September 14, 2012 seems to invite companies to consider changes at this time. See below: All contractors who already possess a BOA to support EAGLE requirements are not required to submit a new proposal against this BOA RFP unless it desires to have a new capabilities approach evaluated, including adding or changing subcontractors and/or teaming agreements that were included under its current BOA Should we understand that this will be the only annual opportunity for BOA holders to change their offering or will there be another opportunity?	All current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information. Current BOA holders will be given an opportunity to add or change their teaming approaches during the annual opening (currently anticipated the Spring of 2013), and in the event of emergent requirements.
16	TORs	I'm trying to allocate my proposal development resources for the EAGLE program. Do you envision the Section L of the RFP to be one that contains Technical/Management, Past Performance and Cost/Price Volumes or will it be a hybrid that is streamlined because of the Step 2 process and only requiring a short Technical/Management and Cost/Price?	The individual Task Order Request (TOR) will provide the corresponding Section L and Section M information.

17	BOAs	<p>Background: [REDACTED] has been awarded a BOA with three approved teammates. Since that time, emergent requirements have been announced that do not address some of the functions under the Step 2 BOA RFP process and include other requirements that were not in the original Step. As an example, [REDACTED] is interested in bidding APS-5 Kuwait but did not address subcontracting labor broker or sponsorship services on our original proposal because these services were not a required functional area. [REDACTED] understands we must use our approved team members for the functions they fill in our EAGLE proposal.</p> <p>Question: Should [REDACTED] submit a new proposal to address the emerging requirement to have a labor broker and Kuwait sponsor for OCONUS bids such as APS 5 Kuwait? Alternatively, can [REDACTED] subcontract functions such as labor broker services without submitting a new proposal?</p>	The Government only requires review/approval of team members performing maintenance, supply and transportation functional requirements. BOA holders are able to propose use of subcontract/team for tasks that do not lie within the functional areas without prior Government approval.
18	Teaming Arrangments	<p>Background: Under the EAGLE business rules, offerors that submitted a proposal and self-performed all maintenance, supply and transportation functions are not precluded from proposing subcontractors in Step 3 (task order) proposals. This rule would appear to give self-performing BOA awardees a distinct advantage over those awardees with multiple teammates as the self performing Offerors could subcontract at will without asking approval, whereas an Offeror with approved subcontractors must request a change to their BOA prior to using additional subcontractors. [REDACTED] assumes we can propose additional subcontractors as well for areas we could self-perform so long as we use the "approved teammates" for the functions they provide in our Step 2 proposal.</p> <p>Question: Can [REDACTED] use other subcontractors in addition to our approved teammates without requesting approval from the government similar to the self-performing BOA award holders?</p>	No, per the BOA RFP it stated in Section H, EAGLE Execution Business Rules, paragraph 2b "It is assumed that if an Offeror proposes a teaming partner(s) in Step 2 it does so because it is not able to fulfill all requirements of the solicitation without said partner(s). As such, offerors are required to use that partner(s) in Step 3 proposals and in the performance of subsequent task orders unless the capability that the partner provides is not a requirement of the Step 3 requirement." Therefore, if an offeror did not show it had capability to self-perform all maintenance, supply, and transportation functions it is restricted to utilizing its approved team members. Please note, however, that all current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information.
19	BOAs	<p>Background: The BOA business rules appear to be silent on the process to submit a revised proposal based on emergent requirements.</p> <p>Questions: Will the government clarify the process and notional timing for the submission/approval of a revised BOA proposal will be done?</p>	All current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information.
20	Teaming Arrangments	<p>Background: [REDACTED] approved teammates are on several BOA award teams. Those team members may not have the capacity or the desire to bid on multiple teams for a specific task order. As an example, our teammate [REDACTED] is subcontracted to multiple primes. If [REDACTED] decides not to bid on a task order with [REDACTED], then [REDACTED] will need to seek another company to fill [REDACTED] functions listed in step 2. During the Step 2 BOA process the government repeatedly indicated that redundancy in subcontractors was neither required nor desired. The EAGLE BOA business rules appear to be silent on this issue.</p> <p>Questions: What process is in place for [REDACTED] to replace [REDACTED] or other approved teammates, with another teammate in order to bid the task order if the approved teammate chooses not to bid a task order that [REDACTED] wants to bid?</p>	Answered under Step Two Q&A 145 posted 08Jun2012: "It is up to each offeror, large and small, to build teams that provide it with the capability, capacity, and competitive advantage necessary to be successful in Step 3. Prime Contractors will not be permitted to propose an alternative subcontractor that was not previously identified (evaluated and approved in Step Two). BOA holders who wish to have a new approach evaluated may do so during the annual review of the BOA." BOA holders may also propose new approaches under emergent requirements.
21	TORs	What are the current target dates for release of the RFPs at each of the installations scheduled for this year?	A listing of the advertised requirements with the anticipated TOR issue dates has been posted to the EAGLE Website.
22	TORs	Is there a list of which category (unrestricted or SB) that each of the 70 DOLs will be competed in?	No. Requirements are synopsisized annually, whether they are set-aside or unrestricted is identified at that time.
23	TORs	Are Forts Campbell, Benning & Polk the only DOLs in the unrestricted category for this year?	Yes. However, the emergent requirements for the Afghanistan and APS-5 Kuwait requirements are also being solicited as unrestricted.
24	BOAs	Does ASC anticipate conducting a kick-off meeting with BOA holders? If so, how will that information be disseminated?	Yes, this is being considered, however, a time/date/location has not been established. When confirmed that information will be sent to all BOA Holders via email to their appointed BOA Attachment 0003 POCs.
25	TORs	Will the Ft Campbell DOL be conducting something like an Open House on the installation to orient BOA holders to what the specific requirements and work conditions are in that DOL?	If site visits are to be conducted, they will be communicated in the TOR for that specific location.
26	TORs	Is low cost technically acceptable (LCTA) the primary selection criterion (understanding that some consideration will be given to best value)?	This information will be provided in the TO solicitation.
27	Q&As	Who should BOA holders be addressing questions to within ACC-RI and will responses only be provided in the formal Q & A written format (or can we get answers telephonically) ?	All questions should be submitted in writing. The appropriate POC and avenue for Step Three requirements will be identified in their individual TORs (i.e., Q&As utilizing the Army Single Face to Industry (ASFI) Q&A process, email, etc...)
28	Teaming Arrangments	Can you clarify under what conditions additional team members can be added other than at the annual review (we understand when new requirements arise, but how about when the existing team does not have the reach to satisfy all of the requirements)?	All current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information.
29	TORs	Based on the detailed information provided in order to win a BOA, what information will be requested in the RFP (ie will all of the normal sections be required or does the BOA process allow for a more streamlined proposal)?	This information will be provided in the Task Order Request (TOR).
30	TORs	In a DOL RFP how will the work requirements be articulated (to price a specific number of FTEs in established labor categories OR determine what FTEs would be required to support a number of pieces of equipment or a number of transactions and the appropriate labor categories)?	Information such as this will be provided in the TOR.

31	Misc.	What is the process for arranging a face-to-face meeting between [REDACTED] Contracting and ACC-RI/ EAGLE Team?	A face-to-face meeting can be requested by submitting the request in writing to the EAGLE mailbox. Request shall include the reason for the meeting and the specific EAGLE personnel the contractor would like to meet with and the names and titles of the contractor personnel requesting the meeting. Not all meeting requests will be granted. Reason for meeting, availability of required personnel, and status of on-going EAGLE pre-award actions will be considered in determining whether or not to grant the face-to-face meeting request.
32	TORs	In pricing a proposal is there any specific GSA schedule that we will be required to use?	Information such as this will be provided in the TOR.
33	TORs	Will each BOA holder negotiate rates under the contract and discount them according to the performance location when/if responding to a Task Order Request (TOR)?	Each TOR will require submittal of a pricing proposal specific for that location for evaluation.
34	TORs	Will Wage Determination work be a primary focus when requirements are built/released for RFPs? Or will the Service Contract Act (SCA) and Wage Determination type work be one 'component' of the types of requirements/work supported by EAGLE BOAs?	Information such as this will be provided in the TOR.
35	TORs	<p>Per the solicitation for step 3: d) Specific evaluation criteria will be identified in the Task Order Request for Proposal. Evaluation factors may include Technical, Management, Organizational Capacity and Past Performance. Task Order source selections may be executed based on evaluation of price alone; lowest price, technically acceptable (LPTA); or best value trade-off analysis. e) Quality Assurance Surveillance Plans and Performance Requirements Summaries will be provided at the Task Order level. f) Pricing information will only be required at the Task Order level. g) Offerors will certify size standards at the Task Order level.</p> <p>In order to manage work load especially for a small business can the government provide any further guidance on proposal requirements for step 3. Will the government require a full proposal with technical, management, organizational capacity and past performance along with price. Or since the organizational capacity and past performance were part of step 2 will the government require a technical and management plan along with price? Does the government also have an idea of page limitations?</p>	Evaluation criteria will be provided in the TOR.
36	BOAs	Can you tell me if there is going to be a kickoff for BOA holders?	Yes, this is being considered, however, a time/date/location has not been established. When confirmed that information will be sent to all BOA Holders via email to their appointed BOA Attachment 0003 POCs.
37	BOAs	Will there be some sort of kickoff or other introductory event to give us instruction on what to expect and parameters within which we are to operate?	Yes, this is being considered, however, a time/date/location has not been established. When confirmed that information will be sent to all BOA Holders via email to their appointed BOA Attachment 0003 POCs.
38	Teaming Arrangements	<p>There appears to be a conflict between various paragraphs in the RFP and Question/Answer 11. Specifically, * Paragraph L.2.1 states that "An offeror is defined as a single firm that has the capability to provide all required maintenance, supply and transportation support services and plans to use subcontractors for economic reasons or to meet subcontracting requirements; a prime contractor that cannot provide all required maintenance, supply and transportation support services and therefore forms a teaming agreement including major subcontractors in order to provide the required services....." * Paragraph L.2.3 states "The offeror shall provide letters of intent between the prime and its subcontractors, except those only required to achieve socioeconomic subcontracting goals or other goals not related to capability....." * Paragraph L.3.1.2.1 states "Submit a narrative describing the organizational capability of the offeror to fully meet the EAGLE functional requirements.....Do not include the capability of team members (subcontractors) included only to achieve socioeconomic subcontracting goals." * Response to Question 11 stated, "You cannot add or change subcontractors in Step Three unless you demonstrated the capability to perform without any subcontractors in Step Two. If you were dependent upon subcontractors in Step Two you may only change them in the annual review process or if new requirements are advertised prior to the annual review."</p> <p>Q1.a. Will the Government please clarify if a large business team, including the prime plus any proposed team members/subcontractors proposed in Step Two, who has been awarded a BOA may add subcontractors only to meet socioeconomic goals in Step Three at the individual task order level?</p> <p>Q1.b Will the Government please clarify if a large business team, including the prime plus any proposed team members/subcontractors proposed in Step Two, who has been awarded a BOA may add subcontractors to provide unique past performance relative to a task order requirement, i.e. OCONUS work in a specific location?</p>	<p>1a) See Q&A # 2. 1b) See Q&A # 17.</p>
39	Section L	<p>On section L as inserted below you state that all files are acceptable in PDF format except Attachment 2, however, the attachment 2 posted on FBO/ASFI is also in PDF format.</p> <p>Question: Will you be posting an excel version of attachment 2 for use or is the intent that we use the PDF version that was provided and change of the requirement that attachment 2 be in PDF format as well be forthcoming? If an excel version will be posted, when can we expect it?</p> <p>From RFP L.2.9 Adobe* PDF (Portable Document Format) Files. Scanned documents must be legible and capable of opening in Adobe Acrobat. PDF files are acceptable for all documents with the exception of Attachment 0002 Organizational Capability and Similar Experience Proposal Worksheet.</p>	No, we will not be providing Attachment 0002 in Excel Format. Offerors should submit in PDF format as provided.

40	BOAs	If a company has received an award under RFP W52P1J-12-R-0076, will it be required to have an additional BOA under the subject RFP to compete for the emergent requirements?	No, a company will not be required to obtain another BOA for RFP W52P1J-12-R-0198.
41	Emergent Requirements	<p>1. Is this a new or follow on requirement? If it is a follow on, please let us know the current contract number and incumbent name.</p> <p>2. Is it a Best value or LPTA ?</p> <p>3. Also Let us know that how many total FTE's are required under this requirement and Also Do we need to send the Resume of Key personal ?</p>	The purpose of solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0198 is to announce emerging requirements and also to allow non-BOA holders an opportunity to obtain an executed BOA. Once a contractor receives an executed BOA, it may propose on any TOR issued after its BOA effective date. Offerors are required to follow the submission requirements detailed in the RFP to submit a proposal for evaluation.
42	Teaming Arrangements	Question ---those we have on the team that were not used for the 10 past performance during submittal on step two that have niche capability, can we use them on a task order during step three, even though they are not on the list that you send us when you approved our BOA. In discussions with other small companies I find a number of us have more than 9-10 team mates. So in order to be fair and provide work to some others should we find an area those outside the 10 can do is it ok to use them. The Q&A's don't make it real clear about this issue.	All current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information.
43	BOAs & Team Arrangements	<p>* After all BOA awards have been made, does the government intend to conduct a kickoff meeting or an industry day with all awardees?</p> <p>* Teaming arrangement guidelines remain unclear. Will the government provide additional clarification concerning teaming on task orders i.e. the procedures for adding teammates and assigning work to original teammates who's past performance was not highlighted in Attachment 2?</p> <p>* Under the concept of the Single Logistics Provider how does the government intend to migrate separate logistics contracts at each installation (e.g. contracts awarded under FIRST) into a single logistics contract?</p>	<p>1. A BOA holder kick-off meeting is being considered, however, a time/date/location has not been established. When confirmed that information will be sent to all BOA Holders via email to their appointed BOA Attachment 0003 POCs.</p> <p>2. All current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information.</p> <p>3. Task orders issued under the BOAs will be Requirements contracts in accordance with FAR 16.503. Therefore, when requirements that fall within the EAGLE scope are identified, they will be added to the existing EAGLE task order.</p>
44	BOAs & Emergent Requirements	<p>Question 1: Can a BOA holder from solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0076 (EAGLE BOA Step 2) bid on the three new emergent requirements task orders (Redstone Arsenal, Afghanistan, Kuwait) announced in this solicitation (W52P1J-12-R-0198)?</p> <p>Question 2: Can an offeror that is awarded a BOA under this Emergent/Special Project Requirements Solicitation (W52P1J-12-R-0198) compete on task orders along with BOA holders awarded under solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0076 (EAGLE BOA Step 2)?</p>	<p>Question 1: Yes</p> <p>Question 2: Yes</p>
45	Emergent Requirements	Question: The instructions for adding a team member to an existing BOA requires submission of "a recent/relevant contract reference". Is there any specific format required for the contract reference, or required information that it should contain? Is Attachment 0002 - EAGLE Organizational Capability and Similar Experience Worksheet required only when revising a team (replacing an approved teammate)?	All current BOA Holders were notified of the instructions on how to update subcontractors or team members. See the EAGLE website for that updated information.
46	BOAs	<p>Question: Although not specifically stated in the Team Approach Change Process, when revising or adding team members under these instructions, are BOA Holders still limited to a net total of ten (10) Attachment 0002 Contract Reference Forms that correspond / map to the Attachment 0003 Organizational Capability after all changes are either approved or disapproved?</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please identify where Rule 2(b) is located and/or provide it to all BOA Holders?</p>	Your original/approved teaming approach is limited to 10. If you are proposing to change that original approach you are limited to the 10 team members. However, you may propose to ADD as many members as you deem necessary to perform the requirements. All changes/additions will be reviewed and approved by the Government. Approved changes/additions will be modified into the contractor's BOA. Rule 2(b) is located in Section H, in the EAGLE Execution Business Rules clause.
47	BOAs	<p>Question 1: RFP Section H-3 (c) states: "If an Offeror received an executed BOA in Step Two on the basis of fulfilling all requirements without subcontractors, the Offeror is not precluded from proposing subcontractors in its Step Three proposal(s)."</p> <p>If an offeror received an executed BOA in Step Two on the basis of fulfilling all requirements, with subcontractors fulfilling some of the requirements, is the Offeror precluded from proposing additional subcontractors in its Step Three proposal(s) for those areas in which the offeror demonstrated capability?</p> <p>If an offeror received an executed BOA in Step Two on the basis of fulfilling all requirements, with subcontractors fulfilling some of the requirements, is the Offeror precluded from proposing additional subcontractors in its Step Three proposal(s) for those areas in which subcontractors demonstrated capability, provided the subcontractor demonstrating capability in the Step Two proposal is also proposed to perform in that area?</p>	Please refer to the approved Teaming Arrangement, Attachment 0002, of the BOA. Attachment 0002 specifically states what team members the prime BOA Holder can propose for Step 3 proposals.
48	Q&As	<p>Question 2: RFP Section H-3 (h), page 14, states: "Due to the complexity of this acquisition and the wide range of capabilities required by the contract, JVs (formal or informal) ...may submit an offer as a small business without regard to affiliation so long as each concern is small under the size standard for NAICS 561210...."</p> <p>RFP Section K (a) (1), page 77, states: "(a)(1) The North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code for this acquisition is TBD."</p> <p>Can the Government confirm that the applicable NAICS code for this RFP is 561210?</p>	Yes, that is confirmed. An amendment to the solicitation will be released to correct.

49	Emergent Requirements	<p>Question 3: RFP Section L.2.10, page 100, states: "Those proposals that exceed the word and/or page counts identified in Table 2.1 for each document will not be evaluated."</p> <p>Also, RFP Section L.2.1, page 100, states: "...Excel Word Count is based on the following: 1) Word count will be verified by using the word count feature in MS Excel..."</p> <p>However, Table 2.1, page 100-101, only lists the required file names and does not appear to indicate word and/or page counts. Is there a word and/or page limit for each entry of Attachment 0002 Column F?</p> <p>Question 4: RFP Section L.3.1.2 (f), page 101, states: "(f) Line 5 through Line 33 In Column H, enter Contract Period of Performance for the contract referenced in Column H."</p> <p>Should the last reference in this requirement refer to Column G? In other words, should L.3.1.2.(f) state: "(f) Line 5 through Line 33 In Column H, enter Contract Period of Performance for the contract referenced in Column G."?</p>	An amendment to the solicitation will be released to clarify.
50	BOAs	<p>Question 5: In the previous EAGLE BOA procurement (Solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0076), offerors were able to demonstrate the breadth of their team's capabilities for each PWS element through Attachments 0002 (Contract Reference Worksheet) and 0003 (Organizational Capability), as well as through a three page Organizational Capability narrative. While demonstrating such breadth was not a requirement of the previous procurement, it enabled an offeror to reduce its risk of not being awarded an EAGLE BOA should the Government determine the initial capability reference (most likely the Prime's) not to be relevant.</p> <p>For this EAGLE BOA procurement cycle (Solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0198), offerors appear to be limited to demonstrating their team's capabilities for each PWS element within Attachment 0002 (EAGLE Organizational Capability and Similar Experience Proposal) only. Attachment 0002 also appears to limit offeror's ability to demonstrate capability for a given PWS element to one contract in the current BOA procurement, whereas the previous BOA procurement allowed offerors to identify up to 10 contracts that demonstrated capability in a given PWS element.</p> <p>To enable a risk reduction opportunity similar to that offered on the previous EAGLE BOA procurement and provide current offerors an equal opportunity to identify capabilities, will the Government allow offerors to reference more than one contract to describe its team's capabilities for each PWS element in Attachment 0002?</p>	Offerors are allowed to propose up to 10 recent/relevant references (see paragraph L.4.1). However, only those references that are listed on the Attachment 0002 will be evaluated under the Technical Factor: Organizational Capability and Similar Experience and the Past Performance factor. The remainder of the recent/relevant references (up to 10 including those listed in Attachment 0002) will be evaluated under the Past Performance Factor. If an offeror's proposal is determined to be acceptable in both Technical and Past Performance Factors, all the references provided (up to 10) will be included in the Prime contractor's acceptable Teaming Arrangement in the executed BOA. Only one contract reference may be used as supporting similar experience for each subtask in Attachment 0002.
51	Emergent Requirements	Is the project located in Afghanistan?	Yes, One of the emerging requirements is for work in Afghanistan.
As of Date: 18 October 2012			
52	Teaming Arrangments	How do we provide up to 10 references when the PDF attachment 2 does not allow for TABS like the excel spreadsheet does? Are we to submit up to 10 separate PDF attachments 2 files or are we to summarize all references into one single PDF attachment 2? Can we use an excel version of attachment 2 and utilize the tabs for up to 10 references and if so, can the government provide it?	See answer to question 50
53	Misc.	How is industry being notified of changes, Q&A postings, and/or amendments since the ASFI website has been and is still down?	Q&As will be posted on the EAGLE Website. It is the Offeror's repsonsibility to monitor changes.
54	Teaming Arrangments	<p>Reference: ATTENTION ALL EAGLE BOA HOLDERS - TEAM APPROACH CHANGE PROCESS FINAL.pdf, under BOA HOLDER INSTRUCTIONS: 2. ADDITIONS: it states "If a BOA Holder only wishes to ADD team members, please submit a narrative explaining who you are adding, the capabilities the team member will be providing, provide a recent/relevant contract reference, and Performance Questionnaire (Attachment 0004) for each new member."</p> <p>QUESTION: Is there a specific format that needs to be followed for the narrative and the recent/relevant contract reference that is to be submitted for each team member addition?</p>	Please submit the narrative and the recent/relevant contract reference that are to be submitted for an "Addition" in Digital files that must be compatible with Microsoft* Office 2007: Word, Excel, PowerPoint, or Access.
55	Teaming Arrangments	Please advise if all 10 past performance similar experiences should be place in one Attachment 0002 file. Or a separate file should be used for each.	See answer to question 50
56	Misc.	How will BOA holders be notified when BOA modifications are signed by the EAGLE office?	BOA holders will be notified individually once their BOA has been executed.
As of Date 2 November 2012			

57	Teaming Arrangments	<p>In the October 12, 2012 message titled Subject: BOA Holders Team Approach Change Time Frame – Request for Submittals under BOA HOLDER INSTRUCTIONS: 2. ADDITIONS:</p> <p>Question 1: If you presently have filled all 10 cage codes (Attachment 0002) and have been awarded your BOA do you have to replace, by removing one submitted, to add a new team member? If yes do you have to submit the entire new Attachment 0002 and Attachment 0004 with the new 10 cage codes or just the new additions?</p> <p>Question 2: If our team can add to the 10 cage codes on our awarded BOA without deleting any team members, is there a limit to how many we can add.</p> <p>Question 3: In the original BOA we understood our “Niche” team members can be used if approved by the CO if or Attachment 0002 team members cannot perform the work. How does this October 12, 2012 document address the “Niche” team member’s usage?</p> <p>Question 4: If you have filled the 10 cage codes on Attachment 0002 the only way to add a new team member is to delete on of the 10 and use the REVISIONS instruction?</p>	<p>A1: If a BOA Holder desires to "ADD" a team member to his approved teaming approach, he must follow the instructions to "ADD" that was provided in the BOA Holders Team Approach Change Process notice. ADDING means requesting the addition of team members ABOVE the original teaming approach number of ten (10). REVISING means replacing one of the original teaming approach members with a new member (within the original 10). You do not have to remove an original team member to ADD but you do have to remove/replace a team member to REVISE.</p> <p>A2. There is no limit to how many you can add, however, please be advised that all proposed additions will be evaluated for acceptable past performance. Any proposed team members that do not have acceptable past performance will not be approved.</p> <p>A3. If Niche Team Members are not included in your teaming approach you may revise/add your teaming approach in accordance with the instructions in the Letter.</p> <p>A4. The Letter addressed to BOA Holders on Team Approach Change allows the opportunity to add team members. Please refer to 2. Additions for instructions on adding new team members.</p>
58	TORs	<p>SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION, paragraph 2.b. Step 3: The PCO will issue competitive Task Order Requests (TORs) for specific requirements that will result in one Requirements:</p> <p>Task Order per installation. A list of new requirements was synopsized under Solicitation Number W52P1J-12-R-0198 and is listed below: Redstone Arsenal, Afghanistan, Kuwait.</p> <p>Does this mean we can't propose on any others that are issued?</p>	See answer to question 11
59	Q&As	<p>SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION, paragraph 8. Questions pertaining to the solicitation or any associated attachments shall be submitted via ASFI using the Q&A function.</p> <p>Amendment 0001, Section L, paragraph L.1.8 All questions pertaining to this solicitation shall be addressed to the EAGLE mailbox.</p> <p>Please clarify how questions are to be submitted.</p>	Offerors are to submit their proposal and questions to the EAGLE mailbox. Refer to Ammendment 0003, Section L.1.4.
60	Section K	<p>3. Table 2.1, 3. Required File Name: Standard Form 33 (SF 33), all solicitation amendments, and completed copy of Representations and Certifications</p> <p>If all of the information for the Representations and Certifications are in ORCA, does the offeror still need to complete the Section K data?</p>	Yes, ORCA is not functional.
61	Misc.	<p>4. Army Single Face to Industry website is not available.</p> <p>Is the site down or has the address changed from https://acquisition.army.mil/asfi/</p>	See question 59.
62	Q&As	<p>Question: Would the Government please confirm the intent of this matrix is for each of the Task and/or Sub-Task areas (lines 5-33) be populated with a single contract reference.</p> <p>If no...May the matrix be revised accordingly to allow for multiple contract references to populate the given Task or Sub-Task area? As the matrix stands currently, it would be difficult at best to insert multiple contract references per line item.</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>No, the matrix shall not be revised.</p>
63	Teaming Arrangments	<p>This Offeror is a BOA Step 2 Awardee, has reviewed BOA RFP W52P1J-12-R-0198 in depth along with the BOA Holders team Approach Change Process, EAGLE Execution Business Rules Section H., the ACC-RI email to BOA Holders Team Approach Time Frame dtd 10 October and the EAGLE Web site posted Questions and Answers dated 16 October. The Offeror wants to "ADD" an additional teaming partner to reinforce existing capability IAW its STEP 2 approved BOA subcontractors while remaining within a total of 10 members. Is it permitted to "ADD" a Team Member per these references while staying within a total of 10 members and compete for task orders under W52P1J-12-R-0076 or do these references only permit an "ADD" of a Team Members under W52P1J-12-R-0198?</p>	<p>Solicitation W52P1J-12-R-0198 applies to Non-BOA Holders only. Current BOA Holders must respond to the BOA Holders Team Approach Change Process notice. The teaming arrangement as shown on the BOA Holders attachment 0002 is relative to every task order solicitation that is issued, regardless of what BOA RFP the BOA resulted from. There are not separate teaming approaches for the varying RFPs, however, when emergent requirements are advertised all BOA holders will be given an opportunity to REVISE or ADD to its current approved teaming approach to facilitate its capability to propose on those requirements that emerge after the BOA Holders original teaming arrangement was approved. If a BOA Holder desires to "ADD" a team member to his approved teaming approach, he must follow the instructions to "ADD" that was provided in the BOA Holders Team Approach Change Process notice. ADDING means requesting the addition of team members ABOVE the original teaming approach number of 10. REVISING means replacing one of the original teaming approach members with a new member (within the original 10).</p>
64	Misc.	What is the back up plan to submit the files under W52P1J12R0198 should ASFI still be experiencing difficulties?	See answer to question 59.

65	TORs	Is this Solicitation asking us to address how will we support Redstone Arsenal, Afghanistan and Kuwait only or, these three locations plus the CONUS installations in the first EAGLE RFP this past Summer?	See answer to question 11.
66	Teaming Arrangements	If a current EAGLE BOA holder is being included as a subcontractor in another offeror's proposal for an EAGLE Step 2 submission [being presented to add or change subcontractors per EAGLE Execution Rule 2(b)], does that current EAGLE BOA holder need to have past performance questionnaires completed and submitted for this new offeror's Step 2 proposal if using the same past performance questionnaires submit with our BOA proposal. The past performance we (the current EAGLE BOA holder) would be using would be the same past performance citation used when we (the current EAGLE BOA holder) submitted our June 18, 2012 proposal. It would be redundant to have the same customer fill out the same past performance questionnaire again considering they completed in several months earlier. Additionally, we (the current BOA holder) do not want to impose on the customer to complete a past performance questionnaire to provide the same information and add to the paperwork that the EAGLE Contract Office will be receiving during this BOA renewal period. We request that if current BOA holders are being added to additional teams during the renewal phase, that the requirement to have the current BOA holder provide past performance questionnaires be waived.	BOA Holders are required to submit information requested in the Attachment.
67	Teaming Arrangements	We would like to request clarification regarding the Team Approach Change that is due Nov 5th. If (contractor) is including subcontractors to fill SB requirements/plan (subcontractor have 20% or less), do we need to add them to our BOA?	No, BOA holders will include subcontractors to fill SB requirements at the TO level for those unrestricted competitions.
68	Teaming Arrangements	Based on the new amendment 3 we must use a single attachment 2 with up to 10 references on each. My question is as follows: -Can we have multiple references in column F or is it restricted to a single reference? Example for CIF, can we list several references in column F for CIF if the EO and TM or multipleTM have the qualifications?	See answer to question 50.
69	Misc.	1. L.2.10 requires font size no smaller than 12 point. Attachment 2 will not hold very much information with a minimal of 12 point. The government used 7-10 point on the format. Can we use 8-10 point on the columns/lines we complete?	Yes, 8 point can be used.
70	Experience	2. IAW M.3.2.3.2.7 can the experience in Column F and Column G be over a government organization by a government key personnel?	There is no Section M.3.2.3.2.7 in W52P1J-12-R-0198.
71	Misc.	Regarding w52p1j-12-r-0198, the solicitation says that the submittal must be done through the eagle website. The vendor guide there directs me to https://acquisition.army.mil . however I have been trying that site for a week or so and it times out every time. Can you help me figure out what I need in order to be able to submit an offer?	See answer to question 59.
72	Teaming Arrangements	The Government has amended the TORs for Benning and Campbell (W52P1J-12-R-0112 0002 & W52P1J-12-R-0115 0002), with respect to adding subcontractors. Does the Government intend to modify/apply this at the BOA level? "Step Two Offerors were required to demonstrate the capability to perform all three functional areas. That capability may have been achieved with or without teammates. Any approved teammates listed in the Offerors Attachment 0002 Team Arrangement, may be utilized to perform any of the functions in Step Three. The Government will verify that the Offerors proposal includes approved teammates by comparing the Offerors proposal to their BOA Attachment 0002 - Team Arrangement. Offerors may propose subcontractors in addition to what is listed in their Attachment 0002 if that subcontractor is being proposed for the purpose of meeting the Offeror's Subcontracting Participation Plan in accordance with Sections L and M of this Task Order RFP."	See answer to question 67.
73	Misc.	At this time we respectfully request that the Government consider extending subject RFP due date by three days up to one week. This request is due to the unforeseen "act of God" that is impacting the east coast of the United States. We anticipate that this event may impact our teams' ability to exchange information and communicate due to power outages as we work to prepare, refine and finalize our proposal for final submittal.	Amendment 0004 has extended the closing date to November 9, 2012.
74	Misc.	As you know, the eastern United States is being battered by the weather, causing the shutdown of most commercial offices and a resulting negative impact on companies' EAGLE proposal preparations. With that in mind, we respectfully request that the proposal due date be extended by at least two days, but perhaps longer as warranted by the storm's impact on structures and utility systems.	See answer to question 73.
75	Misc.	In view of the recent major destructive storm in the Northeast U.S. and our closure of many of our corporate facilities from October 29 and 30, 2012 and perhaps longer due to safety concerns, continuing power outages, difficulties with computer networks and staff coordination, and the short-term need to allow many of our employees to recover from the storm's damage, we respectfully request an extension for adding teammates to our EAGLE BOA by the 5 November 2012 submission date. We request that the submission date be extended to 12 November 2012.	See answer to question 73.
76	Misc.	1. Will the Government grant an extension to the current proposal submission due date (5 Nov 2012) based on the adverse weather on the East Coast?	See answer to question 73.

As of Date 6 November 2012

77	Key Personnel	<p>1. Step 2 of -0076 Section L allowed Key Personnel experience to reach acceptable rating for Technical. Does -0198 allow key personnel experience?</p> <p>2. If the answer is yes: the Key Personnel will not have contract past performance to submit under the Past Performance References. Will that affect the offeror's "acceptable" rating?</p> <p>3. Is there any limit to the amount of SubTasks that Key Personnel experience can be used for?</p> <p>4. We understand the answer to question 50, 'as of' 16 October 2012. Since we can only list ONE contract reference on each SubTask line, can we repeat the Sub Task line more than one time, or is the format "locked"?</p>	<p>1) See Amendment 0005. 2) Refer to Amendment 0005, Section L.3.1.1.1. 3) No 4) No, Attachment 0002 cannot be modified.</p>
78	Technical	<p>Regarding section L.3 Technical Factor: Can the past performance identified in Attachment 0002 – EAGLE Organization Capability and Similar Experience Proposal Worksheet include personal experience of the principles of the proposing firms or does it have to be specifically firm experience?</p>	<p>See answer to question 77.</p>