

RFP Reference	QUESTION	ANSWER
RFP, Page 90, Paragraph L.3.1.2 (a)(4)	Should the offeror submit an Attachment 0002 Section I - Organizational Capability Worksheet for each contract reference cited (up to 10); one for each teammate; or should the Offeror submit one (1) consolidated worksheet showing that, with the addition of teammates, all cells are yes?	Please refer to Section L.3.1.2, "Offerors must submit only one Attachment 0002"
RFP, Page 91, Paragraph L.3.1.2 (b)(4)	When using a teammate's contract reference to fill a capability void, should the Offeror only use that portion of the teammate's experience on the Attachment 0002, Sec II, Similar Experience (tab 2) capability; or should the Offeror address all of the teammate's similar experience capabilities - e.g. if we have a Central Issue Facility (CIF) void, do we need to address all other similar PWS experience capabilities that this teammate's contract fulfills or just address the CIF?	Please refer to Section L.3.1.2 (b)(4), "Enter your similar experience as it relates to the organizational capability to perform the requirement in Column D. Offerors are encouraged to limit the similar experience to 100 words. Include data that reflects similar experience of the contract performance of the SUBTASK which reflects the magnitude of work performed in terms of full time equivalents, work orders, material release orders, lines of supply, value of managed items, fleet size or number of movements support, etc."
RFP, Page 91, Paragraph L.3.1.2 (b)	Can the Offeror use multiple, duplicative Sec II, Similar Experience (tab2) tabs added within the Attachment document, to display our various contract references (up to 10), or would you prefer a consolidated Offeror and teammate tab 2 with all cells showing experience.	Please refer to Section L.2.1, "Attachments shall not be altered in any way with the exception of adding rows to Attachment 0006, Section II Additions (tab 2) if necessary."
Team Change Submission Instructions- W52P11-13-R-0173, Additions	When a current BOA Holders adds a teammate(s), will the teammate(s) be depicted on the BOA Holders revised Attachment 0002 as an added teammate or as a subcontractor that is part of the approved team?	Approved additions will be identified on the BOA Holder's Attachment 0002 as an additional teammate to the BOA Holder's approved teaming approach, not revising its organizational capability.
Team Change Submission Instructions- W52P11-13-R-0173, Additions	For the purpose of bidding a Step 3 task order, can the current BOA Holder use an approved, added teammate(s) as a subcontractor in place of an original team subcontractor?	Yes, when bidding on a Step 3 task order, the contractor can propose the use of any approved teammate that is listed on its Attachment 0002 of its BOA.
RFP, Page 90, Paragraph L.3.1.2	If the Offeror (Prime) uses a teammate to fill a void on Attachment 0002, does that mean that when this Offeror becomes a BOA Holder, they can use this teammate only in the capacity for which they were listed on Attachment 0002, even though that company has capabilities in other functional areas?	BOA Holders may use approved teammates beyond the subtasks they were proposed on in Step 2.
Updated on November 1, 2013		
Solicitation, pg. 2, para. 2.b	As Step Three of the process the solicitation provides a list of requirements by location. Question: Can bidders submit for specific locations, or must they bid on all listed locations?	BOA Holders are not required to submit a proposal against every Task Order.
Solicitation, pg. 13, para. b and c	Question: May bidders propose using subcontractors on TOs, in addition those already approved as teammates?	If a BOA Holder is able to demonstrate organizational capability without the reliance on teammates in Step 2, the BOA Holder is allowed to use subcontractors on Step 3 TOs. If a BOA Holder did not demonstrate organizational capability without the reliance of teammates in Step 2 they shall only use approved teammates on Step 3 TOs. BOA Holders are also able to use subcontractors on Step 3 TOs to meet socioeconomic requirements outlined in the Task Order RFP.
Att. 0002, sheet 'Sec II-Similar Experience', cols. K&L; and Att. 0006, cols. N&O	It appears that the instructions found in columns N and O of Attachment 0006 were copied directly from columns K and L of Attachment 0002 and do not match the data found in the referenced cells of Attachment 0006 ('Place of Performance' and 'Identify Functional Areas'). Question: May bidders disregard columns N and O from Attachment 0006?	Amendment 0001 was released to revise the heading in Column N and O of Attachment 0006.
Att. 0008	The instructions to Attachment 0008 state that if a bidder wishes to have teammates that add to their capability above those teammates provided in Attachment 0002 – EAGLE Organizational Capability and Similar Experience Proposal Worksheet, bidders are allowed to submit those additional teammates in Attachment 0006, Tab 2 Additional Teammate Past Performance. Question: Are bidders required to submit past performance for all teammates?	Proposals must be submitted in accordance with Sections L & M of the RFP.
Att. 0002	Question: How do we include information from multiple contracts for each of the 'Column D' subtasks, e.g. we have performed 'Installation Material Maintenance Activities' on several large contracts?	Offerors may demonstrate multiple contracts by using the space allotted as offerors deem appropriate. No special format is required.
PWS	Question: Is the Prime bidding company required to have a security clearance in order to send security cleared personnel?	The BOA PWS does not contain specific security requirements. All subsequent task orders will define, in detail, specific security requirements.
RFP, Page 94, Paragraph L.4.5 (a) & (b)	Should the Offeror submit one (1) Attachment 0004 if the answers to #1 and #2 are NO or do we need to submit an Attachment 0004 for all contracts referenced?	It is preferred that one attachment per proposed teammate is provided. However, if an offeror provides one attachment 0004 and it clearly identifies what references and teammates it applies to, it will be allowed.
RFP, Page 91, Paragraph L.3.1.2 (b) (5)	If using multiple contracts to support a subtask, can we use approx. 100 words for each contract or are we encourage to only use 100 words total in Column E for each subtask?	Offerors are encouraged to limit the relevant experience to 100 words per reference.
Attachment 0006 Past Performance Proposal; Section I Org Cap References; Column N	Request clarification for Column N of the "Sec I Org Cap References" tab in Attachment 0006 Past Performance Proposal spreadsheet. The column references (F and G) called out in column N of the spreadsheet do not agree with the column references (B and C) called out in the RFP Instructions for Column N. Attachment 0006 Past Performance Proposal spreadsheet includes a Column N titled "Teammate and CAGE Code Using this experience - ONLY if Offeror or Teammate is NOT listed in Column G for Contract Reference in Column F." Instructions in the RFP Section L.4.1.2(o) states "Column N: If the Offeror or Proposed Teammate is recent/relevant past performance of a Parent or Affiliated Company listed in Column C, enter the EAGLE Offeror or Teammate and CAGE code for which the contract reference (Column B) applies. If contract reference listed in Column B is for Offeror or Teammate already listed in Column C, enter N/A in Column N and O."	Amendment 0001 was released to revise the heading in Column N and O of Attachment 0006.
RFP; page 91 of 99; Section L.3.1.2(b)(4)	The second paragraph of the referenced section states, "The Offeror may include experience of a parent or affiliated company providing the firm demonstrates within column L that the resources of the parent or affiliated company will be provided or relied upon for contract performance" Can the experience of Key Personnel within the company be used in lieu of the company having performed this work under a previous contract? And if so, how should we complete columns F and H related to this specific experience?	Organizational capability must come from the corporate entity itself rather than key personnel or personal experience. Key personnel or personal experience cannot be used for Organizational Capability or Similar Experience in Attachment 0002. Reference para L.3.1.2(b)(4)
Attachment 0002 Organizational Capabilities and Similar Experience; Sec II-Similar Experience; Line 4 through Line 32	Can an Offeror propose more than one example of capabilities and similar experience for each Subtask (column D), such as referencing more than one contract number and/or more than teammate in columns E through L?	In accordance with L.3.1.2 (b) (5), Offerors are allowed to use more than one contract number for a subtask; however, if an Offeror uses multiple contract numbers for a subtask, all of the contract numbers must be performed by the same contractor. Offerors are allowed to submit up to ten (10) teammates in the attachment 0002 for use under a resultant Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA). The prime/joint venture is included in the total of ten (10) teammates.
RFP; Enhanced Army Global Logistics Enterprise General Support Requirements Performance Work Statement (PWS); page 14; paragraph 2.3.10	Please provide further explanation as to what information you are looking for in relation to capabilities for Item number 14 (PWS paragraph 2.3.10) Installation Transportation Office (ITO). The PWS states: "Operate an Installation Transportation Office (ITO) that will provide a full range of transportation services. These services include but are not limited to: Installation motor pool operations, movement control, unit movement, installation transit services, and POV storage". Most of the elements listed under the ITO section also appear as items to be addressed separately or covered in another transportation section in the capabilities worksheet. Would a summary compilation showing the collective ability to perform these other sections be sufficient as a response to this section?	Yes, a summary compilation showing the collective ability to perform these other sections would be sufficient as a response to this section. The Government recognizes the ITO encompasses other transportation subtasks; therefore, Item 14 should reflect offeror's capabilities to coordinate and manage multiple transportation areas.
Attachment 0002 Organizational Capabilities and Similar Experience; Sec II-Similar Experience; Column K	Request clarification of the purpose for Column K of the "Sec II-Similar Experience" tab in Attachment 0002 EAGLE Organizational Capabilities and Similar Experience spreadsheet. Attachment 0002 EAGLE Organizational Capabilities and Similar Experience spreadsheet includes a Column K, titled "Teammate and CAGE Code Using this experience - ONLY if Offeror or Teammate is NOT listed in Column G for Contract Reference in Column F." Instructions from RFP Section L.3.1.2(10) state "In Column K, if the Offeror or Proposed Teammate is using qualifying similar experience of a Parent or Affiliated Company listed in Column G, enter the EAGLE Offeror or Teammate and CAGE code for which the contract reference (Column F) applies. If contract reference listed in Column F is for Offeror or Teammate already listed in Column G, enter N/A in Column K and L." Column G is titled "Name of Offeror, Teammate, Parent or Affiliated Company who performed this capability as part of subject contract listed in Column F." Instructions from RFP Section L.3.1.2(6) state "In Column G, enter the name of the Offeror, Proposed Teammate, Parent or Affiliated Company who performed on the contract	The entity who is serving as a team member under this proposal effort and using the experience listed in column E is to be entered in column G. In this situation, the Prime contractor information is not required. Columns K and L would be N/A IAW instructions from RFP Section L.3.1.2(10).