

RFP Reference	QUESTION	Government Response
Document, Page #, Paragraph # if applicable	This space was intentionally left blank.	This space was intentionally left blank.
RFP, Page 77, L.4.1.2.3 (i) (1)	In Attachment 0006, does the 100 word limit apply to each function (e.g. 100 words for Maint; 100 words for Supply; 100 words for Trans) or are we limited to a total of 100 words for the three functions combined in each single past performance work cell under Column G?	L.4.1.2.3 (i)(1) Offerors <b>are encouraged</b> to limit the narrative describing the relevant experience to a total of 100 words per contract reference. NOTE: It is more important to portray the tasks completed rather than locations, workload, counts, customer comments/praise, awards, etc.
RFP, Page 70, L.3.1.2.1	Is there a limit to the number of contract references that can be provided by the Offeror to fulfill Section II- Similar Experience, in Attachment 0002?	L.3.1.2.2.4.3 Offerors are allowed to use more than one contract number or task order number for a PWS paragraph; however, if an Offeror uses multiple contract numbers or task order numbers for a PWS paragraph, all of the contract numbers shall be performed by the contractor populated in Column G. If similar experience was not provided in Column E, Offeror shall input N/A.  NOTE: If providing multiple contract numbers or task order numbers for a PWS paragraph, it is NOT ACCEPTABLE to use multiple contractors. All referenced contract number or task order numbers SHALL come from the same contractor. If the Offeror provides multiple contractors, as described above, this will render the Offerors proposal noncompliant. The proposal will not be further evaluated and will not be further considered for execution of a BOA.
RFP, Attachment 0002, Section I - Organizational Capability, Mission Area, Lines 33 thru 37 and RFP para L.3.1.2.2.1 ( e ) ( i ), Page 80 of 90	The RFP states that the offeror must "demonstrate(s) the organizational capability for that PWS paragraph [1.2.1] on a minimum of three mission areas." However, the "Mission Areas" are a departure from the bulk of the past performance requirements in Attachment 0002, which are based on qualifications in a sub-task or functional area task. The requirement to meet 3 of 5 very narrow Mission lanes (2 of which are OCONUS SWA related) seems unnecessarily exclusionary, especially for Small Businesses who are otherwise capable to self perform the full range of tasks at an Installation LRC. Is it the Government's intent to determine EAGLE BOA eligibility based on alignment to these specific Mission Areas, or can "similar" experience equate to tasks and functions that correlate to those missions (e.g. performing maintenance of a combat system is similar whether done as pass-back support or a PDTE operation or an ARCENT LRC)?	The missions identified in the Sect 1 Organizational capability, lines 33-37 have been and could be performed in CONUS with the exception of Direct Theater Support and Theater Provided Equipment. To receive a BOA, the offeror must provide the organizational capability to support 3 of the 5 mission areas; similar experience is aligned with the sub-tasks identified in TAB 1 lines 4-32 and not the mission areas, as the government recognizes that "performing maintenance of a combat system is similar whether done as pass-back support or a PDTE operation or an ARCENT LRC". The headings in TAB 1 Column E will be revised in Amendment 0004 of the solicitation.
W52P1J-15-R-0059, paragraph Section L.3.1.2.2.1 (D), page 78 of 88, and paragraph Section L.3.1.2.2.3 (b), page78 of 88.	Please clarify if a bidder must have a company name in all 29 areas of Tab 1 (Rows 4 thru 32)? This appears to be in conflict with paragraph L.3.1.2.2.1 (d). Please clarify.	Yes, an Offeror must propose an organizational capability for all lines 4 through 32 in Tab 1 by entering either the Offeror's Name or the company name of the proposed Teammate that provides the organizational capability per L.3.1.2.2.1(d) NOTE: L.3.1.2.2.1(d) has been revised in Amendment 0004 of the solicitation.
W52P1J-15-R-0059, paragraph Section L.3.1.2.2.1 (D), page 78 of 88, and paragraph Section L.3.1.2.2.3 (b), page78 of 88.	In Tab 2 there are three sections where the offeror need only demonstrate 3 of 5 functional areas to have organizational capability. This implies a team does not need to demonstrate organizational capability in every area listed on Tab 1. For those areas on Tab 1 where the offeror or his teammates cannot demonstrate organizational capability what should the offeror place in Tab 1, Col E?	Tab 2, titled Sec II-Similar Experience, is used to demonstrate similar experience to support the organizational capability proposed on Tab 1, titled Sec I-Organizational Capability. Although organizational capability in Tab 1 is required for all lines 4 through 32, similar experience in Tab 2 is not. Refer to L.3.1.2.2.3(a) for which lines require similar experience for Column E in Tab 2. Refer to L.3.1.2.2.1(d) for guidance on completing organizational capability for Column E in Tab 1.

RFP Reference	QUESTION	Government Response
W52P1J-15-R-0059, paragraph Section L.3.1.2.2.1 (D), page 78 of 88, and paragraph Section L.3.1.2.2.3 (b), page 78 of 88.	Since Tab 1 Column E automatically populates Tab 2 Column G. For those areas in Tab 1 where the offeror and his teammates cannot demonstrate an organizational capability, what should Tab 1, Col E contain? In accordance with L.3.1.2.2.1 (d), Tab 2 Col E the offeror will input N/A for those areas where organizational capability cannot be demonstrated. The instructions for inputting information in Tab 1, Col E and L.3.1.2.2.1 (d) appear to be in conflict when the offeror or a teammate cannot demonstrate organizational capability in a functional area.	Per L.3.1.2.2.1(d), organizational capability for Column E in Tab 1 is required to be proposed in all areas for lines 4 through 32. Although organizational capability in Tab 1 is required for all lines 4 through 32, similar experience in Tab 2 is not. Refer to L.3.1.2.2.3(b) for guidance where similar experience cannot be provided in Column E for Tab 2.
RFP, Amendment 0003, pg 6, L.2.2.1	Reference states: One (1) signed and completed copy of the Standard Form 33 (SF 33), all solicitation amendments, Section I clauses that require contractor completion and completed copy of the Representations and Certifications in Section K signed by a person authorized to enter into the proposed Basic Ordering Agreement on behalf of the Offeror. <b>Question:</b> Is an Offeror required to submit 2 copies of all signed and completed amendments or is it also just 1 copy required? Will the Government please clarify?	One copy of all signed and completed amendments is sufficient.
RFP, Amendment 0003, pg 7, L.2.8	Reference states: Digital file names shall include the respective Offeror name and file name from Table 2.1 below, e.g. Offeror Name, Attachment 0002 EAGLE Org Cap and Sim Exp.xlsx. If an Offeror's name is too long (more than 40 characters) it is permitted to abbreviate the file name to facilitate proposal upload into ASFI BRS. <b>Question:</b> When file names are abbreviated to ensure upload, can the Offeror continue to have full file name (not abbreviated) on Header and/or Footer?	The Offeror may include their full file name (not abbreviated) on the header and/or footer.
RFP, Amendment 0003, pg 6, L.2.2.1 & Attachment 0009	Reference states: However, in the event that discussions are required, the Offeror(s) are required to provide two (2) company individuals whose responsibilities will include reading and responding to Evaluation Notices (ENs). For example, the Offeror's Contract Manager, as an agent of the company might be the main agent responsible, but a second agent should be available in case of the main agent's unavailability. The two (2) agents' names, company titles, telephone numbers, facsimile numbers, and email addresses shall be provided in Section J, Attachment 0009. Yet the instructions on Attachment 0009 state: The individuals listed below are authorized to receive any correspondence concerning this proposal, negotiate the contract terms upon award and sign all contractually binding documentation for this Request for Proposal (RFP) W52P1J-15-R-0059. <b>Question:</b> Instructions seem to conflict with each other (Reading and responding to ENs or legally binding). Will the Government please clarify?	The Offeror must provide (2) company individuals whose responsibilities will include reading and responding to Evaluation Notices (ENs). These individuals must also be authorized to receive any correspondence concerning his proposal, negotiate the contract terms upon award and sign all contractually binding documentation for this Request of Proposal (RFP) W52P1J-15-R-0059.

RFP Reference	QUESTION	Government Response
<p>Army Single Face to Industry Announcement</p>	<p>I noticed the attached document that came out on the Army single face to industry web site, noting that the APS Qatar and Kuwait will now be performed by a single contractor. Please</p> <p>a) confirm that it was accurate                      b) ask what the rationale was and                      c) find out when you thought we might see a draft something and/or actual RFP?</p>	<p>a) Yes, this is accurate                      b) The decision to combine the Kuwait/Qatar task order was made because it was in the best interest of the Government.                      c) As stated in the synopsis posted 24 March 2015:                      Kuwait &amp; Qatar: The United States Army Sustainment Command (ASC) has a requirement to support the Army Field Support Battalion-Kuwait (AFSBn-KU) and the Army Field Support Battalion-Qatar (AFSBn-QA). The contractor will provide logistical support including, but not limited to, the following functional areas: maintenance on tactical and non-tactical equipment; transportation functions; supply operations; property accountability; ammunition supply and management operations. This support will require one prime contractor to support all locations within the AFSBn-KU and AFSBn-QA areas of responsibility (AOR). The contractor will provide the AFSBn-KU, and its supported units, direct support to the Army Preposition Stock (APS)-5 Armored Brigade Combat Team (ABCT) equipment set; Infantry Brigade Combat Team (IBCT) equipment set; Theater Sustainment Stocks (TSS); Sustainment Brigade (SB) equipment set; theater retrograde operations; and In Theater Maintenance (ITM) to units operating in the Army Forces Central Command (ARCENT) AOR. AFSBn-KU operations are currently conducted at Camp Arifjan, Kuwait. The contractor will provide the AFSBn-QA, and its supported units, direct support to the Army Preposition Stock authorizations of the Fires and Sustainment Brigades (BDE) and 13 Operational Projects Stocks. The AFSBn-QA and this effort will also serve the Area Support Group-Qatar (ASG-QA), U.S. Army Medical Materiel Agency (USAMMA), US Army Medical Materiel Center-Southwest Asia (USAMMAC-SWA), Air Defense Artillery (ADA), Joint Tactical Ground Stations (JTGS), and 12 Missile Defense Detachment(MDD). AFSBn-QA operations are currently conducted at Camp As-Sayliyah, Al Udeid Air Base (AB), Falcon 78, and Masaeid Port in Qatar. The Government anticipates this requirement will be issued on an unrestricted basis to all BOA holders. A Secret facility clearance is mandatory. The estimated release date of the RFP is Aug FY 15, with a closing response date approximately 30 days after the release. The estimated task order award is 3rd Quarter FY 16.</p>